

The RSIS Working Paper series presents papers in a preliminary form and serves to stimulate comment and discussion. The views expressed are entirely the author's own and not that of the S. Rajaratnam School of International Studies. If you have any comments, please send them to the following email address: Rsispublication@ntu.edu.sg

#### Unsubscribing

If you no longer want to receive RSIS Working Papers, please click on "<u>Unsubscribe</u>." to be removed from the list.

No. 259

A New Containment-Policy

The Curbing of War and Violent Conflict in World Society

**Andreas Herberg-Rothe** 

S. Rajaratnam School of International Studies Singapore

2 May 2013

#### **About RSIS**

The S. Rajaratnam School of International Studies (RSIS) was established in January 2007 as an autonomous School within the Nanyang Technological University. Known earlier as the Institute of Defence and Strategic Studies when it was established in July 1996, RSIS' mission is to be a leading research and graduate teaching institution in strategic and international affairs in the Asia Pacific. To accomplish this mission, it will:

- Provide a rigorous professional graduate education with a strong practical emphasis,
- Conduct policy-relevant research in defence, national security, international relations, strategic studies and diplomacy,
- Foster a global network of like-minded professional schools.

#### **GRADUATE EDUCATION IN INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS**

RSIS offers a challenging graduate education in international affairs, taught by an international faculty of leading thinkers and practitioners. The Master of Science (M.Sc.) degree programmes in Strategic Studies, International Relations and International Political Economy are distinguished by their focus on the Asia Pacific, the professional practice of international affairs, and the cultivation of academic depth. Thus far, students from more than 50 countries have successfully completed one of these programmes. In 2010, a Double Masters Programme with Warwick University was also launched, with students required to spend the first year at Warwick and the second year at RSIS.

A small but select Ph.D. programme caters to advanced students who are supervised by faculty members with matching interests.

#### **RESEARCH**

Research takes place within RSIS' six components: the Institute of Defence and Strategic Studies (IDSS, 1996), the International Centre for Political Violence and Terrorism Research (ICPVTR, 2004), the Centre of Excellence for National Security (CENS, 2006), the Centre for Non-Traditional Security Studies (Centre for NTS Studies, 2008); the Temasek Foundation Centre for Trade & Negotiations (TFCTN, 2008); and the Centre for Multilateralism Studies (CMS, 2011). The focus of research is on issues relating to the security and stability of the Asia Pacific region and their implications for Singapore and other countries in the region.

The school has four professorships that bring distinguished scholars and practitioners to teach and to conduct research at the school. They are the S. Rajaratnam Professorship in Strategic Studies, the Ngee Ann Kongsi Professorship in International Relations, the NTUC Professorship in International Economic Relations and the Bakrie Professorship in Southeast Asia Policy.

#### INTERNATIONAL COLLABORATION

Collaboration with other professional schools of international affairs to form a global network of excellence is a RSIS priority. RSIS maintains links with other like-minded schools so as to enrich its research and teaching activities as well as adopt the best practices of successful schools.

i

#### **ABSTRACT**

We are witnessing a worldwide expansion of war and violence, which should be countered by a new containment, just as George Kennan emphasized as early as 1987: "And for these reasons we are going to have to develop a wider concept of what containment means (...) — a concept, in other words, more responsive to the problems of our own time — than the one I so light-heartedly brought to expression, hacking away at my typewriter there in the northwest corner of the War College building in December of 1946." Sixty years have already passed, since George Kennan formulated his original vision of containment. Although his original concept would be altered, in application by various administrations of the US-Government, in practice it has been incorporated within the concept and politics of common security, which has been the essential complement to pure militarily containment. These ideas are still valid — and as Kennan himself pointed out, they are in more need of explication and implementation than ever. The idea behind this concept is very simple: if the "world" is "flat" and something like a global network, the task is to protect our connections in the net and to contain the spreading of war and violence through this network.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Dr phil. habil. Andreas Herberg-Rothe is a permanent lecturer at the faculty of Social and Cultural Studies at the University of Applied Sciences, Fulda and was a private lecturer of Political Science at the Institute for Social Sciences, Humboldt-University Berlin (up to 2012). He was an associate of the Leverhulme Programme, "The Changing Character of War" (2004-2005) at the University of Oxford and convener (together with Hew Strachan) of the conference "Clausewitz in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century" (Oxford 2005). He was a visiting fellow at the London School of Economics and Political Science, Centre for International Studies (2005-2006).

He is the author of "Clausewitz's Puzzle: The Political Theory of War," Oxford University Press, 2007 and edited together with Hew Strachan the anthology "Clausewitz in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century," Oxford University Press, 2007." His articles include "New Containment Policy: Grand Strategy for the Twenty-first century?" *RUSI-Journal*, Whitehall, London Whitehall Vol. 153 No. 2, (April 2008), pp. 50-55; "The Re-Politicisation of War and Violent Conflict -

The World Powers are Striking Back." Ralph Rotte/Christoph Schwarz (Eds.), *War and Strategy*, New York (Nova Science), 2010. His last book about Clausewitz (together with Jan Willem Honig and Dan Moran) has just been published, *Clausewitz: The State and War*, Stuttgart, 2011. In 2010 and 2011 he held lectures at West Point Academy about Tolstoy and Clausewitz as well as in Washington about a new containment policy, the emergence of world order conflicts, Clausewitz and partisan warfare and in Oxford about the democratic warrior. Some of his political-philosophical articles are collected in his volume "Lyotard und Hegel: Dialektik von Philosophie und Politik.Wien," 2005 (Lyotard and Hegel: The dialectics of the political and philosophy). He held his most recent lecture about the last topic at the 29<sup>th</sup> international Hegel conference in Istanbul in October 2012.

## **A NEW CONTAINMENT-POLICY**

# The Curbing of War and Violent Conflict in World Society

Newly elected president Obama has argued that containment is not a reasonable policy concerning Iran, terrorism and even perhaps China. But one question is, what would be the alternatives. Would it be regime change in Tehran? Would it be traditional deterrence? Have those strategies been working in the past? Perhaps a strategy of containment is not the silver bullet, but the previous strategies may be even worse. It must be recalled that the strategy of containment was successfully applied against the USSR and led finally to its demise. The second question then arises. How we can adjust a policy of containment which is applicable as the appropriate strategy in this globalised world.

We are witnessing a worldwide expansion of war and violence, which should be countered by a new containment, just as George Kennan emphasised as early as 1987: "And for these reasons we are going to have to develop a wider concept of what containment means (...) — a concept, in other words, more responsive to the problems of our own time — than the one I so light-heartedly brought to expression, hacking away at my typewriter there in the northwest corner of the War College building in December of 1946." Sixty years have already passed, since George Kennan formulated his original vision of containment.

Although his original concept would be altered, in application by various administrations of the U.S. Government, in practice it has been incorporated within the concept and politics of common security, which has been the essential complement to pure militarily containment. These ideas are still valid — and as Kennan himself already pointed out, they are in more need of explication and implementation than ever. Especially because, what Kennan could not foresee, the developments in Iraq and Afghanistan have shown that the aim to gain victory about ones opponent in a traditional manner is no longer applicable in a globalised world. Instead of such strategies of the past we need one which is concentrating on

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Kegley, Charles W. Jr., The New Containment Myth: Realism and the Anomaly of European Integration. In Ethics & International Affairs, 1991, Vol 5, pp. 99-115.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Kennan, George, F., Containment: 40 years later. In: Containment: Concept and Policy, edited by Terry L. Deibel and John Lewis Gaddis. Washington: National Defense University Press, 1986 pp. 23.

transforming military achievements and success into a lasting political order.

#### The disinhibition of war and a new containment

The triumphant advance of democracy and free markets in the wake of the Soviet collapse seemed to be unstoppable, to the point where it appeared for a time as if the twenty-first century would be an age defined by economics and thus, to a great extent, peace. However, these expectations were quickly disappointed, not only because of the ongoing massacres and genocide in Sub-Saharan-Africa, but also by the return of war to Europe (primarily in the former Yugoslavia), together with the attacks of September 11, 2001 in the U.S. and the Iraq war with its on-going, violent consequences. A struggle against a new totalitarianism of an Islamic type appears to have started, in which war and violence are commonly perceived as having an unavoidable role. Both are also perceived as having become more "unbounded" than ever before - both in a spatial sense, for terrorist attacks are potentially ever-present, and temporally, since no end to these attacks is in sight. One can also speak of a new dimension to violence with respect to its extent and brutality - as exemplified by the extreme violence of the ongoing civil wars in Africa. Additionally, we are facing completely new types of threats, for example the possession of weapons of mass destruction by terrorist organisations or the development of atomic bombs by "problematic" states like Iran and North Korea. The potential emergence of a new Superpower, China, and perhaps of new "great" powers like India may lead to a new arms race, which presumably have a nuclear dimension as well. In the consciousness of many, violence appears to be slipping the leash of rational control, an image the media has not hesitated to foster, especially with respect to Sub-Saharan-Africa. Will there be "another bloody century," as Colin Gray has proposed?<sup>4</sup> Hence my conclusion is that we need a new strategy of containment, which must be different from that of the Cold War, but is based on some similar principles.

As compared to the Cold War, there is no longer an exclusive actor to be contained, as the Soviet Union was. Even if one were to anticipate China's emergence as a new superpower in

<sup>3</sup> The problem for example is, whether there is really a overall increase of wars after the end of the Cold War, or even a decline after a short period of increased violence in the early nineties: see *Chojnacki, Sven*, Wandel der Kriegsformen? – Ein kritischer Literaturbericht, in: Leviathan, 2004, issue 32: 3, pp. 402-424.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Gray, Collin S, "Another Bloody Century: Future Warfare." London, Weidenfeld & Nicholson, 2005.

the next twenty years, it would not be reasonable, in advance of this actually happening, to develop a strategy of military containment against China similar to that against the Soviet Union in the 50<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> of last century, since doing so might well provoke the kind of crises and conflicts that such a strategy would be intended to avoid. The attempt to build up India as counter-weight to China and facilitating its nuclear ambitions, for instance, might risk undermining the international campaign to limit the proliferation of nuclear weapons in the world.

The second difference is, that current developments in the strategic environment display fundamentally conflicting tendencies: between globalization and struggles over identities, locational advantages, and interests<sup>6</sup>; between high-tech wars and combat with "knives and machetes" or suicide bombers; between symmetrical and asymmetrical warfare; between the privatisation of war and violence<sup>7</sup> and their re-politicisation and re-ideologisation as well as wars over "world order;" between the formation of new regional power centres and the imperial-hegemonic dominance of the only Superpower; between international organised crime and the institutionalisation of regional and global institutions and communities; between increasing violations of international law and human rights on one side and their expansion on the other. A strategy designed to counter only one of these conflicting tendencies may be problematic with respect to the others. I therefore stress the necessity of striking a balance among competing possibilities.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See for example, U.S. denies new containment policy against China, in: http://english.people.com.cn/200511/24/eng20051124\_223692.html, 15 Mar 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Zygmunt Bauman has already labelled these contrasting tendency as "Glocalisation," which means a combination of "Globalisation" and "Localisation": Bauman, Zygmunt Glokalisierung oder: Was für die einen Globalisierung, ist für die anderen Lokalisierung. In: Das Argument 217, 1996, pp. 653-664; Bauman, Zygmunt, Globalization. London: Polity Press 1998.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Munkler, Herfried, The new wars. London:Polity Press.2004; Kaldor, Mary, New and old wars. Organized violence in a Global Era. Stanford:Stanford University Press, 1999.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> I have put forward the thesis, that after the break down of an empire, a system of world order, there has been nearly always a tendency to a privatization of war and violence, to a level beneath of that, which has broken apart, just as it happens after the fall of the Soviet Union and the bipolar order of the Cold War. But in the long run, I'm estimating, that the importance of politics and ideology is even increasing; see Herberg-Rothe, Andreas, Privatized wars and world order conflicts. In: THEORIA, No 110, August 2006, pp. 1-22.

The third difference is, that the traditional containment was perceived mainly as military deterrence of the Soviet Union, although in its original formulation by George Kennan it was quite different from such a reductionism. Our main and decisive assumption is that a new containment must combine traditional, military containment on one side, and a range of opportunities for cooperation on the other. That's not only necessary with respect to China, but even to the political Islam, in order to reduce the appeal of militant Islamic movements to millions of Muslim youth.

The perspective of a curbing of war and violence in world society implies the expansion of non-military zones to which the Kantian conception of democratic peace is an example, but it also implies the active containment and limitation of the expansion of war and violence. Such an overarching perspective has to be self-evident, little more than common sense, because it has to be accepted by quite different political leaders and peoples. The selfevidence of this concept could go so far that one could ask why we are discussing it. On the other hand, such a concept must be able to be distinguished by competing concepts. Last but not least, it should be regarded as an appropriate concept to counter contemporary developments. Finally, taking into account, that Kennan's concept would not have succeeded, if it had been directed against the actions of the international community or the United States, it should be to some extend only brings to expression, what the international community is already doing anyway. "Other states are instrumental in interrupting the flow of finances from one institution to another, in restricting the movements of terrorists, in eliminating their save havens, in tracking down and arresting their principal leaders and in driving a wedge between the terrorist groups and the various populations they purport to champion." Which strategy these states are already pursuing? Nothing else than a strategy of containment!

The question of course remains, how to deter the true-believers, members of terrorist networks or people like the current President of Iran, for whom even self-destruction may be a means of hastening millenarian goals. Of course, the "true-believers" or the "hard-core terrorist" could hardly be deterred. But this is just the reason, why strategy should not be reduced to a strategy of deterrence. The real task even in these cases therefore is to act

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Echevarria, Antulio, Fourth-generation warfare and other myths. Carlisle 2005, pp. 5-6.

politically and militarily in a manner, that would enable to separate the "true believers" from the "believers" and those from the followers. This strategy can include military actions and credible threats, but at the same time it should be based on a double strategy of offering a choice between alternatives, whereas the reduction to military means would only intensify violent resistance. Additionally, even the true believers could be confronted with the choice, either further to be an accepted part of their social and religious environment (or to be excluded from them) or to reduce their millenarian aspirations. Of course, by following this strategy, there is no guarantee, that each terrorist attack could be averted. But this is not the real question. Assuming, that the goal of the terrorists and millenarian Islamists is to provoke an over-reaction of the West in order to ignite an all-out war between the West and the Islamic world, there is no choice than trying to separate them from their political, social and religious environment.

## **Competing concepts**

The function of this conception can be clarified through the example of democratisation. The limitation of war and violence lays the foundations of democracy. If the single counterstrategy to the proliferation of violence were a general, worldwide democratisation — in the meaning of implementing democratic elections, a necessary, but not sufficient precondition of establishing real democratic societies — implemented (as would be necessary) through force, this would almost certainly lead to counterproductive results. This is particularly clear in those cases where fully developed constitutional democracies are not yet present, but states and societies are undergoing the initial process of transformation. It is more justified to speak of the "antinomies of democratic peace" in the latter cases than when referring to developed democracies.

Thus, it is possible that a one-sided demand for democratic processes without regard to local conditions in individual cases might even contribute to the creation of totalitarian movements. The historical experience that corresponds to the change from democratic to totalitarian processes is embodied in developments during and after World War I. In nearly all of the defeated states there was at the beginning a process of democratisation, including, in some cases, democratic revolutions. Yet almost all ended in dictatorships. In Eastern Europe and the Balkans, the "right of national self-determination" proclaimed by U.S.

President Wilson was interpreted in a nationalist rather than in a democratic way, so that it entailed the exclusion of entire populations, and even the first genocide of the twentieth century, committed against the Armenians, which already began before World War I. 10

From the overarching perspective of the containment of war and violence, however, it can be reasonable in particular cases to renounce democratisation in favour of disarmament. Thus, in the case of Libya (a former "rogue state") the U.S. had abandoned a policy of enforced democratisation by military means in favour of Libyan nuclear disarmament. Clearly, this example does not exclude the possibility that in other cases the processes of democratisation promoted from the outside might involve the use of violence. Historically speaking, one must remember that after World War II there were a number of democratisation processes following militarily disastrous defeats, for instance in Germany and Japan, and then in Serbia after the Kosovo war.

The central approach developed here, in contrast to other theoretical conceptions of peace, can be described as follows: conceptions of democratic peace following Kant, those belonging to theories of equilibrium, and conceptions of hegemony and empire, have all been used to bring about a limitation of war and violence in world society. But these means have often become ends in themselves. In my approach, the containment of war and violence itself becomes the overarching aim of political and communal action. Proceeding from this political aim, one can then judge which goal and which action are the most appropriate. This determination applies both to the notion of just conduct in war (jus in bello) and to the notion of the "right to war" (jus ad bellum) in the case of interstate wars. In the European experience, the acknowledgement of the foe as an equal with the same rights proved to be a central precondition for curbing war following the disaster of the Thirty Years War (Carl Schmitt). Both conceptions succeeded in curbing warlike violence between European opponents, although irregular and unrestrained forms of violence persisted on the margins of the European world. During the crusades of the Middle Ages and in the course of colonial conquests from the 16<sup>th</sup> to the 19<sup>th</sup> centuries, non-European opponents were not merely fought but often exterminated.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Diner, Dan, Das Jahrhundert verstehen. Frankfurt: Fischer, 2000.

In both cases, however, the normalised, intra-European forms of violence ended in disaster. The idea of a just war, which contributed to a limitation of war and violence for long periods of the Middle Ages, dissolved in the religious conflicts of the  $16^{th}$  century and the Thirty Years War. The interstate wars of the Westphalian era, which was based upon a right to wage war between equal opponents, resulted in the catastrophes of the First and Second World Wars.

Yet the beginning and end of any historical development cannot be separated from each other insofar as they rest upon the same principles. One cannot idealise the model of a limited war within Europe in the 17<sup>th</sup> and 18<sup>th</sup> centuries, because this same model, when combined with the industrialisation of war and new nationalist and totalitarian ideologies, ultimately resulted in the two world wars. Similarly, there are no grounds for dismissing the idea of the just war simply in view of the religious wars and the Thirty Years War. Rather, the curbing and "protecting" (preserving) effects of war during long periods of the Middle Ages should be borne in mind. 11 "In accordance with the Christian tradition of the major denominations, [the concept of just war] should not promote military violence, but rather hinder it or at least help to limit it. It is appropriately understood only against the background of fundamental reservations about war for the purpose of peace (which can be applied to the concept of the Islamic "jihad", too). This means that the threat and employment of military force can only be justified conditionally — as instruments for preventing, curbing, and moderating violence." 12 Despite this ideal definition of just war, three fundamental problems of this conception have appeared in the course of history: the disinhibition of violence on the grounds that any measure is acceptable in a just cause; the consequent stigmatisation of the opponent as an outlaw and even criminal; and a pronounced tendency, at least in Europe, for polities to choose violence when they feel themselves to be acting under profound moral compulsion (and not merely in the pursuit of "interests").

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Stephan, Cora, Das Handwerk des Krieges. Berlin, 1998.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Fuchs, Albert, Gerechter Krieg? Anmerkungen zur bellum-iustum Lehre. In: Wissenschaft und Frieden. Issue 19 (3), 2001, pp. 12-15.

## The Reideologisation and Repoliticisation of War

One can point to developments in Afghanistan as an example of this reideologisation and repoliticisation. After the victory over the Soviet army, a civil war between warlords and tribes began at the end of the 1980s. The conflict was reideologised, and the Taliban seized power. We can see here that civil wars do not always become increasingly privatised until the smallest possible communities wield Kalashnikovs, communities, which are only held together by the violence itself, and in which fighting is becoming independent from any purpose. 13 There have also been a number of cases in which civil wars have been ended by reideologisation and repoliticisation. Afghanistan is a good example because one can use it to illustrate the new quality of privatization of war and violence (Heupel/ Zangl 2004, in their case studies), and at the same time it reveals very clearly the reideologisation and repoliticisation of the conflict with the rise and eventual victory of the Taliban. Claiming that the privatisation of the war in Afghanistan proves the new quality of the "new wars" in general therefore leads to the paradox if the claim has to be restricted to the period up until the Taliban victory in 1996. This case therefore cannot be used to demonstrate a general shift towards the privatisation of war (Heupel/Zangl 2004). In fact, what it shows is that this development, though genuine, lasted for only a limited period (at least in this case). A new phase, the phase of world order conflicts and wars, began in 1996.

One can supplement the periodisation I am proposing by adding a geographical-hierarchical classification of the two phases. The privatisation of violence can be observed in many parts of sub-Saharan Africa and in traditional conflict regions such as the Balkans and the Caucasus. The development of world order conflicts can be seen in the conflict between the West and militant Islam, and in the future it can be anticipated in relations with China and, perhaps, with Russia. It follows that events are moving away from the level of interstate war and conflicts in two directions simultaneously: downwards towards privatised war, and upwards towards supra-state war, world order wars. This distinction is more fundamental

This image symbolizes the "new wars" discourse better than any other, and also symbolizes Hobbes's war of

all against all.

than the attempt to distinguish between "new" wars (or as some label it, privatised wars)<sup>14</sup> and those fragmented wars arising in the course of globalisation, and to use this as a way of challenging the legitimacy of the first set of concepts (Chojnacki 2004). Waging war to promote values (Joas 2000) and as a way of ordering the world (whether this order is conceived of as universal or particular) is something quite different from privatised and fragmented wars. In practice, of course, these two levels are interlinked with one another and also with inter-state wars, but the analytic distinction is a significant one. States do still wage wars; however, for the most part they are now doing this not in pursuit of their particular interests but for reasons related to world order, as can be seen in the use of concepts like US empire and American hegemony.

Processes like the technological, economic and communicational saturation of the world intensify this dual movement dramatically, because they often link spaces of action directly with one another. During the civil war in Somalia, for example, bands of fighters could be seen using computers to buy and sell their Wall Street shares. The decisive factor, though, is the contradictory dual movement, towards the privatisation of violence and simultaneously towards existing and also future world order wars and conflicts that can be either global or regional. Although it may not at first glance appear to do so, globalisation does in fact repoliticise violent conflicts.

## **Humanitarian Intervention and Civil Conflict Management**

Humanitarian intervention and civil conflict management are fundamentally contrasting forms of action, even though it is certainly the case that, ideally, their goals are very similar: the prevention of large-scale violence. There have been very heated debates between people who favour these different approaches (especially in connection with the war over Kosovo), and this has a good deal to do with the fact that the two sides in this dispute are using strongly moral arguments to make the case for almost identical goals. In many cases, "policy alternatives based on different moral principles are put forward in such a way that

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> The concept of new wars is most vividly described in *Munkler, Herfried, The new wars. London:Polity Press.2004;* Kaldor, Mary, New and old wars. Organised violence in a Global Era. Stanford:Stanford University Press, 1999.

political judgments can only have a modest role to play."<sup>15</sup> It seems that one of the central problems here is that the two sides are using primarily moral arguments to make the case for the form of action each of them considers morally appropriate, and not much effort is spent on working out which form of action is likely to be more effective.<sup>16</sup>

One objection to humanitarian interventions is that in this case the method employed, i.e. using force oneself; contradicts the express purpose, i.e. bringing about peace. It is argued that violence does not solve conflicts, but rather makes them worse by giving rise to further violence. In extreme cases, those who argue in favour of humanitarian intervention are accused of using this argument as a pretext to disguise their pursuit of their own power-political interests. This argument can be turned around, and one could make the case that in emergency situations where excessive violence is being used, the insistence that only non-military options be considered amounts to a more or less conscious acceptance that there will be a large number of victims, even though at least some of them could be saved by such modest measures as setting up protected zones). Michael Walzer describes this perspective as a "policy of salvation" It would be especially unconvincing if we were to condemn a limited military intervention designed to prevent genocide as an act of aggression simply by virtue of its military character. It is not meaningful to reject murder on a massive scale without permitting the international community to intervene to stop it. 18

A violent humanitarian intervention can be evaluated immediately, either as a positive or a negative action, or at least it can be more unambiguously evaluated than a civil conflict management plan, which usually needs more time. "Peace work requires patience, it needs

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Münkler, Herfried, Menschenrechte und Staatsräson. In: Gustenau, Gustav (ed.): Humanitäre militärische Intervention zwischen Legalität und Legitimität. Baden-Baden:Nomos, 2000, pp. 141-165, here p. 144.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> This dilemma is clearly emphasised in most of the contribution in Holzgrefe, J.L./Keohane, Robert (eds.), Humanitarian Intervention: Ethical, Legal and Political Dilemmas. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Walzer, Michael, Just and Unjust wars. A Moral Argument with Historical illustrations. New York: Basic books, 2000; Walzer, Michael, Die Politik der Rettung. In: Berliner Debatte Initial 6, 1995, pp. 47-54.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Jahn, Egbert, Intervention und Recht. Zum Widerspruch zwischen dem allgemeinen Interventionsverbot und einem Interventionsgebot bei Völkermord. In: Albert, Mathias/ Moltmann, Bernhard/ Schoch, Bruno (eds): Die Entgrenzung der Politik. Internationale Beziehungen und Friedensforschung. Festschrift für Lothar Brock. Frankfurt, 2004, pp. 65-94.

time (...), and it must be allowed to grow from below. Unlike military action, peace work cannot claim to offer immediate solutions, bring these solutions about, pretend that they have been achieved, or enforce them. And experience teaches us that immediate, victorious solutions themselves create new injustices and fail to bring about peace." <sup>19</sup> There are arguments for and against both of these approaches. An act of "emergency assistance" by a superior military power may make societal tensions and conflicts worse, and risk escalation. Civil conflict management may collapse when large-scale violence can no longer be prevented by the methods used up until then. However, the decisive way of looking at the problem should always be the question of what is appropriate in this particular case; in other words, what can be done and what is likely to be effective? This should take precedence over moral debates about the causes of the conflict.

The great variety of wars and conflicts makes it impossible to make a generally valid decision in favour of one approach or the other. Any insistence on either a general right or necessity to intervene would have paradoxical consequences. In effect, intervention in the affairs of the great powers is already prohibited, to the extent that they possess weapons of mass destruction and, in particular, nuclear weapons mounted on long-range delivery vehicles. A general *obligation* to intervene would lead numerous states to develop their own nuclear weapons and the appropriate delivery systems, as a way of countering the threat of intervention.<sup>20</sup>

At this point, we can see clearly how the clash of moral principles I have already mentioned comes into play: "Two crucial norms of international law, and also of political morality, seem to contradict each other: the obligation to act peacefully in inter-state relations, and the community of states' obligation to protect human rights, if need be by employing violent, warlike methods." The conclusion we are forced to reach as a result of the variety of

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Voß, Reinhard J., Leitbilder eines christlichen Pazifismus. Vortrag auf dem 8. Friedenspolitischen Ratschlag in Kassel vom 01.-02.12.2001

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Müller, Harald, Frieden zwischen den Nationen. Der Beitrag der Theorien von den Internationalen Beziehungen zum Wissen über den Frieden. In: Albert, Mathias/ Moltmann, Bernhard/ Schoch, Bruno (eds): Die Entgrenzung der Politik. Internationale Beziehungen und Friedensforschung. Festschrift für Lothar Brock. Frankfurt, 2004, pp.40-64, here pp. 43

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Jahn (footnote 34), pp. 92

conflicts is that there can be no binary counter-strategy that comes down exclusively on one side or the other as it seeks to hinder the escalation of violence and the broadening of zones of war. Rather, what we need is an ethically informed but in the last instance *political* consideration and weighing up of the costs and benefits, risks and prospects of success of humanitarian intervention and civil conflict management.

One must stress that the possibility of humanitarian intervention is foreseen in the idea of limiting war and violence, but this does not mean that this possibility takes priority over the ideas of prevention or civil conflict management, or that it is accorded a lower priority as a matter of principle. Leaving open the possibility of a humanitarian intervention corresponds to the traditional idea of using military force as a last resort. With this single exception, it does not seem to make any sense to accord primacy to any one of these three levels of action, since the levels are distinguished by different time dimensions. If acute, large-scale, and excessive violence is already being employed, it is too late for preventive measures. If humanitarian intervention or civil conflict management were generally treated as the primary form of action, this would make it too easy for the forces intervening from outside (whether these are states or NGOs) to favour one of the conflict parties (or to be instrumentalised by one of them). It is only the combination of uncertainty with the realistic expectation that third parties may take action that opens up political space for conflicts to be dealt with in non-violent ways.

This combination of certainty and uncertainty was a decisive factor in the ending of the Cold War and the nuclear arms race. Similarly, in spite of all the rhetoric and the launching of medium-range missiles against Israel in 1991, Iraq did not attack Israel with weapons of mass destruction. This too is likely to have been based on a combination of certainty and uncertainty. It was reported that Israeli planes armed with nuclear bombs were on the way to Baghdad, but were ordered back just before they reached their targets. Regardless of whether this report was accurate, it is precisely this combination of assumed certainty and uncertainty about how one's opponent will react that can have a self-deterring effect and prevent escalation. As Clausewitz puts it, this is why we must admit that "imperfect knowledge of the case must, in general, do much to stop military action and to moderate

The idea of limiting war and violence has an advantage over the idea of pure humanitarian intervention. In different public debates, the interventions supported by the UN in Somalia, Haiti, Bosnia, and Kosovo were frequently perceived to have been unsuccessful overall. However, this assessment only follows if these interventions are associated with unrealistically high expectations. In the case of the intervention in Somalia, for example, it fails to take account of the fact that the intervention made possible the delivery of assistance which, in the short term, saved the lives of hundreds of thousands of people. In order to counter such negative evaluations of humanitarian interventions, there is not only a need for the UN and transnational NGOs to put in place a "post-intervention policy", but also for a clear awareness of what can and cannot be achieved by military interventions.<sup>23</sup>

## The concept of containment and contemporary warfare

The advantage of my concept could be additionally demonstrated by considering the nature of the end state for which end the war on terror should be fought. Trying to find terrorists and rooting all of them out, as Donald Rumsfeld stated?<sup>24</sup> The further question is: how to fight organisations, which are not hierarchically structured, but as it is often mentioned, are functioning like networks? Here the conception of limitation could provide some thoughts. I conclude, that the goal of the war on terror should not try to gain victory, because no one could explain, what victory would mean with regard to this special war. Moreover, trying to gain a decisive victory about the terrorists would even produce much more of them. The additional problem is not only, how we ourselves conceive the concept of victory, but even more important, in which ways for example the low-tech enemies define victory and defeat. That is an exercise, which requires cultural and historical knowledge much more than it does gee-whiz technology.<sup>25</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Clausewitz Vom Kriege, pp. 206.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Zangl, Bernhard/ Zürn, Michael, Frieden und Krieg. Frankfurt: Suhrkamp, pp. 273-274.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Rumsfeld, Donald, You can only defend by finding terrorists and rooting them out. Interview with Donald Rumsfeld. In: The Daily Telegraph. 25.02.2002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Kaplan, Robert D., The story of a war. In: Atlantic Monthly, November 2003.

Instead one could argue, that the goal is "to contain terror", which is of course something quite different from appeasement. An essential limitation of the dangers, posed by terrorist organisations could be based on three aspects: first, a struggle of political ideas for the hearts and minds of the millions of young people; second the attempt to curb the exchanges of knowledge, financial support, communication between the various networks with the aim of isolating them on a local level; and finally, but only as one of these three tasks, to destroy what the Israelis call the terrorist infrastructure. In my understanding, trying to achieve victory in a traditional military manner would not only fail, but additionally would perhaps lead to much more terrorism in the foreseeable future.

The concept of the "centre of gravity" in warfare can provide another illustration of the way in which my conception makes a difference. Clausewitz defines war as an act of violence to compel our enemy to do our will. This definition suits our understanding of war between equal opponents, between opponents in which one side doesn't want to annihilate the other or his political, ethnic or tribal body. But in conflicts between opponents with a different culture or ethnic background, the imposition of ones will on the other is often perceived as an attempt to annihilate the other's community and identity. Hence, for democratic societies, the alternative is only to perceive war as an act of violence where, rather than compelling our own will to the opponent, your opponent is rendered unable any more to pursue his own will violently, unable to use his full power to impose his will on us or others. Consequently the abilities of his power must be limited, that he is no more able to threaten or fight us in order to compel us to do his will.

The purpose of containing war and violence, therefore, is, to remove from the belligerent adversary his physical and moral freedom of action, but without attacking the sources of his power and the order of his society. The key to "mastering violence" is to control certain operational domains, territory, mass movement, and armaments, but also information and humanitarian operations. But this task of "mastering violence" should no longer be perceived as being directed against the center of gravity, but to the "lines" of the field of gravitation of his power. Instead of an expansion of imposing one's own will on the adversary up to the point of controlling his mind, as the protagonists of Strategic

Information Warfare put it,<sup>26</sup> the only way of ending conflict in the globalised 21<sup>st</sup> century is to set limits for action, but at the same time to give room for action (in the sense, Hannah Arendt used this term) and even resistance, which of course has the effect of legitimising action within those limits.<sup>27</sup>

## Containing war and violence in world society

The overall political perspective on which the concept of the containing of war and violence in world society rests therefore consists of the following elements, the "pentagon of containing war and violence:"

- the ability to deter and discourage any opponent to fight a large scale war and to conduct own pin-point military action as last resort,
- the possibility of using military force in order to limit and contain particularly excessive, large-scale violence which has the potential to destroy societies;
- the willingness to counter phenomena which help to cause violence such as poverty
  and oppression, especially in the economic sphere, and also the recognition of a
  pluralism of cultures and styles of life in world society;
- the motivation to develop a culture of civil conflict management (concepts which can be summed up with the "civilisational hexagon", global governance, and democratic peace), based on the observation, that the reduction of our action to military means have proved counterproductive and would finally overstretch the military capabilities;

and

restricting the possession and proliferation of weapons of mass destruction, their

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Lonsdale, David, The nature of war in the information age. Clausewitzian future. London: Frank Cass 2004, pp. 208.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Although there are some similarities between the military part of the concept of a new containment and "Coercive military strategy", the main distinction may be, that in my concept ones own will is not directly imposed to the foe. Instead of that, there are 'only' boundaries, limits drawn to his actions, within which he is free to roam his own will; see Cimbala, Stephen J., Coercive Military Strategy. Texas A&M University Press. 1998.

delivery systems, as well as of small arms, because the proliferation of both of them is inherently destructive to social order.

The position I have put forward is oriented towards a basically peaceful global policy, and treats the progressive limitation of war and violence as both an indefinite, on-going process and as an end it itself. The lasting and progressive containment of war and violence in world society is therefore necessary for the self-preservation of states, even their survival and of the civility of individual societies and world society.

# **RSIS Working Paper Series**

1.	Vietnam-China Relations Since The End of The Cold War  Ang Cheng Guan	(1998)
2.	Multilateral Security Cooperation in the Asia-Pacific Region: Prospects and Possibilities Desmond Ball	(1999)
3.	Reordering Asia: "Cooperative Security" or Concert of Powers?  Amitav Acharya	(1999)
4.	The South China Sea Dispute re-visited  Ang Cheng Guan	(1999)
5.	Continuity and Change In Malaysian Politics: Assessing the Buildup to the 1999-2000 General Elections  Joseph Liow Chin Yong	(1999)
6.	'Humanitarian Intervention in Kosovo' as Justified, Executed and Mediated by NATO: Strategic Lessons for Singapore Kumar Ramakrishna	(2000)
7.	Taiwan's Future: Mongolia or Tibet?  Chien-peng (C.P.) Chung	(2001)
8.	Asia-Pacific Diplomacies: Reading Discontinuity in Late-Modern Diplomatic Practice Tan See Seng	(2001)
9.	Framing "South Asia": Whose Imagined Region?  Sinderpal Singh	(2001)
10.	Explaining Indonesia's Relations with Singapore During the New Order Period: The Case of Regime Maintenance and Foreign Policy  Terence Lee Chek Liang	(2001)
11.	Human Security: Discourse, Statecraft, Emancipation  Tan See Seng	(2001)
12.	Globalization and its Implications for Southeast Asian Security: A Vietnamese Perspective Nguyen Phuong Binh	(2001)
13.	Framework for Autonomy in Southeast Asia's Plural Societies  Miriam Coronel Ferrer	(2001)
14.	Burma: Protracted Conflict, Governance and Non-Traditional Security Issues  Ananda Rajah	(2001)
15.	Natural Resources Management and Environmental Security in Southeast Asia: Case Study of Clean Water Supplies in Singapore Kog Yue Choong	(2001)
16.	Crisis and Transformation: ASEAN in the New Era  Etel Solingen	(2001)
17.	Human Security: East Versus West?  Amitav Acharya	(2001)

18.	Asian Developing Countries and the Next Round of WTO Negotiations  Barry Desker	(2001)
19.	Multilateralism, Neo-liberalism and Security in Asia: The Role of the Asia Pacific Economic Co-operation Forum  Ian Taylor	(2001)
20.	Humanitarian Intervention and Peacekeeping as Issues for Asia-Pacific Security Derek McDougall	(2001)
21.	Comprehensive Security: The South Asian Case S.D. Muni	(2002)
22.	The Evolution of China's Maritime Combat Doctrines and Models: 1949-2001 <i>You Ji</i>	(2002)
23.	The Concept of Security Before and After September 11  a. The Contested Concept of Security  Steve Smith	(2002)
	b. Security and Security Studies After September 11: Some Preliminary Reflections  Amitav Acharya	
24.	Democratisation In South Korea And Taiwan: The Effect Of Social Division On Inter-Korean and Cross-Strait Relations  Chien-peng (C.P.) Chung	(2002)
25.	Understanding Financial Globalisation  Andrew Walter	(2002)
26.	911, American Praetorian Unilateralism and the Impact on State-Society Relations in Southeast Asia Kumar Ramakrishna	(2002)
27.	Great Power Politics in Contemporary East Asia: Negotiating Multipolarity or Hegemony? Tan See Seng	(2002)
28.	What Fear Hath Wrought: Missile Hysteria and The Writing of "America" Tan See Seng	(2002)
29.	International Responses to Terrorism: The Limits and Possibilities of Legal Control of Terrorism by Regional Arrangement with Particular Reference to ASEAN Ong Yen Nee	(2002)
30.	Reconceptualizing the PLA Navy in Post – Mao China: Functions, Warfare, Arms, and Organization Nan Li	(2002)
31.	Attempting Developmental Regionalism Through AFTA: The Domestics Politics – Domestic Capital Nexus  Helen E S Nesadurai	(2002)
32.	11 September and China: Opportunities, Challenges, and Warfighting Nan Li	(2002)
33.	Islam and Society in Southeast Asia after September 11  Barry Desker	(2002)

34.	Hegemonic Constraints: The Implications of September 11 For American Power Evelyn Goh	(2002)
35.	Not Yet All AboardBut Already All At Sea Over Container Security Initiative Irvin Lim	(2002)
36.	Financial Liberalization and Prudential Regulation in East Asia: Still Perverse?  Andrew Walter	(2002)
37.	Indonesia and The Washington Consensus  Premjith Sadasivan	(2002)
38.	The Political Economy of FDI Location: Why Don't Political Checks and Balances and Treaty Constraints Matter?  Andrew Walter	(2002)
39.	The Securitization of Transnational Crime in ASEAN Ralf Emmers	(2002)
40.	Liquidity Support and The Financial Crisis: The Indonesian Experience  J Soedradjad Djiwandono	(2002)
41.	A UK Perspective on Defence Equipment Acquisition  David Kirkpatrick	(2003)
42.	Regionalisation of Peace in Asia: Experiences and Prospects of ASEAN, ARF and UN Partnership  Mely C. Anthony	(2003)
43.	The WTO In 2003: Structural Shifts, State-Of-Play And Prospects For The Doha Round Razeen Sally	(2003)
44.	Seeking Security In The Dragon's Shadow: China and Southeast Asia In The Emerging Asian Order  Amitav Acharya	(2003)
45.	Deconstructing Political Islam In Malaysia: UMNO'S Response To PAS' Religio-Political Dialectic  Joseph Liow	(2003)
46.	The War On Terror And The Future of Indonesian Democracy  Tatik S. Hafidz	(2003)
47.	Examining The Role of Foreign Assistance in Security Sector Reforms: The Indonesian Case Eduardo Lachica	(2003)
48.	Sovereignty and The Politics of Identity in International Relations  Adrian Kuah	(2003)
49.	Deconstructing Jihad; Southeast Asia Contexts Patricia Martinez	(2003)
50.	The Correlates of Nationalism in Beijing Public Opinion  Alastair Iain Johnston	(2003)

51.	In Search of Suitable Positions' in the Asia Pacific: Negotiating the US-China Relationship and Regional Security  Evelyn Goh	(2003)
52.	American Unilaterism, Foreign Economic Policy and the 'Securitisation' of Globalisation Richard Higgott	(2003)
53.	Fireball on the Water: Naval Force Protection-Projection, Coast Guarding, Customs Border Security & Multilateral Cooperation in Rolling Back the Global Waves of Terror from the Sea Irvin Lim	(2003)
54.	Revisiting Responses To Power Preponderance: Going Beyond The Balancing-Bandwagoning Dichotomy  Chong Ja lan	(2003)
55.	Pre-emption and Prevention: An Ethical and Legal Critique of the Bush Doctrine and Anticipatory Use of Force In Defence of the State Malcolm Brailey	(2003)
56.	The Indo-Chinese Enlargement of ASEAN: Implications for Regional Economic Integration Helen E S Nesadurai	(2003)
57.	The Advent of a New Way of War: Theory and Practice of Effects Based Operation Joshua Ho	(2003)
58.	Critical Mass: Weighing in on Force Transformation & Speed Kills Post-Operation Iraqi Freedom Irvin Lim	(2004)
59.	Force Modernisation Trends in Southeast Asia  Andrew Tan	(2004)
60.	Testing Alternative Responses to Power Preponderance: Buffering, Binding, Bonding and Beleaguering in the Real World Chong Ja Ian	(2004)
61.	Outlook on the Indonesian Parliamentary Election 2004  Irman G. Lanti	(2004)
62.	Globalization and Non-Traditional Security Issues: A Study of Human and Drug Trafficking in East Asia Ralf Emmers	(2004)
63.	Outlook for Malaysia's 11 <sup>th</sup> General Election Joseph Liow	(2004)
64.	Not <i>Many</i> Jobs Take a Whole Army: Special Operations Forces and The Revolution in Military Affairs. <i>Malcolm Brailey</i>	(2004)
65.	Technological Globalisation and Regional Security in East Asia J.D. Kenneth Boutin	(2004)

66.	UAVs/UCAVS – Missions, Challenges, and Strategic Implications for Small and Medium Powers Manjeet Singh Pardesi	(2004)
67.	Singapore's Reaction to Rising China: Deep Engagement and Strategic Adjustment Evelyn Goh	(2004)
68.	The Shifting Of Maritime Power And The Implications For Maritime Security In East Asia Joshua Ho	(2004)
69.	China In The Mekong River Basin: The Regional Security Implications of Resource Development On The Lancang Jiang Evelyn Goh	(2004)
70.	Examining the Defence Industrialization-Economic Growth Relationship: The Case of Singapore  Adrian Kuah and Bernard Loo	(2004)
71.	"Constructing" The Jemaah Islamiyah Terrorist: A Preliminary Inquiry Kumar Ramakrishna	(2004)
72.	Malaysia and The United States: Rejecting Dominance, Embracing Engagement Helen E S Nesadurai	(2004)
73.	The Indonesian Military as a Professional Organization: Criteria and Ramifications for Reform  John Bradford	(2005)
74.	Martime Terrorism in Southeast Asia: A Risk Assessment Catherine Zara Raymond	(2005)
75.	Southeast Asian Maritime Security In The Age Of Terror: Threats, Opportunity, And Charting The Course Forward  John Bradford	(2005)
76.	Deducing India's Grand Strategy of Regional Hegemony from Historical and Conceptual Perspectives  Manjeet Singh Pardesi	(2005)
77.	Towards Better Peace Processes: A Comparative Study of Attempts to Broker Peace with MNLF and GAM S P Harish	(2005)
78.	Multilateralism, Sovereignty and Normative Change in World Politics  Amitav Acharya	(2005)
79.	The State and Religious Institutions in Muslim Societies Riaz Hassan	(2005)
80.	On Being Religious: Patterns of Religious Commitment in Muslim Societies Riaz Hassan	(2005)
81.		

82.	Civil-Military Relationship and Reform in the Defence Industry  Arthur S Ding	(2005)
83.	How Bargaining Alters Outcomes: Bilateral Trade Negotiations and Bargaining Strategies Deborah Elms	(2005)
84.	Great Powers and Southeast Asian Regional Security Strategies: Omni-enmeshment, Balancing and Hierarchical Order Evelyn Goh	(2005)
85.	Global Jihad, Sectarianism and The Madrassahs in Pakistan  Ali Riaz	(2005)
86.	Autobiography, Politics and Ideology in Sayyid Qutb's Reading of the Qur'an Umej Bhatia	(2005)
87.	Maritime Disputes in the South China Sea: Strategic and Diplomatic Status Quo Ralf Emmers	(2005)
88.	China's Political Commissars and Commanders: Trends & Dynamics Srikanth Kondapalli	(2005)
89.	Piracy in Southeast Asia New Trends, Issues and Responses Catherine Zara Raymond	(2005)
90.	Geopolitics, Grand Strategy and the Bush Doctrine Simon Dalby	(2005)
91.	Local Elections and Democracy in Indonesia: The Case of the Riau Archipelago Nankyung Choi	(2005)
92.	The Impact of RMA on Conventional Deterrence: A Theoretical Analysis  Manjeet Singh Pardesi	(2005)
93.	Africa and the Challenge of Globalisation  Jeffrey Herbst	(2005)
94.	The East Asian Experience: The Poverty of 'Picking Winners  Barry Desker and Deborah Elms	(2005)
95.	Bandung And The Political Economy Of North-South Relations: Sowing The Seeds For Revisioning International Society  Helen E S Nesadurai	(2005)
96.	Re-conceptualising the Military-Industrial Complex: A General Systems Theory Approach Adrian Kuah	(2005)
97.	Food Security and the Threat From Within: Rice Policy Reforms in the Philippines Bruce Tolentino	(2006)
98.	Non-Traditional Security Issues: Securitisation of Transnational Crime in Asia James Laki	(2006)
99.	Securitizing/Desecuritizing the Filipinos' 'Outward Migration Issue'in the Philippines' Relations with Other Asian Governments José N. Franco, Jr.	(2006)

100.	Securitization Of Illegal Migration of Bangladeshis To India  Josy Joseph	(2006)
101.	Environmental Management and Conflict in Southeast Asia – Land Reclamation and its Political Impact Kog Yue-Choong	(2006)
102.	Securitizing border-crossing: The case of marginalized stateless minorities in the Thai-Burma Borderlands  Mika Toyota	(2006)
103.	The Incidence of Corruption in India: Is the Neglect of Governance Endangering Human Security in South Asia? Shabnam Mallick and Rajarshi Sen	(2006)
104.	The LTTE's Online Network and its Implications for Regional Security Shyam Tekwani	(2006)
105.	The Korean War June-October 1950: Inchon and Stalin In The "Trigger Vs Justification" Debate  Tan Kwoh Jack	(2006)
106.	International Regime Building in Southeast Asia: ASEAN Cooperation against the Illicit Trafficking and Abuse of Drugs Ralf Emmers	(2006)
107.	Changing Conflict Identities: The case of the Southern Thailand Discord S P Harish	(2006)
108.	Myanmar and the Argument for Engagement: A Clash of Contending Moralities? Christopher B Roberts	(2006)
109.	TEMPORAL DOMINANCE Military Transformation and the Time Dimension of Strategy Edwin Seah	(2006)
110.	Globalization and Military-Industrial Transformation in South Asia: An Historical Perspective Emrys Chew	(2006)
111.	UNCLOS and its Limitations as the Foundation for a Regional Maritime Security Regime Sam Bateman	(2006)
112.	Freedom and Control Networks in Military Environments  Paul T Mitchell	(2006)
113.	Rewriting Indonesian History The Future in Indonesia's Past Kwa Chong Guan	(2006)
114.	Twelver Shi'ite Islam: Conceptual and Practical Aspects  Christoph Marcinkowski	(2006)
115.	Islam, State and Modernity : Muslim Political Discourse in Late 19 <sup>th</sup> and Early 20 <sup>th</sup> century India Iqbal Singh Sevea	(2006)

116.	'Voice of the Malayan Revolution': The Communist Party of Malaya's Struggle for Hearts and Minds in the 'Second Malayan Emergency' (1969-1975)  Ong Wei Chong	(2006)
117.	"From Counter-Society to Counter-State: Jemaah Islamiyah According to PUPJI" Elena Pavlova	(2006)
118.	The Terrorist Threat to Singapore's Land Transportation Infrastructure: A Preliminary Enquiry  Adam Dolnik	(2006)
119.	The Many Faces of Political Islam  Mohammed Ayoob	(2006)
120.	Facets of Shi'ite Islam in Contemporary Southeast Asia (I): Thailand and Indonesia Christoph Marcinkowski	(2006)
121.	Facets of Shi'ite Islam in Contemporary Southeast Asia (II): Malaysia and Singapore Christoph Marcinkowski	(2006)
122.	Towards a History of Malaysian Ulama Mohamed Nawab	(2007)
123.	Islam and Violence in Malaysia Ahmad Fauzi Abdul Hamid	(2007)
124.	Between Greater Iran and Shi'ite Crescent: Some Thoughts on the Nature of Iran's Ambitions in the Middle East Christoph Marcinkowski	(2007)
125.	Thinking Ahead: Shi'ite Islam in Iraq and its Seminaries (hawzah 'ilmiyyah)  Christoph Marcinkowski	(2007)
126.	The China Syndrome: Chinese Military Modernization and the Rearming of Southeast Asia Richard A. Bitzinger	(2007)
127.	Contested Capitalism: Financial Politics and Implications for China Richard Carney	(2007)
128.	Sentinels of Afghan Democracy: The Afghan National Army Samuel Chan	(2007)
129.	The De-escalation of the Spratly Dispute in Sino-Southeast Asian Relations Ralf Emmers	(2007)
130.	War, Peace or Neutrality:An Overview of Islamic Polity's Basis of Inter-State Relations Muhammad Haniff Hassan	(2007)
131.	Mission Not So Impossible: The AMM and the Transition from Conflict to Peace in Aceh, 2005–2006 Kirsten E. Schulze	(2007)
132.	Comprehensive Security and Resilience in Southeast Asia: ASEAN's Approach to Terrorism and Sea Piracy Ralf Emmers	(2007)

133.	The Ulama in Pakistani Politics  Mohamed Nawab	(2007)
134.	China's Proactive Engagement in Asia: Economics, Politics and Interactions <i>Li Mingjiang</i>	(2007)
135.	The PLA's Role in China's Regional Security Strategy Qi Dapeng	(2007)
136.	War As They Knew It: Revolutionary War and Counterinsurgency in Southeast Asia Ong Wei Chong	(2007)
137.	Indonesia's Direct Local Elections: Background and Institutional Framework Nankyung Choi	(2007)
138.	Contextualizing Political Islam for Minority Muslims  Muhammad Haniff bin Hassan	(2007)
139.	Ngruki Revisited: Modernity and Its Discontents at the Pondok Pesantren al-Mukmin of Ngruki, Surakarta Farish A. Noor	(2007)
140.	Globalization: Implications of and for the Modern / Post-modern Navies of the Asia Pacific  Geoffrey Till	(2007)
141.	Comprehensive Maritime Domain Awareness: An Idea Whose Time Has Come? Irvin Lim Fang Jau	(2007)
142.	Sulawesi: Aspirations of Local Muslims Rohaiza Ahmad Asi	(2007)
143.	Islamic Militancy, Sharia, and Democratic Consolidation in Post-Suharto Indonesia Noorhaidi Hasan	(2007)
144.	Crouching Tiger, Hidden Dragon: The Indian Ocean and The Maritime Balance of Power in Historical Perspective Emrys Chew	(2007)
145.	New Security Dimensions in the Asia Pacific  Barry Desker	(2007)
146.	Japan's Economic Diplomacy towards East Asia: Fragmented Realism and Naïve Liberalism Hidetaka Yoshimatsu	(2007)
147.	U.S. Primacy, Eurasia's New Strategic Landscape, and the Emerging Asian Order Alexander L. Vuving	(2007)
148.	The Asian Financial Crisis and ASEAN's Concept of Security  Yongwook RYU	(2008)
149.	Security in the South China Sea: China's Balancing Act and New Regional Dynamics Li Mingjiang	(2008)
150.	The Defence Industry in the Post-Transformational World: Implications for the United States and Singapore Richard A Bitzinger	(2008)

151.	The Islamic Opposition in Malaysia:New Trajectories and Directions  Mohamed Fauz Abdul Hamid	(2008)
152.	Thinking the Unthinkable: The Modernization and Reform of Islamic Higher Education in Indonesia  Farish A Noor	(2008)
153.	Outlook for Malaysia's 12th General Elections Mohamed Nawab Mohamed Osman, Shahirah Mahmood and Joseph Chinyong Liow	(2008)
154.	The use of SOLAS Ship Security Alert Systems Thomas Timlen	(2008)
155.	Thai-Chinese Relations:Security and Strategic Partnership Chulacheeb Chinwanno	(2008)
156.	Sovereignty In ASEAN and The Problem of Maritime Cooperation in the South China Sea JN Mak	(2008)
157.	Sino-U.S. Competition in Strategic Arms Arthur S. Ding	(2008)
158.	Roots of Radical Sunni Traditionalism Karim Douglas Crow	(2008)
159.	Interpreting Islam On Plural Society  Muhammad Haniff Hassan	(2008)
160.	Towards a Middle Way Islam in Southeast Asia: Contributions of the Gülen Movement Mohamed Nawab Mohamed Osman	(2008)
161.	Spoilers, Partners and Pawns: Military Organizational Behaviour and Civil-Military Relations in Indonesia  Evan A. Laksmana	(2008)
162.	The Securitization of Human Trafficking in Indonesia Rizal Sukma	(2008)
163.	The Hindu Rights Action Force (HINDRAF) of Malaysia: Communitarianism Across Borders? Farish A. Noor	(2008)
164.	A Merlion at the Edge of an Afrasian Sea: Singapore's Strategic Involvement in the Indian Ocean Emrys Chew	(2008)
165.	Soft Power in Chinese Discourse: Popularity and Prospect  Li Mingjiang	(2008)
166.	Singapore's Sovereign Wealth Funds: The Political Risk of Overseas Investments Friedrich Wu	(2008)
167.	The Internet in Indonesia: Development and Impact of Radical Websites  Jennifer Yang Hui	(2008)
168.	Beibu Gulf: Emerging Sub-regional Integration between China and ASEAN Gu Xiaosong and Li Mingjiang	(2009)

169.	Islamic Law In Contemporary Malaysia: Prospects and Problems  Ahmad Fauzi Abdul Hamid	(2009)
170.	"Indonesia's Salafist Sufis"  Julia Day Howell	(2009)
171.	Reviving the Caliphate in the Nusantara: Hizbut Tahrir Indonesia's Mobilization Strategy and Its Impact in Indonesia  Mohamed Nawab Mohamed Osman	(2009)
172.	Islamizing Formal Education: Integrated Islamic School and a New Trend in Formal Education Institution in Indonesia  Noorhaidi Hasan	(2009)
173.	The Implementation of Vietnam-China Land Border Treaty: Bilateral and Regional Implications  Do Thi Thuy	(2009)
174.	The Tablighi Jama'at Movement in the Southern Provinces of Thailand Today: Networks and Modalities Farish A. Noor	(2009)
175.	The Spread of the Tablighi Jama'at Across Western, Central and Eastern Java and the role of the Indian Muslim Diaspora Farish A. Noor	(2009)
176.	Significance of Abu Dujana and Zarkasih's Verdict Nurfarahislinda Binte Mohamed Ismail, V. Arianti and Jennifer Yang Hui	(2009)
177.	The Perils of Consensus: How ASEAN's Meta-Regime Undermines Economic and Environmental Cooperation  Vinod K. Aggarwal and Jonathan T. Chow	(2009)
178.	The Capacities of Coast Guards to deal with Maritime Challenges in Southeast Asia Prabhakaran Paleri	(2009)
179.	China and Asian Regionalism: Pragmatism Hinders Leadership  Li Mingjiang	(2009)
180.	Livelihood Strategies Amongst Indigenous Peoples in the Central Cardamom Protected Forest, Cambodia Long Sarou	(2009)
181.	Human Trafficking in Cambodia: Reintegration of the Cambodian illegal migrants from Vietnam and Thailand Neth Naro	(2009)
182.	The Philippines as an Archipelagic and Maritime Nation: Interests, Challenges, and Perspectives  Mary Ann Palma	(2009)
183.	The Changing Power Distribution in the South China Sea: Implications for Conflict Management and Avoidance Ralf Emmers	(2009)

184.	Islamist Party, Electoral Politics and Da'wa Mobilization among Youth: The Prosperous Justice Party (PKS) in Indonesia Noorhaidi Hasan	(2009)
185.	U.S. Foreign Policy and Southeast Asia: From Manifest Destiny to Shared Destiny Emrys Chew	(2009)
186.	Different Lenses on the Future: U.S. and Singaporean Approaches to Strategic Planning Justin Zorn	(2009)
187.	Converging Peril: Climate Change and Conflict in the Southern Philippines  J. Jackson Ewing	(2009)
188.	Informal Caucuses within the WTO: Singapore in the "Invisibles Group" Barry Desker	(2009)
189.	The ASEAN Regional Forum and Preventive Diplomacy: A Failure in Practice Ralf Emmers and See Seng Tan	(2009)
190.	How Geography Makes Democracy Work Richard W. Carney	(2009)
191.	The Arrival and Spread of the Tablighi Jama'at In West Papua (Irian Jaya), Indonesia Farish A. Noor	(2010)
192.	The Korean Peninsula in China's Grand Strategy: China's Role in dealing with North Korea's Nuclear Quandary  Chung Chong Wook	(2010)
193.	Asian Regionalism and US Policy: The Case for Creative Adaptation  Donald K. Emmerson	(2010)
194.	Jemaah Islamiyah:Of Kin and Kind Sulastri Osman	(2010)
195.	The Role of the Five Power Defence Arrangements in the Southeast Asian Security Architecture Ralf Emmers	(2010)
196.	The Domestic Political Origins of Global Financial Standards: Agrarian Influence and the Creation of U.S. Securities Regulations  Richard W. Carney	(2010)
197.	Indian Naval Effectiveness for National Growth  Ashok Sawhney	(2010)
198.	Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) regime in East Asian waters: Military and intelligence-gathering activities, Marine Scientific Research (MSR) and hydrographic surveys in an EEZ Yang Fang	(2010)
199.	Do Stated Goals Matter? Regional Institutions in East Asia and the Dynamic of Unstated Goals  Deepak Nair	(2010)

200.	China's Soft Power in South Asia Parama Sinha Palit	(2010)
201.	Reform of the International Financial Architecture: How can Asia have a greater impact in the G20?  Pradumna B. Rana	(2010)
202.	"Muscular" versus "Liberal" Secularism and the Religious Fundamentalist Challenge in Singapore Kumar Ramakrishna	(2010)
203.	Future of U.S. Power: Is China Going to Eclipse the United States? Two Possible Scenarios to 2040  Tuomo Kuosa	(2010)
204.	Swords to Ploughshares: China's Defence-Conversion Policy Lee Dongmin	(2010)
205.	Asia Rising and the Maritime Decline of the West: A Review of the Issues Geoffrey Till	(2010)
206.	From Empire to the War on Terror: The 1915 Indian Sepoy Mutiny in Singapore as a case study of the impact of profiling of religious and ethnic minorities.  Farish A. Noor	(2010)
207.	Enabling Security for the 21st Century: Intelligence & Strategic Foresight and Warning Helene Lavoix	(2010)
208.	The Asian and Global Financial Crises: Consequences for East Asian Regionalism Ralf Emmers and John Ravenhill	(2010)
209.	Japan's New Security Imperative: The Function of Globalization  Bhubhindar Singh and Philip Shetler-Jones	(2010)
210.	India's Emerging Land Warfare Doctrines and Capabilities  Colonel Harinder Singh	(2010)
211.	A Response to Fourth Generation Warfare  Amos Khan	(2010)
212.	Japan-Korea Relations and the Tokdo/Takeshima Dispute: The Interplay of Nationalism and Natural Resources Ralf Emmers	(2010)
213.	Mapping the Religious and Secular Parties in South Sulawesi and Tanah Toraja, Sulawesi, Indonesia Farish A. Noor	(2010)
214.	The Aceh-based Militant Network: A Trigger for a View into the Insightful Complex of Conceptual and Historical Links  Giora Eliraz	(2010)
215.	Evolving Global Economic Architecture: Will We have a New Bretton Woods? Pradumna B. Rana	(2010)

216.	Transforming the Military: The Energy Imperative Kelvin Wong	(2010)
217.	ASEAN Institutionalisation: The Function of Political Values and State Capacity Christopher Roberts	(2010)
218.	China's Military Build-up in the Early Twenty-first Century: From Arms Procurement to War-fighting Capability  Yoram Evron	(2010)
219.	Darul Uloom Deoband: Stemming the Tide of Radical Islam in India Taberez Ahmed Neyazi	(2010)
220.	Recent Developments in the South China Sea: Grounds for Cautious Optimism? Carlyle A. Thayer	(2010)
221.	Emerging Powers and Cooperative Security in Asia  Joshy M. Paul	(2010)
222.	What happened to the smiling face of Indonesian Islam? Muslim intellectualism and the conservative turn in post-Suharto Indonesia Martin Van Bruinessen	(2011)
223.	Structures for Strategy: Institutional Preconditions for Long-Range Planning in Cross-Country Perspective  Justin Zorn	(2011)
224.	Winds of Change in Sarawak Politics? Faisal S Hazis	(2011)
225.	Rising from Within: China's Search for a Multilateral World and Its Implications for Sino-U.S. Relations <i>Li Mingjiang</i>	(2011)
226.	Rising Power To Do What? Evaluating China's Power in Southeast Asia Evelyn Goh	(2011)
227.	Assessing 12-year Military Reform in Indonesia: Major Strategic Gaps for the Next Stage of Reform  Leonard C. Sebastian and lisgindarsah	(2011)
228.	Monetary Integration in ASEAN+3: A Perception Survey of Opinion Leaders Pradumna Bickram Rana, Wai-Mun Chia & Yothin Jinjarak	(2011)
229.	Dealing with the "North Korea Dilemma": China's Strategic Choices You Ji	(2011)
230.	Street, Shrine, Square and Soccer Pitch: Comparative Protest Spaces in Asia and the Middle East  Teresita Cruz-del Rosario and James M. Dorsey	(2011)
231.	The Partai Keadilan Sejahtera (PKS) in the landscape of Indonesian Islamist Politics: Cadre- Training as Mode of Preventive Radicalisation? Farish A Noor	(2011)

232.	The Trans-Pacific Partnership Agreement (TPP) Negotiations: Overview and Prospects Deborah Elms and C.L. Lim	(2012)
233.	How Indonesia Sees ASEAN and the World: A Cursory Survey of the Social Studies and History textbooks of Indonesia, from Primary to Secondary Level. Farish A. Noor	(2012)
234.	The Process of ASEAN's Institutional Consolidation in 1968-1976: Theoretical Implications for Changes of Third-World Security Oriented Institution Kei Koga	(2012)
235.	Getting from Here to There: Stitching Together Goods Agreements in the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) Agreement Deborah Elms	(2012)
236.	Indonesia's Democratic Politics and Foreign Policy-Making: A Case Study of Iranian Nuclear Issue, 2007-2008  Issgindarsah	(2012)
237.	Reflections on Defence Security in East Asia  Desmond Ball	(2012)
238.	The Evolving Multi-layered Global Financial Safety Net: Role of Asia Pradumna B. Rana	(2012)
239.	Chinese Debates of South China Sea Policy: Implications for Future Developments Li Mingjiang	(2012)
240.	China's Economic Restructuring : Role of Agriculture Zhang Hongzhou	(2012)
241.	The Influence of Domestic Politics on Philippine Foreign Policy: The case of Philippines-China relations since 2004  Aileen S.P. Baviera	(2012)
242.	The Forum Betawi Rempug (FBR) of Jakarta: An Ethnic-Cultural Solidarity Movement in a Globalising Indonesia Farish A. Noor	(2012)
243.	Role of Intelligence in International Crisis Management Kwa Chong Guan	(2012)
244.	Malaysia's China Policy in the Post-Mahathir Era: A Neoclassical Realist Explanation KUIK Cheng-Chwee	(2012)
245.	Dividing the Korean Peninsula: The Rhetoric of the George W. Bush Administration Sarah Teo	(2012)
246.	China's Evolving Fishing Industry: Implications for Regional and Global Maritime Security Zhang Hongzhou	(2012)
247.	By Invitation, Mostly: the International Politics of the US Security Presence, China, and the South China Sea Christopher Freise	(2012)

248.	Governing for the Future: What Governments can do Peter Ho	(2012)
249.	ASEAN's centrality in a rising Asia Benjamin Ho	(2012)
250.	Malaysia's U.S. Policy under Najib: Ambivalence no more?  KUIK Cheng-Chwee	(2012)
251.	Securing the State: National Security in Contemporary times Sir David Omand GCB	(2012)
252.	Bangladesh-India Relations: Sheikh Hasina's India-positive policy approach  Bhumitra Chakma	(2012)
253.	Strengthening Economic Linkages between South Asia and East Asia: The Case for a Second Round of "Look East" Policies Pradumna B Rana and Chia Wai-Mun	(2013)
254.	The Eurozone Crisis and Its Impact on Asia Pradumna B Rana and Michael Blomenhofer	(2013)
255.	Security Identity, Policymaking Regime and Japanese Security Policy Development Bhubhindar Singh	(2013)
256.	The Rising Chorus of Chinese Exceptionalism  Benjamin Ho Tze Ern	(2013)
257.	Iran How Intelligence and Policy Intersect Robert Jervis	(2013)
258.	Enhancing Global and Regional Mechanisms for Conflict Management and Resolution Ibrahim A. Gambari	(2013)
259.	A New Containment-Policy – The Curbing of War and Violent Conflict in World Society Andreas Herberg-Rothe	(2013)