

RSIS Working Paper

The RSIS Working Paper series presents papers in a preliminary form and serves to stimulate comment and discussion. The views expressed are entirely the author's own and not that of the S. Rajaratnam School of International Studies. If you have any comments, please send them to the following email address: <u>isjwlin@ntu.edu.sg</u>.

Unsubscribing

If you no longer want to receive RSIS Working Papers, please click on "<u>Unsubscribe</u>." to be removed from the list.

No. 214

The Aceh-based Militant Network: A Trigger for a View into the Insightful Complex of Conceptual and Historical Links

Giora Eliraz

S. Rajaratnam School of International Studies Singapore

15 November 2010

About RSIS

The S. Rajaratnam School of International Studies (RSIS) was established in January 2007 as an autonomous School within the Nanyang Technological University. RSIS' mission is to be a leading research and graduate teaching institution in strategic and international affairs in the Asia-Pacific. To accomplish this mission, RSIS will:

- Provide a rigorous professional graduate education in international affairs with a strong practical and area emphasis
- Conduct policy-relevant research in national security, defence and strategic studies, diplomacy and international relations
- Collaborate with like-minded schools of international affairs to form a global network of excellence

Graduate Training in International Affairs

RSIS offers an exacting graduate education in international affairs, taught by an international faculty of leading thinkers and practitioners. The teaching programme consists of the Master of Science (MSc) degrees in Strategic Studies, International Relations, International Political Economy and Asian Studies as well as The Nanyang MBA (International Studies) offered jointly with the Nanyang Business School. The graduate teaching is distinguished by their focus on the Asia-Pacific region, the professional practice of international affairs and the cultivation of academic depth. Over 150 students, the majority from abroad, are enrolled with the School. A small and select Ph.D. programme caters to students whose interests match those of specific faculty members.

Research

Research at **RSIS** is conducted by five constituent Institutes and Centres: the Institute of Defence and Strategic Studies (IDSS), the International Centre for Political Violence and Terrorism Research (ICPVTR), the Centre of Excellence for National Security (CENS), the Centre for Non-Traditional Security (NTS) Studies, and the Temasek Foundation Centre for Trade and Negotiations (TFCTN). The focus of research is on issues relating to the security and stability of the Asia-Pacific region and their implications for Singapore and other countries in the region. The School has three professorships that bring distinguished scholars and practitioners to teach and do research at the School. They are the S. Rajaratnam Professorship in Strategic Studies, the Ngee Ann Kongsi Professorship in International Relations, and the NTUC Professorship in International Economic Relations.

International Collaboration

Collaboration with other Professional Schools of international affairs to form a global network of excellence is a **RSIS** priority. **RSIS** will initiate links with other likeminded schools so as to enrich its research and teaching activities as well as adopt the best practices of successful schools.

ABSTRACT

During February- April 2010 a militant network, known as Tandzim Al Qaeda Serambi Mekah, was discovered by the Indonesian police. Assessments and analyses that followed it pointed to a change or a shift in the strategic and operational thought made by this Aceh-based militant network, including a change in prioritizing of targets, namely prioritizing of "enemies" as targets for attack. This article asks to offer varied insights into the strategic and operational thought of this network by viewing it through both universal and local lenses. It is done by using a broader historical perspective that addresses global militant discourse, originated in the Middle East in particular, and the case of Darul Islam (DI) rebellions in Indonesia of the late 1940s to the early 1960s, that is considered to be significant for understanding of current jihadism in Indonesia. In this way the discussed case of the Aceh-based militant network is seen to suggest also a reminder that the multi-faceted contours of Islamic radicalism and militancy in Indonesia tend to be revealed more clearly through a view that catches both the Indonesian local context and the global context, the Middle Eastern in particular; a view that requires a careful navigation between the universalities of the global aspects and the particularities of the local Indonesian context.

Dr. Giora Eliraz is the author of *Islam in Indonesia: Modernism, Radicalism and the Middle East Dimension.* Brighton & Portland: Sussex Academic Press, 2004 and of the monograph, *Islam and Polity in Indonesia: An Intriguing Case Study.* Washington: Hudson Institute, February 2007.

His research interests are: Indonesia, Malaysia, Islamic fundamentalism and radicalism, Islam in Southeast Asia, and the interaction between the Middle East and Southeast Asia in Islamic context.

Dr. Giora Eliraz is Associate Researcher at the Harry S. Truman Institute for the Advancement of Peace at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem; Affiliated Fellow at KITLV/Royal Netherlands Institute of Southeast Asian and Caribbean Studies in Leiden; Adjunct Senior Fellow at The Institute for Policy and Strategy (IPS), The Lauder School of Government, Diplomacy and Strategy at the Interdisciplinary Center (IDC) Herzliya, and Research Fellow at the Institute for Counter-Terrorism at the IDC. He is also a member of the Board of Trustees of the Strategic Dialogue Center at Netanya College.

During 2008 Dr. Giora Eliraz was a member of an international research group that focused on extremism and its connection to transnational crime in Southeast Asia and Australia. The group was established by The Center for Strategic and International Studies - CSIS, at Washington DC. In the period of March 2002 - December 2003 he was a Visiting Fellow at the Southeast Asia Centre, Faculty of Asian Studies at the Australian National University in Canberra. Dr. Rliraz also formerly taught at the Tel-Aviv University and the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, both at the Department of International Relations and the Rothberg International School.

Dr. Eliraz ended in 2002 about 30 years of service in the Israeli civil service.

He holds a PhD from the Hebrew University of Jerusalem (the Ph.D. thesis was on "Egyptian Intellectuals in the face of tradition and Change, 1919-1939").

The Aceh-based Militant Network: A Trigger for a View into the Insightful Complex of Conceptual and Historical Links

Radical Muslims in Indonesia share ideological tenets, beliefs, spirit, rhetoric, organizational structures, operational and strategic thought with their counterparts worldwide, in the Middle East in particular. They drink with them from the same ideological well through reading, for example, the same founding radical texts that many of them have been translated to Indonesian. They are also inspired, the same as many radicals worldwide, by the same collective memory of formative events in the global *jihad*, such as in Afghanistan, Bosnia, Chechnya, and Iraq.¹ However, Islamic radicalism in Indonesia is not a mere replica of a "global" Islamic radicalism and has not been left untouched by the imprint of the local context as well.

In February–April 2010, a new militant network, including its training camp in Aceh, has been discovered through a series of raids in Aceh and in Java by the Indonesian police. Its leader, Dulmatin, considered to be one of Southeast Asia's most wanted terrorists, was among those members of the network who were killed in these raids. This article asks to offer varied insights into this new militant network that is related to ideology and strategic and operational thought. It will be done by viewing this network through both universal and local lenses and by using a broader historical perspective that addresses the radical, militant discourse in the Middle East and the case of the militant *jihadi* antecedent, the Darul Islam (DI) rebellionsin Indonesia of the late 1940s to the early 1960s.

Though the case of the Aceh-based militant network was largely covered by the media and some media reports even made headlines both inside and outside Indonesia, this paper refers only to elements of strategic and operational thought, plans and intentions relating to this network, which appear in the report of the Brussels-based International Crisis Group (ICG) titled "Indonesia: Jihadi Surprise in

^{*} The author of this article wishes to thank Sidney Jones for her helpful and encouraging comments.

¹ See "Radical Islam in the Middle East and Southeast Asia: A comparison." In *Conflict, Community, and Criminality in Southeast Asia and Australia: Assessment from the Field* (A report of the CSIS Transnational Threats Project), edited by Arnaud de Borchgrave, Thomas Sanderson and David Gordon (Center for Strategic and International Studies CSIS: Washington, D.C., June 2009), pp. 2–5.

Aceh^{"2}, and in an article by Sidney Jones, Senior Adviser for the ICG's Asia Program in Jakarta, titled "Terrorism: What we have learned from Aceh".³

Background: Newly observed shift in strategic and operational thought

The discussed Aceh-based militant network identified itself as *Tandzim Al Qaeda Serambi Mekah*⁴ or alternatively as "al-Qaida in Aceh". In the abovementioned report of the ICG,⁵ this network is described as a major mutation in Indonesian *jihadi* ranks, which includes men from a number of organizations. Therefore, this network has become known as *lintas tanzim*, or "across organizations". As a new coalition of organizations, it is said to search for a more coherent strategy for *jihad* in Indonesia, while rejecting the approach of both Jemaah Islamiyah (JI) and the network of Noordin Mohammed Top, which was led by him until his death in September 2009. The JI, the best-known *jihadi* organization in the region, has been perceived by the discussed new *jihadi* coalition to become too passive by abandoning *jihad* for *dakwah*.⁶ As to the network of Noordin Mohammed Top, a more violent splinter group of JI that focused on the carrying out suicide bombings to terrorize the U.S. and its allies, it is argued to be perceived by the new network as having no long-term vision.⁷

According to this ICG's report, the new militant network shaped an agenda for applying *shari* 'a, the Islamic law, through *jihad*. The first key step toward that agenda

² International Crisis Group (ICG), Indonesia: Jihadi Surprise in Aceh, Asia Report no. 189 (Jakarta/Brussels: ICG, 20 April 2010), http://www.crisisgroup.org/en/regions/asia/south-eastasia/indonesia/189-indonesia-jihadi-surprise-in-aceh.aspx (last accessed: June 25, 2010).See also International Crisis Group (ICG), Indonesia: The Dark Side of Jama'ah Ansharut Tauhid (JAT), Crisis Group Asia Briefing no. 107 (Jakarta/Brussels: ICG, 6 July 2010). http://www.crisisgroup.org/en/regions/asia/south-east-asia/indonesia/B107-indonesia-the-dark-side-ofjamaah-ansharut-tauhid-jat.aspx

³ Sidney Jones, "Terrorism: What we have learned from Aceh", *Tempo*, March 11, 2010—see it in the website of ICG, <u>http://www.crisisgroup.org/en/regions/asia/south-east-asia/indonesia/sidney-terrorism-what-we-have-learned-from-aceh.aspx#</u> (last accessed: 27 June 2010).

⁴ The Province of Aceh is known as *Serambi Mekah* (literally, "the Veranda to Mecca").

⁵ ICG, Indonesia: Jihadi Surprise in Aceh.

⁶ Arabic: *da'wa*. This term has varied connotations. Among the most common definitions of this term: Preaching of Islam.

⁷ See ICG, *Indonesia: Jihadi Surprise in Aceh*, pp. I, 1.

was a development of a secure base that could become a place of refuge as well as a base for operations; Aceh was chosen for that purpose as the secure base, "goidah aminah".⁸ The development of such a secure base was perceived by leaders of the network as a significant way of establishing the nucleus of an Islamic state. The second step was performing military training so that trained members of the network would have the capacity to guard the secure base from enemy attacks. The third step was *dakwah* activity, focused on the area within the secure base, for increasing the support given by the community. According to this agenda, attacks would only take place within the base area on *thaghut*,⁹ civilian officials and security forces who were considered to be obstacles to the application of Islamic law, including Western civilians who were spreading secular thought. In other words, leaders of this network, according to the ICG's report, adopted a new approach saying that the enemy should be defined not simply as anyone from the U.S. or allied countries, but as anyone who obstructed the application of the Islamic law. Furthermore, the change or the shift in the strategic thought, as it was expressed in the agenda of new network, also included a decision that Noordin Top-style *infijar*, namely bombings, would be replaced by ightivalat, assassinations, to reduce the controversy generated by deaths of Muslims on one hand and increase recruitment possibilities on the other.¹⁰ Consequently, it is assessed in the report that "many Indonesian officials were high on the list"¹¹, namely as targets.

It can also be learnt from this report of the ICG that the shift in strategic and operational thought was largely inspired by the writings of the Palestinian-Jordanian cleric and radical ideologue, Abu Muhammad al-Maqdisi (more fully, Abu

⁸ In a correct Arabic transliteration: *qa'ida amina*.

⁹ The correct Arabic transliteration is *taghut* (plural: *tawaghit*). The literary meaning of *taghut* is idol, false god. This Koranic term is often used in radical Islamic discourse for denouncing rulers and regimes in the Muslim world who wrongly claim to sovereignty, though it belongs to God only, and for making themselves an object of worship. Radical Muslims also use this term for describing such rulers and regimes as tyrant, or despot. See for example, Dekmejian, R. Hrair, *Islam in Revolution: Fundamentalism in the Arab World* (New York: Syracuse University Press, 1985), p. 93; Fawaz A. Gerges, *The Far Enemy: Why Jihad Went Global* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Pressm), p. 256; Roy, Oliver, *The Failure of Political Islam.* Trans. by Carol Volk from the French (Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press, 1994), pp. 41–42.

¹⁰ See ICG, Indonesia: Jihadi Surprise in Aceh, pp. I-II, 7.

¹¹ Ibid., p. II.

Muhammad 'Isam al-Maqdisi). He is considered to have been the mentor of the Iraqbased militant Islamist leader, Abu Mus'ab al-Zarqawi, but broke away from him in 2004. Starting to criticize the tactics used by al-Zarqawi in Iraq, al-Maqdisi suggested, among other things, to replace what he termed as Zargawi's method of *gital nikayah* (literally, fighting to cause damage), by weakening the enemy through repeated attacks, by what he termed as *qital tamkin* (literally, fighting to consolidate power), to establish Islamic law. He sustained his argument by explaining, among other things, that when *qital nikayah* was waged, in particular among majority Muslim populations, it brought suffering to the community and often legitimized the enemy's retaliation. Qital tamkin, by contrast, could lay according to him a basis for an Islamic state. But it had to be preceded by the establishment of a secure base from which to operate and an organization that could control this secure base, apply the Islamic law and serve as a proto-government. He argued that such a base had to be established in an area with the potential for both strong community support and guerrilla warfare. He also clarified that dakwah and jihad had to go hand in hand for gital tamkin. The Writings of Al-Magdisi were also translated into Indonesian, have become popular among militants in the Indonesia archipelago and have even sparked internal debates and controversies within their circles.¹²

In an article that preceded the discussed ICG's report, Sidney Jones argues that those who joined the new network represent a more militant stream of the extremist movement in Indonesia that identifies strongly with al-Qaeda and seeks to build on the legacy of Noordin.¹³ As to the issue of the definition of the enemy, or prioritizing of targets that is salient in the case of discussed network, she views it through a broader time scale of the last decade. Jones says that extremists in Indonesia have changed and broadened their definition of the enemy over time. At the height of the Ambon and Poso conflicts, in the beginning of the last decade, the enemy were

¹² See International Crisis Group (ICG), *Indonesia: Jihadi Surprise in Aceh*, pp. I, 1–2, 5, 13. See also International Crisis Group (ICG), *Indonesia: The Dark Side of Jama'ah Ansharut Tauhid (JAT)*, pp. 3–4; International Crisis Group (ICG), *Indonesia: Jemaah Islamiyah's Publishing Industry*, Asia Report no. 147, (Jakarta/Brussels, ICG, 28 February 2008), pp. I-II, 1, 6–9, 11, 16, http://www.crisisgroup.org/en/regions/asia/south-east-asia/indonesia/147-indonesia-jemaah-islamiyahs-publishing-industry.aspx (last accessed 27 June 2010).

¹³ This observation does not seem to contradict the above mentioned criticism of Noordin's network, among members of the new Aceh based network, for not having a long-term vision. In other words, such criticism and a motivation to continue Noordin's legacy can co-exist.

clearly local Christians; in Poso, this was expanded to include informers and government officials who were seen to be working against the *jihad*. According to her, the Bali bombs of October 2002 were the first indication for the adoption by Indonesian extremists of the definition of the enemy made by al-Qaeda; the U.S. and its allies as well as all citizens who paid taxes to support the war machines in those countries. Jones assesses that the focus may be moving back in the discussed case toward Indonesian officials, considered to be *taghut*, or tantamount to infidels, because of their alliance with the West, opposition to the *shari'a*, or policies that are considered generally as "un-Islamic". She even reminds that in July 2009, the Noordin's network was planning an attack on the Indonesia President, Susilo Bambang Yudhoyono.¹⁴ She adds by saying that "it may be that now prominent officials are as high on the list of ideal targets as iconic buildings with internationally known brand names".¹⁵

Insights suggested by a view to global militant discourse: The debate on the "near enemy" and the "far enemy"

Prioritizing of targets, or prioritizing of "enemies" as targets in the *jihad* as an armed struggle, is one of the salient debatable issues in the Islamic militant discourse of the recent decades. By using the terminology of the militant themselves, it can be defined as a debate on *al- 'adu al-qarib* ("the near enemy"), local regimes in Muslim countries alleged to be "infidel" by radical militants, and on *al- 'adu al-ba'id* ("the far enemy"),

http://www.thejakartaglobe.com/home/police-say-sby-was-target-of-independence-day-

<u>bloodbath/375083</u> (last accessed 25 June 2010); Camelia Pasandaran, "President Hits Out at Terrorists, Says Indonesia Will Never Be Islamic State", *JakartaGlobe*, 17 May 2010,

http://www.thejakartaglobe.com/home/president-hits-out-at-terrorists-says-indonesia-will-never-be-

¹⁴ For this issue see also International Crisis Group (ICG), *Indonesia: Noordin Top's Support Base*, Crisis Group Asia Briefing, no. 95 (Jakarta/Brussels, ICG, 27 August 2009), pp. 1–2, 6, 9, http://www.crisisgroup.org/en/regions/asia/south-east-asia/indonesia/B095-indonesia-noordin-tops-support-base.aspx (last accessed: 28 June 2010).

¹⁵ Sidney Jones, "Terrorism: What we have learned from Aceh", *Tempo*, March 11, 2010, see in the website of ICG, <u>http://www.crisisgroup.org/en/regions/asia/south-east-asia/indonesia/sidney-terrorism-what-we-have-learned-from-aceh.aspx#</u> (last accessed: June 27, 2010). About a change and shift in the strategic and operational thought ascribed to the new network, including a change in prioritizing of targets, see also Farouk Arnaz, Heru Andriyanto & Candra Malik, "Police Say SBY Was Target of Independence Day Bloodbath", *JakartaGlobe*, 15 May 2010,

islamic-state/375377(last accessed: July 21, 2010); "Indonesian police foil 'assassination plot", CNN, 14 May 2010,

http://www.cnn.com/2010/WORLD/asiapcf/05/14/indonesia.assassination.attempt/index.html (last accessed 25 June 2010).

mainly the U.S. and its Western allies. Repercussions of this debate can be observed in the shift in strategic and operational thought ascribed to the Aceh-based militant network, though these two specific terms have not been discussed, as far as known, in the varied reports and publications about this group.

Usually an attempt to follow the roots and traces of fundamental concepts, ideas, terminology, and rhetoric—which have shaped the contemporary *jihadi* discourse—leads to Sayyid Qutb (1906–1966), the Egyptian radical thinker of the Muslim Brothers, who has strongly influenced radical Muslims worldwide, due much to his final book and most popular one, *Ma'alim fi al-tariq* ("Signposts on the Road"), published in 1964. This book, which was translated into many languages including Indonesian, provided the grounds for the laying of charges brought by the Egyptian court against members of the Muslim Brothers, including Sayyid Qutb himself, for plotting against the Gamal Abdel Nasser regime. Qutb and some other leaders of the Muslim Brothers were executed in 1966.

The belief in God authority on earth (*hakimiyya*) has a central position in Qutb's doctrine. At the same time, Qutb identified the contemporary reality as standing in stark contrast to this belief. Making a reactualization of the term *jahiliyya*, originally referred to the ignorance and godlessness that prevailed in pre-Islamic Arabia, he perceived the contemporary societies as an embodiment of a new *jahiliyya* that have rejected the divinity of God and God's sovereignty, and hence have legitimized themselves through purely man-made criteria. The Nasserist regime of his time was also identified by him as a clear manifestation of the new *jahiliyya*. For him, *jihad* is as eternal struggle for replacing "*jahili*" regimes in the Muslim world and for establishing a true Islamic polity over the entire world, while removing those who stand as an obstacle in the way of implementing God's divine authority on earth.¹⁶

¹⁶ See Sayyid Qutb, *Ma* alim fi al-Tariq [Ramallah ?], 2004; Gilles Kepel, *The Roots of Radical Islam*, trans. Jon Rothschild (London: SAQI, 2005), pp. 34–69; Gilles Kepel, *Jihad: The Trail of Political Islam* (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 2002), pp. 31–31; Gerges, *The Far Enemy*, pp. 4–9; Emmanuel Sivan, *Radical Islam: Medieval Theology and Modern Politics*, enlarged edition (New Haven and London: Yale University Press, 1990), pp. 21–35; John O. Voll, "Fundamentalism in the Sunni Arab World: Egypt and the Sudan". In *Fundamentalism Observed*, edited by Martin E. Marty and R. Scott Appleby (Chicago and London: The University of Chicago Press, 1991), pp. 371–372; Dekmejian, *Islam in Revolution*, pp. 90–93.

Less than two decades later, the Egyptian Muhammad 'Abd al-Salam Faraj (1952-1982) brought to the radical militant discourse a more specific definition related to prioritizing of the targets in the *jihadi* struggle. Faraj, who was strongly influenced by Sayyid Qutb and terminated his life in a similar way to him, was the radical ideologue and theorist of Tanzim al-jihad (Jihad Organization), the extreme militant group that assassinated President Sadat on 6 October 1981. He was executed for his role in this assassination. His extreme radical doctrine and manifesto are very strongly connected with his formative pamphlet, al-Farida al-Ghaiba ("The Neglected Duty"); it has become an inspiring text for many Muslim militants during the 1980s and the 1990s.Faraj was deeply guided by a belief in the importance of establishing an Islamic state ruled by God's law. He saw *jihad* as the way to do it. The *jihad* was perceived by him as a highly significant Islamic duty that has been neglected by Muslims. Hence he stated unequivocally that *jihad*, as an armed struggle against the "near enemy" (al-'adu al-qarib), the "infidel" regimes in the Muslim countries, for removing them and establishing Islamic state, ruled by God's law, has to come first; before the *jihad* against the "far enemy" (al-'adu al-ba'id), the "imperialism". Faraj, who was the first militant ideologue to coin this distinction between the "near enemy" and the "far enemy", made it clear that jihad against the enemy abroad, the imperialism, has to be carried out under Muslim command of the Islamic state. Therefore waging a *jihad* against the enemy abroad, before establishing the Islamic state at home, might even consolidate the position of "infidel" regime, by the victory. Ha also stated that since the "infidel" regimes at home actually occupied Muslim countries, they have to be considered as an enemy within the territory of Islam. Hence he argued that the *jihad* against them is a defensive in its nature and as such it has to be perceived doctrinally as fard 'ayn, namely, a compulsory duty that should be performed by every Muslim for defending Muslim lands. This is to be distinguished from the second type of military *jihad* that is formulated in the Islamic legal sources as fard kifaya, namely, collective duty. This latter type of jihad refers to a war initiated by the Muslims for expansion of the territory of Islam (dar al-Islam). Such type of *jihad* can only be implemented by an Islamic ruler, the caliph; if the Muslim ruler appointed specific Muslims to perform this duty, the rest members of the Muslim community are excused from it.¹⁷

¹⁷ See Muhammad 'Abd al-Salam Faraj, Farida al- Ghaiba. [Ramallah ?] [1993 ?]; Gerges, The Far

Qutb has given militant Muslims worldwide an inspiring doctrinal platform, or manifesto, of *jihad* with a revolutionary connotation; resistance of and struggle against local regimes in Muslim countries that are perceived by them among other things as "infidel", "impious", "evil", "corrupted", "Westernized", 'immoral", "tyrant" and "despotic". Such a struggle has been integrated into a leading aim of establishing God's rule on earth by Islamization of both state and society. Faraj has prioritized for militant *jihadis* worldwide their targets in the armed struggle while confronting varied "enemies. Hence, he signified regimes at home as the "near enemy", to be distinguished from the "far enemy", and stated that the struggle against the former should come first. Inspired to a large extend by both Qutb and Faraj, Sunni-oriented *jihadis*, in the Arab world in particular, focused their armed struggle, since the 1970s until the late 1990s, on the "near enemy", mainly.¹⁸

Substantial signs of a shift in this doctrinal and strategic approach, both in theory and practice, were observed since the late 1990s. A global *jihadi* struggle against the "far enemy", the U.S. and its allies in particular, rather than local jihadi struggles against the "near enemy", has started increasingly to get priority. This shift is strongly interwoven with a moving of the *jihadism* from a locality to a kind of globalization, or internationalization. It is also strongly connected with the name of al-Qaeda; in particular with Osama bin Laden and Ayman al-Zawahiri, considered to be al-Qaeda's second in command. Naturally, this doctrinal, strategic shift has further popularized the distinction between the "near enemy" and the "far enemy".¹⁹ At the same time, it

Enemy, pp. 9–12, 22–45; Kepel, *Muslim Extremism in Egypt*, p. 12, 34–69; Kepel, *Jihad*, pp. 86–87; Sivan, *Radical Islam*, pp. 127–129; Shmuel Bar, *Warrant for Terror: fatwas of Radical Islam and the Duty of jihad* (Lanham, Md.: Rowman & Littlefield, 2006), pp. 30–31, 36–37; Marc Sageman, Understanding Terror Networks (Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2004), pp. 15–17.

¹⁸ See Gerges, *The Far Enemy*, p. 1, 9–12, 43–79.

¹⁹ See Gerges, *The Far Enemy*, pp. 12–15, 80–150; John Arquilla and Douglas A. Borer (Eds.). *Information Strategy and Warfare: A Guide to Theory and Practice* (New York: Routledge, 2007), pp. 91–92; Joas Wagemakers, "A Purist Jihadi-Salafi: The Ideology of Abu Muhammad al-Maqdisi", *British Journal of Middle Eastern Studies*, Vol. 36, Issue 2 (August 2009), pp. 293–294; Kepel, *Jihad*, pp. 299–322 ; Khalil Gebara, "The End of Egyptian Islamic Jihad ?", *Terrorism Monitor*, Vol. 3, Issue 3 (9 February 2005), http://www.jamestown.org/single/?no_cache=1&tx_ttnews%5Btt_news%5D=27523 (last accessed 28 June 2010).

has to be said that the current *jihadism* in the Sunni world is not a monolithic movement and there are also debates, conflicts and tensions among *jihadis* over the question which "enemy" has to be attacked first.

Though the terms *al-'adu al-qarib* ("the near enemy") and *al-'adu al-ba'id* ("the far enemy") have not surfaced, as far as known, in the media reports and publications on the Aceh-based militant network, and perhaps were not even largely mentioned by name within this network, the global debate among militant Muslims on this issue seems to actually resonate into the shift in the strategic and operational thought ascribed to this network. Furthermore, a view into perceptions laid by Abu Muhammad al-Maqdisi, the radical ideologue, who is considered to be a direct source of inspiration for this network, suggests some possibility that his views on the issue of the "near" and "far" enemy even infiltrated into the strategic and operational approach of the discussed network. Also some other insights that further cast a light on the approach of the discussed network in general and on the issue of prioritizing of targets, in particular, are revealed through a glimpse into al-Maqdisi's view.

Steven Brooke argues that the struggle against the "near enemies" of Islam is perceived by al-Maqdisi as more important than the struggle against "far enemies" of Islam, such as Israel and the U.S., since the threat posed by the "near enemy" is greater and far more serious.²⁰ In a somewhat different way, Joas Wagemakers assesses that al-Maqdisi's position in the debate on the question of which enemy to fight first is located somewhere in the middle; al-Maqdisi refuses to condemn attacks against the "far enemy", but he prefers *jihad* to be directed at the regimes in the Muslim world, headed by rulers perceived by him as apostates (*murtaddun*).²¹

The Aceh-based militant network is said to give more attention and priority, as a target, to the government and civilian officials and personnel of the security forces. Nevertheless, it is hard to conclude, from what have been published so far, that the network got a definite decision that the struggle against the "near enemy", rather than

²⁰ Steven Brooke "The Preacher and the Jihadi", *Current Trends in Islamist Ideology*, <u>Vol. 3</u> (2006), pp. 56–57, http://www.currenttrends.org/research/detail/the-preacher-and-the-jihadi (last accessed 29 June 2010).

²¹ Wagemakers, "A Purist Jihadi-Salafi", p. 294.

against the "far enemy", has to come first, let alone that "representatives" of the both categories are mentioned in its militant agenda. As was already mentioned, Sidney Jones argues that those who joined the new network represent a more militant stream of the extremist movement in Indonesia that identifies strongly with al-Qaeda and seeks to build on the legacy of Noordin. It is likely that for such militants, it was hard to abandon the struggle against the "far enemy" though they are said to give greater importance to attack those who serve the regime at home. At the same time, one cannot exclude the possibility that the approach adopted by the discussed network in this issue also reflects, to some extent, a middle position that is ascribed to al-Maqdisi in the debate on the "near" and "far" enemy.

Similar to Sayyid Qutb and Abd al-Salam Faraj, al-Maqdisi also aims sharp criticism at rulers in Muslim countries who do not apply the *shari'a*, the Islamic law. He accuses them of *kufr* (infidelity) and largely describes them as *tawaghit*,²² "false idols", since they are turning themselves and their laws into objects of worship.²³ It is likely that this perception inspired the Aceh-based network to signify as an "enemy" who serves the Indonesian regime, with its foundation not based on the *shari'a*, but on the Pancasila, a secular, or religiously neutral state philosophy.

A view into al-Maqdisi's perceptions suggests that perhaps it is not only the formative foundations of the Indonesian polity that make the current Indonesian regime as an "enemy", but also the democracy that is successfully built in this country, a home of the largest Muslim community in the world. Extreme radical Muslims worldwide strongly reject democracy as an un-Islamic concept that is anchored in political tradition of the West. Believing in the ultimate God's rule on earth and that the state has to be ruled by the *shari'a* only, they deny the basic democratic concept of people's sovereignty and the non-religious perception of manmade laws. Al-Maqdisi, who shares this position, sharply rejects democracy. His doctrinal denial of democracy is strongly manifested in his book, *al-Dimuqratiya Din* ("Democracy: A Religion"). He argues that Democracy is a religion, but not the religion of God. He perceives democracy, which gives sovereignty to the people, as a

 $^{^{\}rm 22}$ See above note 9 .

²³ See Wagemakers, "A Purist Jihadi-Salafi", pp. 289–291.

threat to the basic Islamic idea of the *tawhid*, the believing in the unity of God. Therefore, he states that it is required to keep away from parliaments that constitute "castles of polytheism and strongholds of paganism", to make effort to destroy democracy, to treat as enemies its followers, to hate them and to wage *jihad* against them.²⁴ He also sharply rejected as un-Islamic preliminary signs of democracy in the Arab world, such as the Kuwaiti elections in the early 1990s and the Jordanian elections in 1993 and 1997.²⁵

But al-Maqdisi, who condemns contemporary rulers and regimes in Muslim countries, their man-made laws, their policies and democracy, accuses them of infidelity (*kufr*) and declares them to be infidels (*kafirun*, kuffar), avoided applying general takfir (excommunication of an individual from the umma of unbelief or apostasy) to contemporary societies in Muslim countries, as a whole, unlike some militant ideologues and groups. Thus he applied takfir only to al-tawaghit wa ansaruhum ("the idols and their helpers"). It means, according to Joas Wagemakers, that he considers as infidels only rulers and people who are directly engaged in abetting and helping the regime and its legislative process; for example, the police, the army, ministers and members of parliament. ²⁶ Guided by the same reasoning, he disagreed with declaring of general takfir by Abu Musab Al-Zarqawi on everyone in Iraq who is actively working for democracy or participating there in democratic activities as *kuffar* ("infidels"); a declaration that makes them all as legitimate targets for *jihad*. Hence, although he supported *jihad* against the "forces of democracy" and those Muslims who aid them, he expressed an opposition to declaring as *kuffar* the generality of people who participate in the elections.²⁷ His selective attitude may explain the agenda of the new Aceh-based terrorist network, which included attacks within the base area on *taghut*; civilian officials and security forces who were

²⁴ Abu Muhammad 'Isam al-Maqdisi, (Bait al-Muqaddas, 1997), p. 3. See also Brooke, "The Preacher and the Jihadi", pp. 52, 54–55, 58–59; Wagemakers, "A Purist Jihadi-Salafi", pp. 291–292.

²⁵ See Brooke "The Preacher and the Jihadi", p. 54.

²⁶ Wagemakers, "A Purist Jihadi-Salafi", p. 292. See also Brooke, "The Preacher and the Jihadi", pp. 57–59.

²⁷ See Brooke, "The Preacher and the Jihadi", pp. 54, 58–59. Al-Maqdisi selective attitude can also be observed in his position on the use of suicide-bombing – see Ibid., p. 61; Wagemakers, "A Purist Jihadi-Salafi", p. 295.

considered to be obstacles to the application of Islamic law, including Western civilians, who were spreading secular thought.

Insights suggested by historical view into the local context: The case of Darul Islam's Rebellions

One of the reports by the ICG on Indonesia opens by stating that "No understanding of jihadism in Indonesia is possible without understanding the Darul Islam movement (DI) and its efforts to establish the Islamic State of Indonesia (Negara Islam Indonesia (NII))"²⁸. Anthony Bubalo and Greg Fealy portray the JI as a hybrid of local and international, or global, mainly Middle Eastern, *jihadi* influences and qualities; and with regard to local *jihadi* influences, they focus on the DI rebellions.²⁹ The case of the Aceh-based militant network seems to supply another piece of evidence that the particularities of the Indonesian context also offer interesting insights for understanding current radical, militant phenomena in the Indonesian archipelago.

The armed struggle of the DI movement was actually a combination of several regional rebellions—in West Java, Central Java, South Sulawesi, South Kalimantan (Borneo) and Aceh—against the Indonesian central government in 1948–1962. These rebellions have left a deep imprint on the modern history of Indonesia as a fervent militant struggle for establishing Indonesia as an Islamic state that seriously challenged the just born country, founded on a secular or religiously neutral state philosophy, the Pancasila. These rebellions were entirely suppressed by the Indonesian Army in the first half of the 1960s, and not before Sekarmadji Maridjan Kartosuwirjo (1905–1962), the charismatic leader of the DI, was captured and executed.³⁰

²⁸ International Crisis Group (ICG), *Recycling Militants in Indonesia: Darul Islam and the Australian Embassy Bombing*, Asia Report no.92 (Jakarta/Brussels: ICG, 22 February 2005), p. I, <u>http://www.crisisgroup.org/en/regions/asia/south-east-asia/indonesia/092-recycling-militants-in-indonesia-darul-islam-and-the-australian-embassy-bombing.aspx</u> (last accessed 1 July 2010).

²⁹ See Anthony Bubalo and Greg Fealy, *Joining the Caravan*?: *The Middle East, Islamism and Indonesia* (Alexandria, New South Wales: Lowey Institute for International Policy, 2005), pp. 78–89, <u>http://www.lowyinstitute.org/Publication.asp?pid=229</u> (last accessed 5 July 2010).

³⁰ On Darul Islam, see C. van Dijk, *Rebellion under the Banner of Islam: The Darul Islam Indonesia* (The Hague: Martinus Nijhoff, 1981); Karl D. Jackson, *Traditional Authority, Islam, and Rebellion : A Study of Indonesian Political Behaviour* (Berkeley : University of California Press, c1980); Soebardi, "Kartosuwiryo and the Darul Islam Rebellion in Indonesia", *Journal of Southeast Asian Studies*, vol. XIV, no. 1 (March 1983), pp. 109–33; B. J. Boland, *The Struggle of Islam in Modern Indonesia* (The

The DI is considered now to be very loose but enduring a web of personal contacts that extends to most of the major islands in Indonesia. Its past rebellions, including the image of Kartosuwirjo, strongly inspire extreme Islamic radical groups in Indonesia nowadays; some of them are even regarded to be splinters and offshoots of the DI. A particular strong historical and contemporary links, of conceptual, organizational and personal nature, are extended from the DI movement and its rebellions to the JI, though there are also some significant differences in religious doctrine and outlook between the two. DI communities are considered, for example, to still constitute a major source of JI recruiting and there is considerable intermarriage between families of the networks of the JI and the DI movement that strengthens the ties between the two networks. The links between the two networks are going too often through Pesantren al-Mukmin, located in Solo, Central Java. This pesantern (Islamic boarding school) is also known as Pondok Ngruki and "Ngruki Network". Pesantren al-Mukmin, including its alumni, which has played a significant role in the narrative of the JI, is strongly anchored in the heritage and experience of the DI movement and its rebellions; leading figures from its personal came from the ranks of the movement. Abdullah Sungkar and Abu Bakar Ba'asyir, for example, the co-founder of this pesantern, joined the DI in the second half of the 1970s and held senior positions in this movement during the 1980s and the early 1990s. Therefore, it not surprising that most members of "Ngruki Network" are said to be committed to carrying on the struggle of DI rebellions for establishing an Islamic state based on Islamic law.³¹

³¹ International Crisis Group (ICG), Indonesia Backgrounder: How The Jemaah Islamiyah Terrorist Network Operates, ICG Asia Report, No. 43 (Jakarta/Brussels, ICG, 11 December 2002), http://www.crisisgroup.org/en/regions/asia/south-east-asia/indonesia/043-indonesia-backgrounderhow-the-jemaah-islamiyah-terrorist-network-operates.aspx (last accessed: July 1, 2010); International Crisis Group (ICG), Al-Qaeda in Southeast Asia: The Case of the "Ngruki Network" in Indonesia, ICG, Indonesia Briefing (Jakarta/Brussels: 8 August 2002), ICG http://www.crisisgroup.org/en/regions/asia/south-east-asia/indonesia/B020-al-qaeda-in-southeast-asiathe-case-of-the-ngruki-network-in-indonesia-corrected-on-10-January-2003.aspx (last accessed 1 July 2010); ICG, Recycling Militants in Indonesia; International Crisis Group (ICG), Jemaah Islamiyah in South East Asia: Damaged but Still Dangerous, ICG Asia Report, No. 63, (Jakarta/Brussels, 26 August http://www.crisisgroup.org/en/regions/asia/south-east-asia/indonesia/063-jemaah-2003), 2. p. islamiyah-in-south-east-asia-damaged-but-still-dangerous.aspx (last accessed 1 July 2010); Bubalo and Fealy, Joining the Caravan, pp. 85-88; Greg Barton, Indonesia's Struggle: Jemaah Islamiyah And the Soul of Islam (University of Washington Press, 2005), pp. 13-16, 46-48, 51, 55-59, 77, 86; Martin van

Hague: Martinus Nijhoff, 1971), pp. 54–75; M. C. Ricklefs, *A History of Modern Indonesia: Since c. 1200*, 3rd edition (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2001), pp. 261–286.

Those who were formerly in the JI and the network of the DI constitute a significant component of the new Aceh-based militant network.³² Dulmatin, the leader the discussed network, was alleged to be a leading member of the JI.³³ Such linkages further urge to reveal threads that lead from DI rebellions to the strategic and operational thought of this network. Since the *jihadism* of the DI was not based on contemporary Middle Eastern sources, but rather on interpretations of centuries old classical jurisprudence (*fiqh*) texts,³⁴ an attempt to identify such threads is tantamount to a search also for local historical and conceptual influences on the strategic and operational thought of the discussed network.

In 1948, while taking part in the National Revolution—the Indonesian war of independence against the Dutch, who refused to give up their long years of rule in the Indonesian archipelago—the militant Islamic guerrillas in West Java, led by Kartosuwirjo, broke with the Republican government; they accused the central national government for having a lenient approach in the war against the Dutch and strongly rejected its non-Islamic political ideology. At the same time, Kartosuwirjo and his followers, who were strongly committed to the idea of an Islamic state based on the *shari* '*a*, the Islamic law, started gradually to form a provisional government in the territory held by them. But it was not before August 1949 that Kartosuwirjo finally proclaimed the establishment of the Negara Islam Indonesia (NII, "The Islamic State of Indonesia") on the territory held by his forces. As the head of the NII, he was given the title of *Imam*. The *Imam* was to preside over a Cabinet (Dewan Imamah). According to the constitution, there were to be three other bodies: Majlis Syuro (Arabic: *Majlis Shura*), the "Parliament"; Dewan Syuro, the "Executive" of

Bruinessen, "Genealogies of Islamic Radicalism in post-Suharto Indonesia", *South East Asia Research*, vol. 10, no. 2 (July 2002), pp. 128–130, 146.

³² ICG, Indonesia: Jihadi Surprise in Aceh, p. 3.

³³ See for example Arnaz, Andriyanto & Malik, "Police Say SBY Was Target of Independence Day Bloodbath"; "Profile: Dulmatin, JI's 'genius'", *BBC*, March 9, 2010, http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/asia-pacific/4318666.stm (last accessed 2 July 2010); "Bali bomber' Dulmatin confirmed dead in Indonesia raid", *BBC*, 10 March 2010, http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/8559054.stm (last accessed: March 10, 2010).

³⁴ See Bubalo and Fealy, *Joining the Caravan*?, pp. 86–87.

Majlis Syuro; and Dewan Fatwa, chaired by grand *Mufti*, to advise the *Imam* and his government.³⁵

Indeed, as can be learnt from ICG's report, "Indonesia: *Jihadi* Surprise in Aceh", the roots of the idea of the discussed militant network to establish *qoidah aminah* (secure base) in Aceh can be found in al-Maqdisi's concept of *qital tamkin*; the fighting to consolidate power that aims mainly to establish Islamic law and even to advance a vision of establishing an Islamic state. But perhaps the members of the new network were also inspired in their plan of making Aceh a secure base, at least indirectly or even unconsciously, by the earlier authentic model set by the DI movement on the Indonesian soil, of establishing an Islamic state on a limited territory, hoping to make it a preliminary basis for a much broader Islamic state in the future. The fact that Aceh was one of the major theatres for DI rebellions can also sustain such a possibility.

Some historical threads might even lead to the discussed issue of prioritizing of targets. From the end of 1948 onwards, the Islamic militias in West Java, commonly known as the DI, which were led by Kartosuwirjo, even made the Indonesian Republic their primary target in the war that ended in December 1949. They explained their move by claiming that the secular Republican leaders of Indonesia had made themselves as evil as the Dutch by rejecting Islam as the sole foundation of Indonesia. They also accused the Indonesian Republic in showing hesitancy in the struggle for complete independence. Since then, it was mostly the Republican rather than the Dutch troops who were attacked by the Islamic militias in Java.³⁶ Consequently, the war of independence against the Dutch started to resemble a kind of triangular war, with Kartosuwiryo's forces battling both Sukarno's nationalists and the Dutch. Hence, more than 30 years before the publication of al-Farida al-Ghaiba by 'Abd as-Salaam Faraj, the DI movement actually made a distinction, without using the precise terms that had not been coined yet, between the "near enemy" and the "far enemy", and even gave some priority to the fighting against the former- the local regime—over the fighting against the latter—the Dutch. Notwithstanding, the DI did

³⁵ See van Dijk, Rebellion under the Banner of Islam, pp. 1–126.

³⁶ Ibid., p. 92.

not cease fighting against the Dutch. Is it probable that the logic of the strategy adopted by the Aceh-based network in the issue of definition of the enemy, or prioritizing of targets, somewhat resembles such an approach?

Conclusion

In 2004, the author of this article examined in his book, *Islam in Indonesia: Modernism, Radicalism and the Middle East Dimension*,³⁷ the contemporary radical Islamic fundamentalism in Indonesia through both global, mainly Middle Eastern, and local perspectives. The case of DI rebellions, as a manifestation of a war cry of *jihad*, which was anchored in the local Indonesian context, was also discussed. Its inspiring effect on the narrative of Islamic militancy during the recent decades was also noted.³⁸ He concluded his discussion on the global and local contexts of radical Islamic fundamentalism in Indonesia by saying:

Indeed, the current radical fundamentalism in Indonesia has a responsive nature, being largely influenced by the ideology of radical fundamentalist groups and movements in the Middle East. But also some Islamic concepts, which underwent a process of reactualization in the contemporary Islam in Indonesia, seem to be diffused into current radical fundamentalism in Indonesia. So, through a broader historical perspective, pertaining to both the Islamic conceptual interaction between Indonesia and the Middle East and to the Indonesian local context itself, some insights, which enrich the view of the complex phenomenon of radical Islamic fundamentalism in Indonesia, are beginning to emerge. Observations limited to the current scene are likely to overlook some of these insights.³⁹

The discussed case of the Aceh-based militant network suggests evidence that the multi-faceted contours of Islamic radicalism and militancy in Indonesia tend to be revealed through a view that catches the Indonesian local context and the global context, the Middle Eastern in particular. In other words, though being spread far

³⁷ Giora Eliraz, *Islam in Indonesia: Modernism, Radicalism and the Middle East Dimension* (Brighton and Portland: Sussex Academic Press, 2004).

³⁸ See Ibid., pp. 56–61.

³⁹ Ibid., pp. 65–66.

away from each other, a connective fabric of links, in Islamic context mainly, which exists hundreds of years, brings them closer. Therefore, an attempt to observe militant Islamic phenomena in Indonesia is likely to take the observer into a journey to two regions—the Indonesian archipelago and the Middle East—a journey that requires a careful navigation between the universalities of the global aspects and the particularities of the local Indonesian context.

RSIS Working Paper Series

	ASIS " or Ming I uper Series	
1.	Vietnam-China Relations Since The End of The Cold War Ang Cheng Guan	(1998)
2.	Multilateral Security Cooperation in the Asia-Pacific Region: Prospects and Possibilities Desmond Ball	(1999)
3.	Reordering Asia: "Cooperative Security" or Concert of Powers? Amitav Acharya	(1999)
4.	The South China Sea Dispute re-visited Ang Cheng Guan	(1999)
5.	Continuity and Change In Malaysian Politics: Assessing the Buildup to the 1999-2000 General Elections Joseph Liow Chin Yong	(1999)
6.	'Humanitarian Intervention in Kosovo' as Justified, Executed and Mediated by NATO: Strategic Lessons for Singapore <i>Kumar Ramakrishna</i>	(2000)
7.	Taiwan's Future: Mongolia or Tibet? Chien-peng (C.P.) Chung	(2001)
8.	Asia-Pacific Diplomacies: Reading Discontinuity in Late-Modern Diplomatic Practice <i>Tan See Seng</i>	(2001)
9.	Framing "South Asia": Whose Imagined Region? Sinderpal Singh	(2001)
10.	Explaining Indonesia's Relations with Singapore During the New Order Period: The Case of Regime Maintenance and Foreign Policy <i>Terence Lee Chek Liang</i>	(2001)
11.	Human Security: Discourse, Statecraft, Emancipation Tan See Seng	(2001)
12.	Globalization and its Implications for Southeast Asian Security: A Vietnamese Perspective Nguyen Phuong Binh	(2001)
13.	Framework for Autonomy in Southeast Asia's Plural Societies Miriam Coronel Ferrer	(2001)
14.	Burma: Protracted Conflict, Governance and Non-Traditional Security Issues Ananda Rajah	(2001)
15.	Natural Resources Management and Environmental Security in Southeast Asia: Case Study of Clean Water Supplies in Singapore <i>Kog Yue Choong</i>	(2001)
16.	Crisis and Transformation: ASEAN in the New Era Etel Solingen	(2001)
17.	Human Security: East Versus West? Amitav Acharya	(2001)
18.	Asian Developing Countries and the Next Round of WTO Negotiations <i>Barry Desker</i>	(2001)

19.	Multilateralism, Neo-liberalism and Security in Asia: The Role of the Asia Pacific Economic Co-operation Forum <i>Ian Taylor</i>	(2001)
20.	Humanitarian Intervention and Peacekeeping as Issues for Asia-Pacific Security Derek McDougall	(2001)
21.	Comprehensive Security: The South Asian Case S.D. Muni	(2002)
22.	The Evolution of China's Maritime Combat Doctrines and Models: 1949-2001 <i>You Ji</i>	(2002)
23.	The Concept of Security Before and After September 11 a. The Contested Concept of Security Steve Smith	(2002)
	b. Security and Security Studies After September 11: Some Preliminary Reflections <i>Amitav Acharya</i>	
24.	Democratisation In South Korea And Taiwan: The Effect Of Social Division On Inter- Korean and Cross-Strait Relations <i>Chien-peng (C.P.) Chung</i>	(2002)
25.	Understanding Financial Globalisation Andrew Walter	(2002)
26.	911, American Praetorian Unilateralism and the Impact on State-Society Relations in Southeast Asia Kumar Ramakrishna	(2002)
27.	Great Power Politics in Contemporary East Asia: Negotiating Multipolarity or Hegemony? <i>Tan See Seng</i>	(2002)
28.	What Fear Hath Wrought: Missile Hysteria and The Writing of "America" <i>Tan See Seng</i>	(2002)
29.	International Responses to Terrorism: The Limits and Possibilities of Legal Control of Terrorism by Regional Arrangement with Particular Reference to ASEAN <i>Ong Yen Nee</i>	(2002)
30.	Reconceptualizing the PLA Navy in Post – Mao China: Functions, Warfare, Arms, and Organization <i>Nan Li</i>	(2002)
31.	Attempting Developmental Regionalism Through AFTA: The Domestics Politics – Domestic Capital Nexus <i>Helen E S Nesadurai</i>	(2002)
32.	11 September and China: Opportunities, Challenges, and Warfighting Nan Li	(2002)
33.	Islam and Society in Southeast Asia after September 11 Barry Desker	(2002)
34.	Hegemonic Constraints: The Implications of September 11 For American Power Evelyn Goh	(2002)
35.	Not Yet All AboardBut Already All At Sea Over Container Security Initiative Irvin Lim	(2002)

36.	Financial Liberalization and Prudential Regulation in East Asia: Still Perverse? <i>Andrew Walter</i>	(2002)
37.	Indonesia and The Washington Consensus Premjith Sadasivan	(2002)
38.	The Political Economy of FDI Location: Why Don't Political Checks and Balances and Treaty Constraints Matter? <i>Andrew Walter</i>	(2002)
39.	The Securitization of Transnational Crime in ASEAN <i>Ralf Emmers</i>	(2002)
40.	Liquidity Support and The Financial Crisis: The Indonesian Experience J Soedradjad Djiwandono	(2002)
41.	A UK Perspective on Defence Equipment Acquisition David Kirkpatrick	(2003)
42.	Regionalisation of Peace in Asia: Experiences and Prospects of ASEAN, ARF and UN Partnership Mely C. Anthony	(2003)
43.	The WTO In 2003: Structural Shifts, State-Of-Play And Prospects For The Doha Round Razeen Sally	(2003)
44.	Seeking Security In The Dragon's Shadow: China and Southeast Asia In The Emerging Asian Order Amitav Acharya	(2003)
45.	Deconstructing Political Islam In Malaysia: UMNO'S Response To PAS' Religio-Political Dialectic Joseph Liow	(2003)
46.	The War On Terror And The Future of Indonesian Democracy Tatik S. Hafidz	(2003)
47.	Examining The Role of Foreign Assistance in Security Sector Reforms: The Indonesian Case Eduardo Lachica	(2003)
48.	Sovereignty and The Politics of Identity in International Relations <i>Adrian Kuah</i>	(2003)
49.	Deconstructing Jihad; Southeast Asia Contexts Patricia Martinez	(2003)
50.	The Correlates of Nationalism in Beijing Public Opinion Alastair Iain Johnston	(2003)
51.	In Search of Suitable Positions' in the Asia Pacific: Negotiating the US-China Relationship and Regional Security <i>Evelyn Goh</i>	(2003)
52.	American Unilaterism, Foreign Economic Policy and the 'Securitisation' of Globalisation <i>Richard Higgott</i>	(2003)

53.	Fireball on the Water: Naval Force Protection-Projection, Coast Guarding, Customs Border Security & Multilateral Cooperation in Rolling Back the Global Waves of Terror from the Sea <i>Irvin Lim</i>	(2003)
54.	Revisiting Responses To Power Preponderance: Going Beyond The Balancing- Bandwagoning Dichotomy <i>Chong Ja Ian</i>	(2003)
55.	Pre-emption and Prevention: An Ethical and Legal Critique of the Bush Doctrine and Anticipatory Use of Force In Defence of the State <i>Malcolm Brailey</i>	(2003)
56.	The Indo-Chinese Enlargement of ASEAN: Implications for Regional Economic Integration <i>Helen E S Nesadurai</i>	(2003)
57.	The Advent of a New Way of War: Theory and Practice of Effects Based Operation <i>Joshua Ho</i>	(2003)
58.	Critical Mass: Weighing in on Force Transformation & Speed Kills Post-Operation Iraqi Freedom <i>Irvin Lim</i>	(2004)
59.	Force Modernisation Trends in Southeast Asia Andrew Tan	(2004)
60.	Testing Alternative Responses to Power Preponderance: Buffering, Binding, Bonding and Beleaguering in the Real World <i>Chong Ja Ian</i>	(2004)
61.	Outlook on the Indonesian Parliamentary Election 2004 Irman G. Lanti	(2004)
62.	Globalization and Non-Traditional Security Issues: A Study of Human and Drug Trafficking in East Asia <i>Ralf Emmers</i>	(2004)
63.	Outlook for Malaysia's 11 th General Election Joseph Liow	(2004)
64.	Not <i>Many</i> Jobs Take a Whole Army: Special Operations Forces and The Revolution in Military Affairs. <i>Malcolm Brailey</i>	(2004)
65.	Technological Globalisation and Regional Security in East Asia J.D. Kenneth Boutin	(2004)
66.	UAVs/UCAVS – Missions, Challenges, and Strategic Implications for Small and Medium Powers Manjeet Singh Pardesi	(2004)
67.	Singapore's Reaction to Rising China: Deep Engagement and Strategic Adjustment <i>Evelyn Goh</i>	(2004)
68.	The Shifting Of Maritime Power And The Implications For Maritime Security In East Asia <i>Joshua Ho</i>	(2004)

69.	China In The Mekong River Basin: The Regional Security Implications of Resource Development On The Lancang Jiang <i>Evelyn Goh</i>	(2004)
70.	Examining the Defence Industrialization-Economic Growth Relationship: The Case of Singapore Adrian Kuah and Bernard Loo	(2004)
71.	"Constructing" The Jemaah Islamiyah Terrorist: A Preliminary Inquiry Kumar Ramakrishna	(2004)
72.	Malaysia and The United States: Rejecting Dominance, Embracing Engagement Helen E S Nesadurai	(2004)
73.	The Indonesian Military as a Professional Organization: Criteria and Ramifications for Reform John Bradford	(2005)
74.	Martime Terrorism in Southeast Asia: A Risk Assessment Catherine Zara Raymond	(2005)
75.	Southeast Asian Maritime Security In The Age Of Terror: Threats, Opportunity, And Charting The Course Forward <i>John Bradford</i>	(2005)
76.	Deducing India's Grand Strategy of Regional Hegemony from Historical and Conceptual Perspectives Manjeet Singh Pardesi	(2005)
77.	Towards Better Peace Processes: A Comparative Study of Attempts to Broker Peace with MNLF and GAM <i>S P Harish</i>	(2005)
78.	Multilateralism, Sovereignty and Normative Change in World Politics Amitav Acharya	(2005)
79.	The State and Religious Institutions in Muslim Societies <i>Riaz Hassan</i>	(2005)
80.	On Being Religious: Patterns of Religious Commitment in Muslim Societies <i>Riaz Hassan</i>	(2005)
81.	The Security of Regional Sea Lanes Joshua Ho	(2005)
82.	Civil-Military Relationship and Reform in the Defence Industry Arthur S Ding	(2005)
83.	How Bargaining Alters Outcomes: Bilateral Trade Negotiations and Bargaining Strategies Deborah Elms	(2005)
84.	Great Powers and Southeast Asian Regional Security Strategies: Omni-enmeshment, Balancing and Hierarchical Order Evelyn Goh	(2005)
85.	Global Jihad, Sectarianism and The Madrassahs in Pakistan Ali Riaz	(2005)
86.	Autobiography, Politics and Ideology in Sayyid Qutb's Reading of the Qur'an Umej Bhatia	(2005)

87.	Maritime Disputes in the South China Sea: Strategic and Diplomatic Status Quo <i>Ralf Emmers</i>	(2005)
88.	China's Political Commissars and Commanders: Trends & Dynamics Srikanth Kondapalli	(2005)
89.	Piracy in Southeast Asia New Trends, Issues and Responses Catherine Zara Raymond	(2005)
90.	Geopolitics, Grand Strategy and the Bush Doctrine Simon Dalby	(2005)
91.	Local Elections and Democracy in Indonesia: The Case of the Riau Archipelago Nankyung Choi	(2005)
92.	The Impact of RMA on Conventional Deterrence: A Theoretical Analysis <i>Manjeet Singh Pardesi</i>	(2005)
93.	Africa and the Challenge of Globalisation Jeffrey Herbst	(2005)
94.	The East Asian Experience: The Poverty of 'Picking Winners Barry Desker and Deborah Elms	(2005)
95.	Bandung And The Political Economy Of North-South Relations: Sowing The Seeds For Revisioning International Society <i>Helen E S Nesadurai</i>	(2005)
96.	Re-conceptualising the Military-Industrial Complex: A General Systems Theory Approach Adrian Kuah	(2005)
97.	Food Security and the Threat From Within: Rice Policy Reforms in the Philippines <i>Bruce Tolentino</i>	(2006)
98.	Non-Traditional Security Issues: Securitisation of Transnational Crime in Asia James Laki	(2006)
99.	Securitizing/Desecuritizing the Filipinos' 'Outward Migration Issue'in the Philippines' Relations with Other Asian Governments <i>José N. Franco, Jr.</i>	(2006)
100.	Securitization Of Illegal Migration of Bangladeshis To India Josy Joseph	(2006)
101.	Environmental Management and Conflict in Southeast Asia – Land Reclamation and its Political Impact Kog Yue-Choong	(2006)
102.	Securitizing border-crossing: The case of marginalized stateless minorities in the Thai- Burma Borderlands <i>Mika Toyota</i>	(2006)
103.	The Incidence of Corruption in India: Is the Neglect of Governance Endangering Human Security in South Asia? Shabnam Mallick and Rajarshi Sen	(2006)
104.	The LTTE's Online Network and its Implications for Regional Security Shyam Tekwani	(2006)

105.	The Korean War June-October 1950: Inchon and Stalin In The "Trigger Vs Justification" Debate <i>Tan Kwoh Jack</i>	(2006)
106.	International Regime Building in Southeast Asia: ASEAN Cooperation against the Illicit Trafficking and Abuse of Drugs <i>Ralf Emmers</i>	(2006)
107.	Changing Conflict Identities: The case of the Southern Thailand Discord <i>S P Harish</i>	(2006)
108.	Myanmar and the Argument for Engagement: A Clash of Contending Moralities? Christopher B Roberts	(2006)
109.	TEMPORAL DOMINANCE Military Transformation and the Time Dimension of Strategy Edwin Seah	(2006)
110.	Globalization and Military-Industrial Transformation in South Asia: An Historical Perspective <i>Emrys Chew</i>	(2006)
111.	UNCLOS and its Limitations as the Foundation for a Regional Maritime Security Regime Sam Bateman	(2006)
112.	Freedom and Control Networks in Military Environments Paul T Mitchell	(2006)
113.	Rewriting Indonesian History The Future in Indonesia's Past Kwa Chong Guan	(2006)
114.	Twelver Shi'ite Islam: Conceptual and Practical Aspects Christoph Marcinkowski	(2006)
115.	Islam, State and Modernity : Muslim Political Discourse in Late 19 th and Early 20 th century India <i>Iqbal Singh Sevea</i>	(2006)
116.	<i>Voice of the Malayan Revolution</i> ': The Communist Party of Malaya's Struggle for Hearts and Minds in the 'Second Malayan Emergency' (1969-1975) <i>Ong Wei Chong</i>	(2006)
117.	"From Counter-Society to Counter-State: Jemaah Islamiyah According to PUPJI" Elena Pavlova	(2006)
118.	The Terrorist Threat to Singapore's Land Transportation Infrastructure: A Preliminary Enquiry <i>Adam Dolnik</i>	(2006)
119.	The Many Faces of Political Islam Mohammed Ayoob	(2006)
120.	Facets of Shi'ite Islam in Contemporary Southeast Asia (I): Thailand and Indonesia Christoph Marcinkowski	(2006)
121.	Facets of Shi'ite Islam in Contemporary Southeast Asia (II): Malaysia and Singapore Christoph Marcinkowski	(2006)

122.	Towards a History of Malaysian Ulama Mohamed Nawab	(2007)
123.	Islam and Violence in Malaysia Ahmad Fauzi Abdul Hamid	(2007)
124.	Between Greater Iran and Shi'ite Crescent: Some Thoughts on the Nature of Iran's Ambitions in the Middle East <i>Christoph Marcinkowski</i>	(2007)
125.	Thinking Ahead: Shi'ite Islam in Iraq and its Seminaries (hawzah 'ilmiyyah) Christoph Marcinkowski	(2007)
126.	The China Syndrome: Chinese Military Modernization and the Rearming of Southeast Asia <i>Richard A. Bitzinger</i>	(2007)
127.	Contested Capitalism: Financial Politics and Implications for China <i>Richard Carney</i>	(2007)
128.	Sentinels of Afghan Democracy: The Afghan National Army Samuel Chan	(2007)
129.	The De-escalation of the Spratly Dispute in Sino-Southeast Asian Relations <i>Ralf Emmers</i>	(2007)
130.	War, Peace or Neutrality: An Overview of Islamic Polity's Basis of Inter-State Relations Muhammad Haniff Hassan	(2007)
131.	Mission Not So Impossible: The AMM and the Transition from Conflict to Peace in Aceh, 2005–2006 <i>Kirsten E. Schulze</i>	(2007)
132.	Comprehensive Security and Resilience in Southeast Asia: ASEAN's Approach to Terrorism and Sea Piracy <i>Ralf Emmers</i>	(2007)
133.	The Ulama in Pakistani Politics Mohamed Nawab	(2007)
134.	China's Proactive Engagement in Asia: Economics, Politics and Interactions <i>Li Mingjiang</i>	(2007)
135.	The PLA's Role in China's Regional Security Strategy <i>Qi Dapeng</i>	(2007)
136.	War As They Knew It: Revolutionary War and Counterinsurgency in Southeast Asia Ong Wei Chong	(2007)
137.	Indonesia's Direct Local Elections: Background and Institutional Framework Nankyung Choi	(2007)
138.	Contextualizing Political Islam for Minority Muslims Muhammad Haniff bin Hassan	(2007)
139.	Ngruki Revisited: Modernity and Its Discontents at the Pondok Pesantren al-Mukmin of Ngruki, Surakarta <i>Farish A. Noor</i>	(2007)
140.	Globalization: Implications of and for the Modern / Post-modern Navies of the Asia Pacific <i>Geoffrey Till</i>	(2007)

141.	Comprehensive Maritime Domain Awareness: An Idea Whose Time Has Come? Irvin Lim Fang Jau	(2007)
142.	Sulawesi: Aspirations of Local Muslims Rohaiza Ahmad Asi	(2007)
143.	Islamic Militancy, Sharia, and Democratic Consolidation in Post-Suharto Indonesia Noorhaidi Hasan	(2007)
144.	Crouching Tiger, Hidden Dragon: The Indian Ocean and The Maritime Balance of Power in Historical Perspective <i>Emrys Chew</i>	(2007)
145.	New Security Dimensions in the Asia Pacific Barry Desker	(2007)
146.	Japan's Economic Diplomacy towards East Asia: Fragmented Realism and Naïve Liberalism Hidetaka Yoshimatsu	(2007)
147.	U.S. Primacy, Eurasia's New Strategic Landscape, and the Emerging Asian Order <i>Alexander L. Vuving</i>	(2007)
148.	The Asian Financial Crisis and ASEAN's Concept of Security Yongwook RYU	(2008)
149.	Security in the South China Sea: China's Balancing Act and New Regional Dynamics <i>Li Mingjiang</i>	(2008)
150.	The Defence Industry in the Post-Transformational World: Implications for the United States and Singapore <i>Richard A Bitzinger</i>	(2008)
151.	The Islamic Opposition in Malaysia:New Trajectories and Directions Mohamed Fauz Abdul Hamid	(2008)
152.	Thinking the Unthinkable: The Modernization and Reform of Islamic Higher Education in Indonesia Farish A Noor	(2008)
153.	Outlook for Malaysia's 12th General Elections Mohamed Nawab Mohamed Osman, Shahirah Mahmood and Joseph Chinyong Liow	(2008)
154.	The use of SOLAS Ship Security Alert Systems Thomas Timlen	(2008)
155.	Thai-Chinese Relations:Security and Strategic Partnership Chulacheeb Chinwanno	(2008)
156.	Sovereignty In ASEAN and The Problem of Maritime Cooperation in the South China Sea JN Mak	(2008)
157.	Sino-U.S. Competition in Strategic Arms Arthur S. Ding	(2008)
158.	Roots of Radical Sunni Traditionalism Karim Douglas Crow	(2008)
159.	Interpreting Islam On Plural Society Muhammad Haniff Hassan	(2008)

160.	Towards a Middle Way Islam in Southeast Asia: Contributions of the Gülen Movement <i>Mohamed Nawab Mohamed Osman</i>	(2008)
161.	Spoilers, Partners and Pawns: Military Organizational Behaviour and Civil-Military Relations in Indonesia <i>Evan A. Laksmana</i>	(2008)
162.	The Securitization of Human Trafficking in Indonesia Rizal Sukma	(2008)
163.	The Hindu Rights Action Force (HINDRAF) of Malaysia: Communitarianism Across Borders? Farish A. Noor	(2008)
164.	A Merlion at the Edge of an Afrasian Sea: Singapore's Strategic Involvement in the Indian Ocean <i>Emrys Chew</i>	(2008)
165.	Soft Power in Chinese Discourse: Popularity and Prospect Li Mingjiang	(2008)
166.	Singapore's Sovereign Wealth Funds: The Politcal Risk of Overseas Investments <i>Friedrich Wu</i>	(2008)
167.	The Internet in Indonesia: Development and Impact of Radical Websites Jennifer Yang Hui	(2008)
168.	Beibu Gulf: Emerging Sub-regional Integration between China and ASEAN <i>Gu Xiaosong and Li Mingjiang</i>	(2009)
169.	Islamic Law In Contemporary Malaysia: Prospects and Problems Ahmad Fauzi Abdul Hamid	(2009)
170.	"Indonesia's Salafist Sufis" Julia Day Howell	(2009)
171.	Reviving the Caliphate in the Nusantara: Hizbut Tahrir Indonesia's Mobilization Strategy and Its Impact in Indonesia Mohamed Nawab Mohamed Osman	(2009)
172.	Islamizing Formal Education: Integrated Islamic School and a New Trend in Formal Education Institution in Indonesia <i>Noorhaidi Hasan</i>	(2009)
173.	The Implementation of Vietnam-China Land Border Treaty: Bilateral and Regional Implications Do Thi Thuy	(2009)
174.	The Tablighi Jama'at Movement in the Southern Provinces of Thailand Today: Networks and Modalities <i>Farish A. Noor</i>	(2009)
175.	The Spread of the Tablighi Jama'at Across Western, Central and Eastern Java and the role of the Indian Muslim Diaspora <i>Farish A. Noor</i>	(2009)
176.	Significance of Abu Dujana and Zarkasih's Verdict Nurfarahislinda Binte Mohamed Ismail, V. Arianti and Jennifer Yang Hui	(2009)

177.	The Perils of Consensus: How ASEAN's Meta-Regime Undermines Economic and Environmental Cooperation <i>Vinod K. Aggarwal and Jonathan T. Chow</i>	(2009)
178.	The Capacities of Coast Guards to deal with Maritime Challenges in Southeast Asia Prabhakaran Paleri	(2009)
179.	China and Asian Regionalism: Pragmatism Hinders Leadership Li Mingjiang	(2009)
180.	Livelihood Strategies Amongst Indigenous Peoples in the Central Cardamom Protected Forest, Cambodia Long Sarou	(2009)
181.	Human Trafficking in Cambodia: Reintegration of the Cambodian illegal migrants from Vietnam and Thailand <i>Neth Naro</i>	(2009)
182.	The Philippines as an Archipelagic and Maritime Nation: Interests, Challenges, and Perspectives <i>Mary Ann Palma</i>	(2009)
183.	The Changing Power Distribution in the South China Sea: Implications for Conflict Management and Avoidance <i>Ralf Emmers</i>	(2009)
184.	Islamist Party, Electoral Politics and Da'wa Mobilization among Youth: The Prosperous Justice Party (PKS) in Indonesia Noorhaidi Hasan	(2009)
185.	U.S. Foreign Policy and Southeast Asia: From Manifest Destiny to Shared Destiny <i>Emrys Chew</i>	(2009)
186.	Different Lenses on the Future: U.S. and Singaporean Approaches to Strategic Planning <i>Justin Zorn</i>	(2009)
187.	Converging Peril : Climate Change and Conflict in the Southern Philippines <i>J. Jackson Ewing</i>	(2009)
188.	Informal Caucuses within the WTO: Singapore in the "Invisibles Group" <i>Barry Desker</i>	(2009)
189.	The ASEAN Regional Forum and Preventive Diplomacy: A Failure in Practice <i>Ralf Emmers and See Seng Tan</i>	(2009)
190.	How Geography Makes Democracy Work Richard W. Carney	(2009)
191.	The Arrival and Spread of the Tablighi Jama'at In West Papua (Irian Jaya), Indonesia Farish A. Noor	(2010)
192.	The Korean Peninsula in China's Grand Strategy: China's Role in dealing with North Korea's Nuclear Quandary <i>Chung Chong Wook</i>	(2010)
193.	Asian Regionalism and US Policy: The Case for Creative Adaptation Donald K. Emmerson	(2010)
194.	Jemaah Islamiyah:Of Kin and Kind Sulastri Osman	(2010)

195.	The Role of the Five Power Defence Arrangements in the Southeast Asian Security Architecture <i>Ralf Emmers</i>	(2010)
196.	The Domestic Political Origins of Global Financial Standards: Agrarian Influence and the Creation of U.S. Securities Regulations <i>Richard W. Carney</i>	(2010)
197.	Indian Naval Effectiveness for National Growth Ashok Sawhney	(2010)
198.	Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) regime in East Asian waters: Military and intelligence- gathering activities, Marine Scientific Research (MSR) and hydrographic surveys in an EEZ Yang Fang	(2010)
199.	Do Stated Goals Matter? Regional Institutions in East Asia and the Dynamic of Unstated Goals Deepak Nair	(2010)
200.	China's Soft Power in South Asia Parama Sinha Palit	(2010)
201.	Reform of the International Financial Architecture: How can Asia have a greater impact in the G20? <i>Pradumna R. Rana</i>	(2010)
202.	"Muscular" versus "Liberal" Secularism and the Religious Fundamentalist Challenge in Singapore Kumar Ramakrishna	(2010)
203.	Future of U.S. Power: Is China Going to Eclipse the United States? Two Possible Scenarios to 2040 <i>Tuomo Kuosa</i>	(2010)
204.	Swords to Ploughshares: China's Defence-Conversion Policy Lee Dongmin	(2010)
205.	Asia Rising and the Maritime Decline of the West: A Review of the Issues <i>Geoffrey Till</i>	(2010)
206.	From Empire to the War on Terror: The 1915 Indian Sepoy Mutiny in Singapore as a case study of the impact of profiling of religious and ethnic minorities. <i>Farish A. Noor</i>	(2010)
207.	Enabling Security for the 21st Century: Intelligence & Strategic Foresight and Warning <i>Helene Lavoix</i>	(2010)
208.	The Asian and Global Financial Crises: Consequences for East Asian Regionalism Ralf Emmers and John Ravenhill	(2010)
209.	Japan's New Security Imperative: The Function of Globalization Bhubhindar Singh and Philip Shetler-Jones	(2010)
210.	India's Emerging Land Warfare Doctrines and Capabilities Colonel Harinder Singh	(2010)
211.	A Response to Fourth Generation Warfare Amos Khan	(2010)

- 212. Japan-Korea Relations and the Tokdo/Takeshima Dispute: The Interplay of Nationalism (2010) and Natural Resources *Ralf Emmers*
- 213. Mapping the Religious and Secular Parties in South Sulawesi and Tanah Toraja, Sulawesi, (2010) Indonesia
 Farish A. Noor
- 214. The Aceh-based Militant Network: A Trigger for a View into the Insightful Complex of (2010) Conceptual and Historical Links *Giora Eliraz*