

# GEORGIA'S BUMPY TRANSITION: HOW THE EU CAN HELP

Jana Kobzova

## SUMMARY

Georgia's political transition following last October's parliamentary election is proving bumpier than many had hoped. The new coalition government led by Bidzina Ivanishvili is under enormous pressure but does not seem to have a clear strategy for how to improve the socio-economic situation, and political tensions with President Mikheil Saakashvili's UNM party will continue. The new administration has used bellicose rhetoric, failed to prevent the resignations of local executives linked to the UNM, and launched investigations of former officials. But so far it has mostly maintained the course set by its predecessor. For now, Georgia is not turning into a Caucasian Ukraine.

Since the October election, the EU has found itself in a tricky position. Its support for Georgia has become indistinguishable from its support for Saakashvili and his party. Instead of backing personalities or political factions, the EU should take at face value the new government's statements about its determination to follow a European path and use them to build trust with Tbilisi. The EU should align its assistance more closely with Georgia's needs, support more shorter-term growth-oriented initiatives, and extend its reach to Georgian society. Europe should not shy away from criticising the government if there are grounds for it. But if Georgia is to succeed in completing its democratisation, it will need the EU's helping hand now more than ever.

Georgia is once again at a crossroads. Last October, President Mikheil Saakashvili's United National Movement (UNM), which had been in power for almost a decade, lost the parliamentary election to the opposition Georgian Dream coalition (GD) led by Bidzina Ivanishvili. But the transition is proving bumpier than many had hoped three or four months ago. The election marks the end of the so-called Rose Revolution that Saakashvili and his allies led almost a decade ago – but also the beginning of a new, potentially difficult, period for the country.<sup>1</sup>

## Old and new problems

Ivanishvili won the October election not only because of what he stood for but chiefly because of whom he stood against: the president and his party. Before his entry into politics in late 2011, most Georgians had heard of Ivanishvili's philanthropic activities but few knew what he looked like: the country's richest man had made his fortunes in Russia in the 1990s and preferred to stay out of the public eye. The six-member opposition coalition was formed only one year before the election, and a number of individuals in it, including the leader, had never held a seat in parliament. UNM and GD fought a bitter election campaign: Saakashvili's party was able to make use of the pro-government mass media and control of the state apparatus, and at times blurred

<sup>1</sup> This memo is based on interviews carried out in February and March 2013 in Georgia and the EU with senior government and opposition figures, analysts, civil society activists, business people, and EU officials and diplomats. Unless stated otherwise, all quotations come from those interviews with the author.

the distinction between state activities and the campaign.<sup>2</sup> But Ivanishvili was able to match these resources and establish separate communication channels with his voters through an extensive network of activists in the regions and television stations that were not controlled by the UNM-linked businesses. This proved decisive in spreading the opposition's message and mobilising voters.

The election result is a reflection of the growing disillusionment of Georgian society following eight years of UNM rule. While solving the country's problems – at the time of the Rose Revolution, Georgia was beset by criminality and potentially on course for state failure – Saakashvili's UNM has also created new ones. In the absence of effective checks and balances, there were almost no constraints on the power of the president and the ruling party, and effectiveness often took precedence over deliberation and inclusiveness.<sup>3</sup> The government has come to be seen as too willing to cut corners too often in the sphere of economics or justice. While friendly to foreign investors, the business environment has, thanks to government interference, often benefitted companies linked to the UNM.<sup>4</sup>

Thus there was an increasing sense in Georgia that, as a former official put it, “while under (former President Eduard) Shevardnadze, corruption was the result of the state's dysfunctionality, under Saakashvili, the elite's rent-seeking became one of the main effects of a functioning state”. There remains a large gap between the UNM's reformist rhetoric and the living standards of many Georgians, despite the fact that Saakashvili's reforms have helped kick-start economic growth. In fact, many Georgians continue to depend on remittances from abroad, which the National Bank of Georgia estimates to be approximately \$1 billion per year. Abuses of the justice and penitentiary systems have been regularly reported by domestic and international organisations, and have gradually fuelled resentment among the population.<sup>5</sup> Scandalous videos of prison inmates being humiliated and tortured, released only few days before the election took place, led to mass demonstrations and may have had a decisive impact on the outcome.

## A new government under pressure

In opposition, GD was harassed at home and ignored abroad.<sup>6</sup> In government, it finds itself between a rock and a hard place. On the one hand, it is under pressure to improve the

socio-economic situation, create employment opportunities, and correct the missteps of the previous government, just as it promised in the election campaign. On the other hand, the coalition seems unprepared to govern: most GD activists planned for the expected post-election crackdown rather than for what they would do in power. Forming the new government took some time and exposed differences among various coalition members whose ideologies range from pro-Western liberalism to outright nationalism. As a diverse coalition, the government's decision-making will be inevitably lengthier and more incoherent than that of the previous, single-party administration. The process is also going to be slowed by the way that the prime minister seems to prefer to micro-manage rather than delegate. For now, it seems that the coalition parties are held together by what they dislike (Saakashvili and the UNM) and by what they need (Ivanishvili as the leader who can adjudicate among the various factions). But this might prove too little to allow the cabinet to function normally and carry out the reforms the country needs.

Today, Georgia faces two interlinked challenges: to strengthen state and democratic institutions and to fix the country's economy. The previous government did a lot to build up the institutions of a modern state, but, as mentioned above, these often functioned on behalf of the ruling party rather than the state. This can be fixed not only by hiring new people – which the government has already started doing – but, more importantly, by strengthening these institutions' organisational capacity and introducing democratic political culture. This means departing from the current zero-sum view of politics and winner-takes-all mentality when it comes to political opponents – a position still common among all of Georgia's major parties.

The economy is the immediate challenge. Thanks to the de facto bailout by the West and cheap credit flowing from abroad, it has more or less recovered from the 2008 economic slowdown, which was caused by the war with Russia and compounded by the global economic crisis.<sup>7</sup> But it nevertheless remains vulnerable. Unemployment, especially in rural areas, is far greater than the official 15 percent figure suggests.<sup>8</sup> Although volumes of foreign direct investment (FDI) have increased since the 1990s, much of it has been linked to real estate, making homes in Tbilisi unaffordable for ordinary Georgians. Sources of growth remain scarce. Here the pressure on Ivanishvili and his government is particularly great. His philanthropic track record is well known and many Georgians are expecting the billionaire to do miracles with the country's economy too. In fact, in a recent survey, 75 percent of respondents assessed Georgia's economic situation as bad, but 64 percent expected it to improve in the next 12 months.<sup>9</sup>

<sup>7</sup> At a donor conference in October 2008, 38 countries and 15 international organisations pledged approximately \$4.5 billion in aid to help Georgia recover following the August 2008 war with Russia.

<sup>8</sup> “A number of sociological surveys [...] show that 70 percent of those questioned consider themselves to be unemployed. The main reason for this is that over 55 percent of the workforce is self-employed and their incomes are so low that they do not view this work as employment.” See Vladimir Papava, “Georgia's socio-economic development: Prospects over the medium term”, International Alert, 31 January 2013, available at <http://www.international-alert.org/content/socio-economic-development-english-vp>.

<sup>9</sup> “EU Neighbourhood Barometer, Georgia”, 20 March 2013, available at <http://>

<sup>2</sup> “Georgia Parliamentary Elections 1 October 2012: OSCE/ODIHR Elections Observation Mission Final Report”, OSCE/ODIHR, Warsaw, 21 December 2012, available at <http://www.osce.org/odihr/elections/98399> (hereafter, OSCE report, 21 December 2012).

<sup>3</sup> For instance, when constitutional amendments strengthening the government and reducing presidential powers were adopted in October 2010, the public debates on these changes took place only in August that year, the country's holiday month. Opposition factions boycotted the proceedings.

<sup>4</sup> See, for example, Paul Rimple, “Who Owned Georgia 2003–2013”, Transparency International Georgia, 18 December 2012, available at <http://transparency.ge/en/post/who-owned-georgia-2003-2012>.

<sup>5</sup> Between 2004 and 2010, Georgia's prison population almost quadrupled. The country also had high mortality rates among prisoners: in 2010, 142 – or one inmate every 2.5 days – died in prison. See Tea Topuria, “Georgia's Crammed Prisons”, Institute for War & Peace Reporting, 1 April 2011, available at <http://iwpr.net/report-news/georgias-crammed-prisons>.

<sup>6</sup> See OSCE report, 21 December 2012.

Saakashvili's government had bet on Georgia's open economy and unique geographic position in a region that is seen as a bridge between Central Asia's energy riches and Europe, and had hoped that it could be a buoy that could keep the country's economy afloat. Georgia was seen as relatively stable compared to the neighbouring North Caucasus region of Russia or Armenia and Azerbaijan (due to the Nagorno-Karabakh conflict). But the downside of this strategy was that it has made Georgia's open economy more vulnerable to shocks of the global environment, and very sensitive to the perception abroad of the country's political situation. Moreover, deteriorating relations between Tbilisi and Moscow had cost Georgia access to the Russian market – previously one of the country's top trade destinations.

In 2012, FDI fell to \$865.2 million, a 22.5 percent year-on-year decline.<sup>10</sup> Economic activity has significantly weakened and growth slowed to 2.5 percent in the final quarter of 2012: many see it as a signal that the polarised political situation was creating uncertainty among businesses and consumers alike. The outlook is mixed: although the government and the International Monetary Fund expect 6 percent GDP growth in 2013, this assumes that the country's foreign trade will grow thanks to an increase in demand from its trading partners and that political uncertainty will be low. Reducing Georgia's high current account deficit, which is currently at 11.8 percent of GDP, remains the key macroeconomic challenge.<sup>11</sup>

After taking over last October, the new government adopted a budget in a relatively short period of time. But the development of a number of crucial policies is taking time and the steps taken so far do not amount to a full-fledged strategy. The government has announced several initiatives designed to stimulate the economy, but it is not clear whether they will be enough to create the economic growth Georgia needs. Parliament will soon vote on changes to Georgia's liberal labour code that are meant to strengthen employee protection and social dialogue but which some fear might discourage foreign investors. The government also plans to invest more in healthcare, improve the educational system, and move the country to a more "socially-oriented economic model".<sup>12</sup> A special envoy for relations with Russia was appointed shortly after the government's inauguration in the hope that economic relations might be restored. Russia gave a green light to almost 40 Georgian winemakers it had banned in 2006 to return to its market. But although this move was welcomed by number of people in Georgia and abroad, it is unlikely to have a decisive and quick impact on the country's economic growth.

The government also does not seem to have a clear strategy

eu/neighborhood.eu/wp-content/uploads/2013/03/FactsheetENPI\_wave2-GE-EN1.pdf (hereafter, EU Neighbourhood Barometer).

<sup>10</sup> "Foreign direct investments 2012 (preliminary)", National Statistics Office of Georgia, 11 March 2013, available at <http://geostat.ge/index.php?action=news&lang=eng&npid=585>.

<sup>11</sup> "Statement by IMF Mission to Georgia", International Monetary Fund, 18 December 2012, available at <http://www.imf.org/external/np/sec/pr/2012/pr12493.htm>.

<sup>12</sup> "Prime Minister Bidzina Ivanishvili says 2013 budget is socially oriented in line with pre-election promises", Reuters, 24 December 2012, available at <http://www.itnsources.com/en/shotlist/RTV/2012/12/24/RTV241212066/>.

on how to attract investment from abroad. It has announced the creation of at least three new investment funds to support agriculture and youth and to attract foreign investors, but has not explained how this will be done. At the World Economic Forum in Davos in January, Ivanishvili lambasted the World Bank's report, which included Georgia among the world's top 10 economies for ease of doing business, arguing that it was based on facts that were "artificially created" by the previous government.<sup>13</sup> Such quotes have alarmed investors. As one of them recently said: "We have put all decisions about increasing our exposure to Georgia on hold. We were used to getting phone calls from the previous government each week just to tell us how great it is to invest in their country, but we haven't heard from anyone since the elections. When we tried to call them, no one answered. We are used to such an attitude from Russia or Qatar, but Georgia can ill afford it."

Parliament has also quickly moved to adopt a wide-ranging amnesty for almost 8,400 pre-trial or convicted inmates, including 190 "political prisoners". Many citizens welcomed this, but the criteria for establishing whether a person was imprisoned on political grounds were not publicly disclosed. The number of "political prisoners" is surprisingly high – after all, there are "only" about 80 political prisoners in Azerbaijan, which is more authoritarian, and fewer than 15 in Belarus, which is often called "Europe's last dictatorship". The move was criticised by the Council of Europe's Venice Commission and the EU.<sup>14</sup>

## Politics versus policies

Soon after the October election it became clear that, besides Georgia's economic challenges, political tensions would also continue. Initially, the hope was that cohabitation between President Saakashvili – whose term expires in October 2013 – and the new government under Ivanishvili could be possible. Saakashvili repeatedly stated that he had no intention of dismissing the sitting government despite the fact that, at the time, the constitution allowed him to do so. At the same time, UNM continued to voice its concerns that the new coalition was too willing to restore relations with Russia at the expense of Georgia's Euro-Atlantic integration. The government reciprocated by calling the previous administration "criminals".<sup>15</sup> Relations quickly sunk back to the point where they were in the run-up to the election.

The situation worsened when investigations of officials linked to the previous administration were launched shortly after the election. More than 70 people, including

<sup>13</sup> "Bidzina Ivanishvili: Georgia's Ninth Place in terms of Simplicity of Doing Business was Artificial", *Commersant*.ge, 25 January 2013, available at <http://www.commersant.ge/eng/?id=4354>.

<sup>14</sup> "Opinion on the provisions relating to political prisoners in the amnesty law of Georgia", European Commission for Democracy and Law (Venice Commission), 11 March 2013, available at <http://www.venice.coe.int/webforms/documents/?pdf=CDL-AD%282013%29009-e>.

<sup>15</sup> Josh Rogin, "Georgian foreign minister: Saakashvili officials are 'criminals and guilty'", *Foreign Policy*, 30 November 2012, available at [http://thecable.foreignpolicy.com/posts/2012/11/30/georgian\\_foreign\\_minister\\_saakashvili\\_officials\\_are\\_criminals\\_and\\_guilty](http://thecable.foreignpolicy.com/posts/2012/11/30/georgian_foreign_minister_saakashvili_officials_are_criminals_and_guilty) (hereafter, "Saakashvili officials are 'criminals and guilty'")

Tbilisi's first popularly elected mayor and a leading figure in the UNM, are now being investigated for abuse of power, illegal income or embezzlement, and misappropriation of budget funds. Most of them have been released on bail as they await trial (under the previous government, pre-trial detention was the norm).<sup>16</sup> The speed with which the new government moved to investigate these cases has sparked fears of political retribution among UNM ranks and raised questions abroad. For now, most of these investigations have been in line with due process, according to domestic and international observers.<sup>17</sup> But the government needs to ensure that these prosecutions are impartial and free of political motivation.

While much media attention has focused on high-profile investigations of former officials, other worrying developments are also taking place in Georgia's regions. The UNM had previously controlled the local administrations in all of the regions. But in less than half a year – and without a single local election taking place – it has lost power in almost half of the city councils and local government after numerous UNM regional officials submitted a letter of resignation. Many of them said they did so after pressure from local GD activists on the councils, which included instances of storming of municipality buildings. The unusually high number of voluntary resignations has added to the concerns of those who accuse the new government of a political witch-hunt or an inability to control its own regional structures.

The president's constitutional right to dismiss the sitting government and appoint a new one without parliament's approval has also become a bone of contention. Although parliament unanimously adopted a constitutional amendment to strip the president of this right on 25 March, it was preceded by more than three months of political wrangling between the coalition and the UNM. This included an open letter from the prime minister to the president in which he warned that anyone who voted for the amendment "will continue serving the country [...] and will be given a chance to have a better political future" while those who reject it "will assume full political responsibility".<sup>18</sup> Numerous UNM MPs saw the letter as an ultimatum that would be decisive for their own political future.

The investigations are a relatively popular response of the government to the expectations of numerous voters who came to suspect the previous administration of corruption and hoped that the coalition would "restore justice". But they also seem to be a handy distraction for the government, which is struggling to come up with quick fixes to the country's economic problems. When asked about the investigations, a person close to the prime minister quipped: "People always expect bread and circuses from the government. We can't

give them the bread as quickly as they want it. But in the meantime, the opposition is helping us to provide circuses without realising it."

Whether the focus on politics rather than policies is the result of the new government's deliberate choice or the unintended consequence of their actions, it will not make Georgia's economic and social problems disappear. If the coalition is to retain its current popularity, playing politics won't suffice. Since the president can no longer sack the government without parliament's approval, the coalition no longer has the excuse that painful reforms cannot be launched because Saakashvili would dismiss the government and call a new election.

## The EU: re-entry or exit?

Since the October election, the EU has found itself in a tricky position. On the one hand, the EU institutions and a number of EU member states have been supportive of Georgia's ambition to get as close to Europe as possible and they have committed substantial political, financial, and technical resources to help it do so. The country has been included in the European Neighbourhood Policy (ENP) since the initiative's outset and in 2009 it joined the EU's Eastern Partnership programme. In 2012, Georgia and Moldova overtook Ukraine as the front-runners in establishing closer cooperation with the EU. Talks on a new Association Agreement (AA) and a Deep and Comprehensive Free Trade Area (DCFTA) between the EU and Georgia could be concluded before summer, meaning that the agreements might come into force as early as next year.

However, EU policy towards Georgia has been on autopilot. The EU applied the template it used elsewhere in the region and aimed at promoting convergence with the EU's own *acquis* without paying much regard to the impact this would have on Georgia's economy.<sup>19</sup> The EU's support for Georgia has also become indistinguishable from its support for Saakashvili and the UNM. Although the EU has periodically criticised the Georgian judiciary's lack of independence and the excessive use of force by law enforcement agencies, and urged the government to strengthen freedom of expression and opinion, these appeals were often buried in the EU's annual progress reports or voiced privately during meetings with Georgian officials rather than in public. In the run-up to the October election, diplomats based in Tbilisi and those coming to Georgia often enjoyed easy access to the president and the government whereas the opposition was usually met with a mix of curiosity, ignorance, or suspicion. All of this had made the EU seem too close to the president and the UNM.

This perception has been strengthened by the warnings by

<sup>16</sup> For instance, in 2009, out of 8,713 cases in which the attorney general requested pre-trial detention, courts granted 8,198 such requests. See Anna Dolidze, "Justice or Injustice in Georgia? The First 100 Days after the Power Transfer", Transatlantic Academy, Analysis, March 2013, available at [http://www.gmfus.org/wp-content/blogs.dir/1/files\\_mf/1362604458Dolidze\\_JusticeInGeorgia\\_Mar13.pdf](http://www.gmfus.org/wp-content/blogs.dir/1/files_mf/1362604458Dolidze_JusticeInGeorgia_Mar13.pdf).

<sup>17</sup> See, for example, "NATO Chief 'Extremely Concerned' over Arrests of Political Opponents", Civil.ge, 12 November 2012, available at <http://www.civil.ge/eng/article.php?id=25443>.

<sup>18</sup> "MPs to Vote on Constitutional Changes in end-March", Civil.ge, 26 February 2013, available at <http://www.civil.ge/eng/article.php?id=25789>.

<sup>19</sup> The most well-known example was the long list of pre-conditions the European Commission sent to the Georgian government to fulfil even before the formal talks on DCFTA had started. These included regulatory measures that would have an immediate negative impact on Georgia's growth.



Western observers and officials of a looming “Ukrainisation” of Georgia and appeals to Tbilisi to avoid “selective justice”.<sup>20</sup> This kind of criticism is well intentioned: many in the West feel that the EU was slow to react to political prosecution of opposition figures in Ukraine and that an earlier intervention might have discouraged Kyiv from taking such steps. But some in the new government see the EU’s concerns about investigations as being driven by Saakashvili’s public-relations machine and aimed at undermining the ruling coalition rather than as a genuine attempt by the West to help steer Georgia closer to the EU.

The new government bears some responsibility for fuelling tensions through bellicose rhetoric or by failing to take steps to prevent the resignations of executives in the regions. But, so far, it has maintained the course set by the previous administration. It has not reversed key structural reforms (amendments of the labour code were required by the EU), continues to prepare to join NATO, and remains committed to conclude the AA and the DCFTA as soon as possible. Investigations are following due process, although some comments by cabinet members have raised concerns that the government was trying to pressure the judiciary.<sup>21</sup> In short, for now, Georgia is not turning into a Caucasian Ukraine. However, if the government fails to quickly improve living standards, the coalition’s popularity will sink. If this happens, it might be tempted to tighten the screws and undermine the country’s fragile democratic institutions.

Therefore, rather than continuing on autopilot, the EU should step up its engagement with Tbilisi not only to promote closer cooperation with Georgia, but also to support more shorter-term initiatives aimed at boosting economic growth. The government has been struggling to find well-trained people to work on structural reforms in sectors such as regional development, agriculture, or small and medium-sized enterprises, which are crucial for the country’s sustainable growth. Georgia will also need help in implementing the ambitious AA and the DCFTA, not to mention socio-economic development and building democratic institutions. Instead of backing personalities or political factions, the EU should take at face value the new government’s statements about its determination to take a European path and use it to build trust with the new administration, extend its reach to Georgian society, and improve its image as an impartial facilitator.

Europe is already doing a lot. In February, the EU and Georgia signed a new financing agreement totalling €20 million to support reforms, with a special focus on institution building.<sup>22</sup> At the invitation of the Georgian government, the EU has also appointed the former Council of Europe

Commissioner for Human Rights, Thomas Hammarberg, as an advisor to Georgia on issues of judicial, penitentiary, and constitutional reform and protection of human rights. But there is more that the EU can do. In particular, it should:

#### *Send experts*

There are several twinning projects to foster greater cooperation between EU specialists and Georgian officials. But the EU’s assistance needs to be more closely aligned with Georgia’s current needs. The EU should therefore respond to the government’s invitation to send experts to ministries that play a crucial role in promoting Georgia’s economic growth, such as those responsible for regional development or agriculture, not just to those that are politically important to watch for Brussels and the member states. Although the EU has been providing substantial financial support for these sectors, it has thus far been reluctant to match these funds with expert advisors embedded at these institutions. Here, various member states could informally share responsibilities and, upon agreement with the government, offer to deploy their own experts to various state agencies.

#### *Improve communication*

To be able to effectively influence the government, the EU also needs to improve its communication. As one Georgian official pointed out: “The EU is doing a lot – but its assistance is just invisible.” Public opinion polls consistently show a majority of the population in favour of Georgian membership of the EU, but experts also point out that this support is *not* based on a good understanding of what the practical impact of Georgia’s closer association with the EU would entail for society.<sup>23</sup> The general public and various interest groups have little knowledge of the implications of the potential DCFTA on the country’s economy or employment opportunities. Moreover, as one recent survey showed, a majority thinks that the communication from the EU does not take into account the reality of life in Georgia.<sup>24</sup> Although several local NGOs organise public information campaigns in the regions, the EU’s support for these initiatives has been scarce. There is no EU information centre in Georgia; in fact, the government is now considering opening one itself rather than waiting for the EU. Thus the EU looks set to repeat the mistake it made in Ukraine, where brochures on the impact of the AA and DCFTA were prepared and distributed by the EU only after the negotiations were concluded.

#### *Be critical*

Europe should not shy away from criticising the government if there are grounds for it: it is in both sides’ interest for Georgia to observe democratic standards. Monitoring of investigations of UNM-linked officials and their future court hearings is important, and the EU should keep a close eye on whether the proceedings and potential sentences follow due process and reflect the sense of proportion and fairness.

<sup>20</sup> See, for example, “Remarks by High Representative/Vice President Catherine Ashton at the end of her visit to Georgia”, Brussels, 26 November 2012, available at [http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release\\_MEMO-12-903\\_en.htm](http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_MEMO-12-903_en.htm).

<sup>21</sup> See, for example, “Saakashvili officials are ‘criminals and guilty’”.

<sup>22</sup> The funding will support key Georgian institutions to undertake the necessary reforms in three main areas: trade, oversight and public accountability, and coordination of EU–Georgia agreements. “EU and Georgia signed financing agreement to support reform process”, European Commission, Tbilisi/Brussels, 12 February 2013, available at [http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release\\_IP-13-110\\_en.htm](http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_IP-13-110_en.htm).

<sup>23</sup> Author’s discussion with sociological experts in Tbilisi, 7 February 2013.

<sup>24</sup> EU Neighbourhood Barometer.

The government's treatment of its political opponents should also remain in the spotlight: as things stand, political tensions will run high until at least the October presidential election, if not longer. But whatever grievances the current administration has about its predecessor, Georgia's democracy will suffer if the current political fight between the government and the opposition is fought by anything other than political means. Georgia's political forces face a choice: either they learn to coexist and adopt a democratic political culture or they continue their zero-sum approach to politics. The former would bring Georgia closer to Europe; the latter risks alienating not only the country's society but also its Western allies.

By choice or by default, the EU has come to associate Georgia's pro-Western orientation with the country's president and his party: Saakashvili and the UNM. But the October election marked the beginning of a landmark change in Georgia's politics. After presiding over Georgia's transformation from a nearly-failed state to a relatively well-functioning country, Saakashvili will depart from office in October. Whether this change will eventually lead to the completion of Georgia's democratisation or not is now above all the responsibility of the country's new political leadership. If Georgia is to succeed, it will need the EU's helping hand – now more than ever.

## Acknowledgements

Working on this memo, I benefited from conversations with numerous officials, activists, experts and diplomats based in Georgia and the EU. Balazs Jarabik, Alina Belskaya, Tomas Valasek and Tornike Sharashenidze helped in particular with advice and suggestions at various stages of writing. At ECFR, Kadri Liik and Andrew Wilson provided helpful comments; Hans Kundnani has, as always, enhanced the draft by editing it.

## About the author

*Jana Kobzova* is a Policy Fellow at the European Council on Foreign Relations and the coordinator of its Wider Europe programme. Before joining ECFR, Jana led the Belarus democratisation programme at the Bratislava-based Pontis Foundation. Jana has co-authored several book chapters on Eastern Europe and EU Eastern policy as well as articles for various journals and media outlets. She has co-authored various ECFR publications including *The EU and Azerbaijan: Beyond oil* (with Leila Alieva, 2012), *The EU and Belarus after the Election* (2011) and *Dealing with a post-BRIC Russia* (2011).

The European Council on Foreign Relations is a unique strategic community composed of over two hundred members - including serving foreign ministers, members of parliament, former NATO secretary generals, intellectuals and business leaders - from across Europe.

**Asger Aamund (Denmark)**  
President and CEO, A. J. Aamund A/S and Chairman of Bavarian Nordic A/S

**Valdas Adamkus (Lithuania)**  
Former President

**Urban Ahlin (Sweden)**  
Deputy Chairman of the Foreign Affairs Committee and foreign policy spokesperson for the Social Democratic Party

**Martti Ahtisaari (Finland)**  
Chairman of the Board, Crisis Management Initiative; former President

**Douglas Alexander (United Kingdom)**  
Member of Parliament

**Ekim Alptekin (Turkey/The Netherlands)**  
President, Turkish American Business Association

**Luis Amado (Portugal)**  
Chairman, Banco Internacional do Funchal (Banif)

**Giuliano Amato (Italy)**  
Former Prime Minister; Chairman, Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna; Chairman, Enciclopedia Treccani; Chairman, Centro Studi Americani

**Jose M. de Areilza Carvajal (Spain)**  
Professor of Law, ESADE; Secretary General, Aspen Institute (Spain)

**Gustavo de Aristegui (Spain)**  
Ambassador of Spain to India; former Member of Parliament

**Giampiero Auletta Armenise (Italy)**  
Chairman, Rothschild Bank, Italy

**Viveca Ax:son Johnson (Sweden)**  
Chairman of Nordstjernan AB

**Gordon Bajnai (Hungary)**  
Former Prime Minister

**Dora Bakoyannis (Greece)**  
Member of Parliament; former Foreign Minister

**Leszek Balcerowicz (Poland)**  
Professor of Economics at the Warsaw School of Economics; former Deputy Prime Minister

**Lluís Bassets (Spain)**  
Deputy Director, El País

**Marek Belka (Poland)**  
Governor, National Bank of Poland; former Prime Minister

**Roland Berger (Germany)**  
Founder and Honorary Chairman, Roland Berger Strategy Consultants GmbH

**Erik Berglöv (Sweden)**  
Chief Economist, European Bank for Reconstruction and Development

**Jan Krzysztof Bielecki (Poland)**  
Chairman, Prime Minister's Economic Council; former Prime Minister

**Carl Bildt (Sweden)**  
Foreign Minister

**Henryka Bochniarz (Poland)**  
President, Polish Confederation of Private Employers – Lewiatan

**Svetoslav Bojilov (Bulgaria)**  
Founder, Communitas Foundation and President of Venture Equity Bulgaria Ltd.

**Ingrid Bonde (Sweden)**  
CFO & Deputy CEO, Vattenfall AB

**Emma Bonino (Italy)**  
Former Vice President of the Senate; former EU Commissioner

**Stine Bosse (Denmark)**  
Chairman & Non-Executive Board Member

**Franziska Brantner (Germany)**  
Member of the European Parliament

**Han ten Broeke (The Netherlands)**  
Member of Parliament and spokesperson for foreign affairs and defence

**John Bruton (Ireland)**  
Former European Commission Ambassador to the USA; former Prime Minister (Taoiseach)

**Francois Burgat (France)**  
Senior Research Fellow at the French National Centre for Scientific Research; Director, French Institute of the Near East

**Ian Buruma (The Netherlands)**  
Writer and academic

**Erhard Busek (Austria)**  
Chairman of the Institute for the Danube and Central Europe

**Jerzy Buzek (Poland)**  
Member of the European Parliament; former President of the European Parliament; former Prime Minister

**Gunilla Carlsson (Sweden)**  
Minister for International Development Cooperation

**Maria Livanos Cattau (Switzerland)**  
Former Secretary General of the International Chamber of Commerce

**Ipek Cem Taha (Turkey)**  
Director of Melak Investments/Journalist

**Sonsoles Centeno Huerta (Spain)**  
State Attorney, Ministry of Foreign Affairs

**Carmen Chacón (Spain)**  
Former Minister of Defence

**Charles Clarke (United Kingdom)**  
Visiting Professor of Politics, University of East Anglia; former Home Secretary

**Nicola Clase (Sweden)**  
Ambassador to the United Kingdom; former State Secretary

**Daniel Cohn-Bendit (Germany)**  
Member of the European Parliament

**Robert Cooper (United Kingdom)**  
Former Counsellor of the European External Action Service

**Gerhard Cromme (Germany)**  
Chairman of the Supervisory Board, Siemens

**Maria Cuffaro (Italy)**  
Anchorwoman, TG3, RAI

**Daniel Daianu (Romania)**  
Professor of Economics, National School of Political and Administrative Studies (SNSPA); former Finance Minister

**Massimo D'Alema (Italy)**  
President, Italianieuropei Foundation; President, Foundation for European Progressive Studies; former Prime Minister and Foreign Minister

**Amaud Danjean (France)**  
Member of the European Parliament

**Marta Dassù (Italy)**  
Deputy Minister for Foreign Affairs

**Ahmet Davutoglu (Turkey)**  
Foreign Minister

**Aleš Debeljak (Slovenia)**  
Poet and Cultural Critic

**Jean-Luc Dehaene (Belgium)**  
Member of the European Parliament; former Prime Minister

**Gianfranco Dell'Alba (Italy)**  
Director, Confindustria Delegation to Brussels; former Member of the European Parliament

**Pavol Demeš (Slovakia)**  
Senior Transatlantic Fellow, German Marshall Fund of the United States (Bratislava)

**Kemal Dervis (Turkey)**  
Vice-President and Director of Global Economy and Development, Brookings Institution

**Tibor Dessewffy (Hungary)**  
President, DEMOS Hungary

**Hanzade Doğan Boyner (Turkey)**  
Chair, Doğan Gazetecilik and Doğan On-line

**Andrew Duff (United Kingdom)**  
Member of the European Parliament

**Mikuláš Dzurinda (Slovakia)**  
Former Foreign Minister

**Hans Eichel (Germany)**  
Former Finance Minister

**Rolf Ekeus (Sweden)**  
Former Executive Chairman, United Nations Special Commission on Iraq; former OSCE High Commissioner on National Minorities; former Chairman Stockholm International Peace Research Institute, SIPRI

**Uffe Ellemann-Jensen (Denmark)**  
Chairman, Baltic Development Forum; former Foreign Minister

**Ine Eriksen Soreide (Norway)**  
Member of Parliament; Chair of the Foreign Affairs Committee

**Steven Everts (The Netherlands)**  
Adviser to the Vice President of the European Commission and EU High Representative for Foreign and Security Policy

**Tanja Fajon (Slovenia)**  
Member of the European Parliament

**Gianfranco Fini (Italy)**  
Former President, Chamber of Deputies; former Foreign Minister

**Joschka Fischer (Germany)**  
Former Foreign Minister and vice-Chancellor

**Karin Forseke (Sweden/USA)**  
Chairman, Alliance Trust Plc

**Lykke Friis (Denmark)**  
Member of Parliament; former Minister for Climate, Energy and Gender Equality

**Jaime Gama (Portugal)**  
Former Speaker of the Parliament; former Foreign Minister

**Timothy Garton Ash (United Kingdom)**  
Professor of European Studies, Oxford University

**Carlos Gaspar (Portugal)**  
Chairman of the Portuguese Institute of International Relations (IIPRI)

**Sylvie Goulard (France)**  
Member of the European Parliament

**Teresa Patrício Gouveia (Portugal)**  
Trustee to the Board of the Calouste Gulbenkian Foundation; former Foreign Minister

**Heather Grabbe (United Kingdom)**  
Executive Director, Open Society Institute – Brussels

**Charles Grant (United Kingdom)**  
Director, Centre for European Reform

**Jean-Marie Guéhenno (France)**  
Director of the Centre on International Conflict Resolution, Columbia University (New York); Senior Fellow, Brookings Institution; former Under-Secretary-General for Peacekeeping Operations at the UN

**Elisabeth Guigou (France)**  
Member of Parliament and President of the Foreign Affairs Committee

**Fernando Andresen Guimarães (Portugal)**  
Head of the US and Canada Division, European External Action Service

**Jytte Gutland (Sweden)**  
Project Manager, Global Challenge

**Karl-Theodor zu Guttenberg (Germany)**  
Former Defence Minister

**István Gyarmati (Hungary)**  
President and CEO, International Centre for Democratic Transition

**Hans Hækkerup (Denmark)**  
Former Chairman, Defence Commission; former Defence Minister

**Heidi Hautala (Finland)**  
Minister for International Development

**Sasha Havlicek (United Kingdom)**  
Executive Director, Institute for Strategic Dialogue (ISD)

**Connie Hedegaard (Denmark)**  
Commissioner for Climate Action

**Steven Heinz (Austria)**  
Co-Founder & Co-Chairman, Lansdowne Partners Ltd

**Annette Heuser (Germany)**  
Executive Director, Bertelsmann Foundation Washington DC

**Diego Hidalgo (Spain)**  
Co-founder of Spanish newspaper El País; President, FRIDE

**Jaap de Hoop Scheffer (The Netherlands)**  
Former NATO Secretary General

**Danuta Hübner (Poland)**  
Member of the European Parliament; former European Commissioner

**Anna Ibrisagic (Sweden)**  
Member of the European Parliament

**Jaakko Iloniemi (Finland)**  
Former Ambassador and former Executive Director, Crisis Management Initiative

**Toomas Ilves (Estonia)**  
President

**Wolfgang Ischinger (Germany)**  
Chairman, Munich Security Conference;  
Global Head of Government Affairs  
Allianz SE

**Minna Järvenpää (Finland/US)**  
Former International Advocacy Director,  
Open Society Foundation

**Jo Johnson (United Kingdom)**  
Member of Parliament

**Mary Kaldor (United Kingdom)**  
Professor, London School of Economics

**Ibrahim Kalin (Turkey)**  
Senior Advisor to the Prime Minister  
of Turkey on foreign policy and public  
diplomacy

**Sylvie Kauffmann (France)**  
Editorial Director, Le Monde

**Suat Kiniklioglu (Turkey)**  
Executive Director, Centre for Strategic  
Communication (Stratim)

**Olli Kivinen (Finland)**  
Writer and columnist

**Ben Knapen (The Netherlands)**  
Permanent Representative, European  
Investment Bank; former Minister for  
European Affairs and International  
Cooperation

**Gerald Knaus (Austria)**  
Chairman of the European Stability  
Initiative and Carr Center Fellow

**Caio Koch-Weser (Germany)**  
Vice Chairman, Deutsche Bank Group;  
former State Secretary

**Bassma Kodmani (France)**  
Executive Director of the Arab Reform  
Initiative

**Rem Koolhaas (The Netherlands)**  
Architect and urbanist; Professor at the  
Graduate School of Design, Harvard  
University

**David Koranyi (Hungary)**  
Deputy Director, Dinu Patriciu Eurasia  
Center of the Atlantic Council of the  
United States

**Bernard Kouchner (France)**  
Former Minister of Foreign Affairs

**Ivan Krastev (Bulgaria)**  
Chair of Board, Centre for Liberal  
Strategies

**Meglana Kuneva (Bulgaria)**  
President of 'Bulgaria of the Citizens'  
movement

**Aleksander Kwaśniewski (Poland)**  
Former President

**Mart Laar (Estonia)**  
Minister of Defence; former Prime  
Minister

**Brigid Laffan (Ireland)**  
Principal, College of Human Sciences,  
and Jean Monnet Professor of  
European Politics, University College  
Dublin

**Miroslav Lajčák (Slovakia)**  
Deputy Prime Minister and Minister for  
Foreign and European Affairs

**Alexander Graf Lambsdorff (Germany)**  
Member of the European Parliament

**Pascal Lamy (France)**  
Honorary President, Notre Europe and  
Director-General of WTO; former EU  
Commissioner

**Thomas Leysen (Belgium)**

Chairman, Umicore

**Bruno Le Maire (France)**  
Former Minister for Food, Agriculture  
& Fishing

**Mark Leonard (United Kingdom)**  
Director, European Council on Foreign  
Relations

**Jean-David Levitte (United Kingdom)**  
Former Senior Diplomatic Advisor and  
former Sherpa to the President of the  
French Republic; former Ambassador to  
the United States

**Sonia Licht (Serbia)**  
President, Belgrade Fund for Political  
Excellence

**Juan Fernando López Aguilar (Spain)**  
Member of the European Parliament;  
former Minister of Justice

**Adam Lury (United Kingdom)**  
CEO, Menemsha Ltd

**Monica Macovei (Romania)**  
Member of the European Parliament

**Emma Marcegaglia (Italy)**  
CEO of Marcegaglia S.p.A: former  
President, Confindustria

**Marco Margheri (Italy)**  
Senior Vice President Public and EU  
Affairs, Edison S.p.A

**Katharina Mathernova (Slovakia)**  
Senior Advisor, World Bank

**Inigo Mendez de Vigo (Spain)**  
Secretary of State for the European  
Union

**David Miliband (United Kingdom)**  
Member of Parliament; Former  
Secretary of State for Foreign and  
Commonwealth Affairs

**Alain Minc (France)**  
President of AM Conseil; former  
chairman, Le Monde

**Nikolay Mladenov (Bulgaria)**  
Former Foreign Minister; former  
Defence Minister; former Member of  
the European Parliament

**Dominique Moisi (France)**  
Senior Adviser, IFRI

**Pierre Moscovici (France)**  
Finance Minister; former Minister for  
European Affairs

**Nils Muiznieks (Latvia)**  
Council of Europe Commissioner for  
Human Rights

**Hildegard Müller (Germany)**  
Chairwoman, BDEW Bundesverband  
der Energie- und Wasserwirtschaft

**Wolfgang Münchau (Germany)**  
President, Eurointelligence ASBL

**Alina Mungiu-Pippidi (Romania)**  
Professor of Democratic Studies, Hertie  
School of Governance

**Kalypso Nicolaidis (Greece/France)**  
Professor of International Relations,  
University of Oxford

**Dietmar Nietan (Germany)**  
Member of Parliament

**Daiithi O'Ceallaigh (Ireland)**  
Director-General, Institute of  
International and European Affairs

**Christine Ockrent (Belgium)**  
Editorialist

**Andrzej Olechowski (Poland)**  
Former Foreign Minister

**Dick Oosting (The Netherlands)**  
CEO, European Council on Foreign  
Relations; former Europe Director,  
Amnesty International

**Mabel van Oranje (The Netherlands)**  
Senior Adviser, The Elders

**Anita Orban (Hungary)**  
Ambassador-at-large for Energy  
Security, Ministry of Foreign Affairs

**Marcelino Oreja Aguirre (Spain)**  
Member of the Board, Fomento de  
Construcciones y Contratas; former EU  
Commissioner

**Monica Oriol (Spain)**  
CEO, Seguriber

**Andres Ortega (Spain)**  
Writer and Journalist; former Director  
of Policy Planning, office of the Spanish  
Prime Minister

**Cem Özdemir (Germany)**  
Leader, Bündnis90/Die Grünen (Green  
Party)

**Ana Palacio (Spain)**  
Member of the Council of State;  
Former Foreign Minister; former Senior  
President and General Counsel of the  
World Bank Group

**Simon Panek (Czech Republic)**  
Chairman, People in Need Foundation

**Chris Patten (United Kingdom)**  
Chair, BBC Trust, Chancellor of Oxford  
University; former EU Commissioner

**Diana Pinto (France)**  
Historian and author

**Georgi Pirinski (Bulgaria)**  
Former Deputy Speaker of the Bulgarian  
Government

**Jean Pisani-Ferry (France)**  
Director, Bruegel; Professor, Université  
Paris-Dauphine

**Lapo Pistelli (Italy)**  
Member of Parliament

**Ruprecht Polenz (Germany)**  
Member of Parliament; Chairman of the  
Bundestag Foreign Affairs Committee

**Lydie Polfer (Luxembourg)**  
Member of Parliament; former Foreign  
Minister

**Charles Powell (Spain/United Kingdom)**  
Director, Real Instituto Elcano

**Andrew Puddephatt (United Kingdom)**  
Director, Global Partners & Associated  
Ltd.

**Vesna Pusić (Croatia)**  
Foreign Minister

**Robert Reibestein (The Netherlands)**  
Director, McKinsey & Company

**George Robertson (United Kingdom)**  
Former Secretary General of NATO

**Albert Rohan (Austria)**  
Former Secretary General for Foreign  
Affairs

**Adam D. Rotfeld (Poland)**  
Former Minister of Foreign Affairs;  
Co-Chairman of Polish-Russian Group  
on Difficult Matters, Commissioner of  
Euro-Atlantic Security Initiative

**Norbert Röttgen (Germany)**  
Former Minister for the Environment,  
Conservation and Nuclear Safety

**Olivier Roy (France)**  
Professor, European University Institute,  
Florence

**Daniel Sachs (Sweden)**  
CEO, Proventus

**Ghassan Salame (Lebanon/France)**  
Dean, Paris School of International  
Affairs; Professor of International  
Relations at Sciences Po and Columbia  
University

**Pasquale Salzano (Italy)**  
Vice President, International Institutional  
Affairs, ENI

**Stefano Sannino (Italy)**  
Director General for Enlargement,  
European Commission

**Javier Santiso (Spain)**  
Director, Office of the CEO of Telefonica  
Europe

**Marietje Schaaek (The Netherlands)**  
Member of the European Parliament

**Klaus Scharioth (Germany)**  
Dean of the Mercator Fellowship  
on International Affairs; former  
Ambassador of the Federal Republic of  
Germany to the US

**Pierre Schori (Sweden)**  
Chair of Olof Palme Memorial Fund;  
former Director General, FRIDE; former  
SRSG to Cote d'Ivoire

**Wolfgang Schüssel (Austria)**  
Former Federal Chancellor

**Karel Schwarzenberg (Czech Republic)**  
Foreign Minister

**Giuseppe Scognamiglio (Italy)**  
Executive Vice President, Head of Public  
Affairs Department, UniCredit Spa

**Piotr Serafin (Poland)**  
Secretary of State for European Affairs

**Narcís Serra (Spain)**  
Chair of CIDOB Foundation; former Vice  
President of the Spanish Government

**Radosław Sikorski (Poland)**  
Foreign Minister

**Aleksander Smolar (Poland)**  
Chairman of the Board, Stefan Batory  
Foundation

**Javier Solana (Spain)**  
Former EU High Representative for the  
Common Foreign and Security Policy &  
Secretary-General of the Council of the  
EU; former Secretary General of NATO

**George Soros (Hungary/USA)**  
Founder and Chairman, Open Society  
Foundations

**Teresa de Sousa (Portugal)**  
Journalist

**Rory Stewart (United Kingdom)**  
Member of Parliament

**Alexander Stubb (Finland)**  
Minister for Foreign Trade and  
European Affairs; former Foreign  
Minister

**Michael Stürmer (Germany)**  
Chief Correspondent, Die Welt

**Ion Sturza (Romania)**  
President, GreenLight Invest; former  
Prime Minister of the Republic of  
Moldova



**Christos Stylianides (Cyprus)**

Spokesperson, Government of the Republic of Cyprus

**Paweł Świeboda (Poland)**

President, Demos EUROPA - Centre for European Strategy

**Vessela Tcherneva (Bulgaria)**

Programme Director, Centre for Liberal Studies

**Teija Tiilikainen (Finland)**

Director, Finnish Institute for International Relations

**Nathalie Tocci (Italy)**

Deputy Director, Istituto Affari Internazionali

**Luisa Todini (Italy)**

Chair, Todini Finanziaria S.p.A;  
Member of the Board of Directors, RAI

**Loukas Tsoukalis (Greece)**

Professor, University of Athens and President, ELIAMEP

**Erkki Tuomioja (Finland)**

Foreign Minister

**Daniel Valtchev, (Bulgaria)**

Former Deputy PM and Minister of Education

**Jordi Vaquer (Spain)**

Director, Open Society Initiative for Europe

**Vaira Vīke-Freiberga (Latvia)**

Former President

**Antonio Vitorino (Portugal)**

Lawyer; former EU Commissioner

**Andre Wilkens (Germany)**

Director Mercator Centre Berlin and Director Strategy, Stiftung Mercator

**Karla Wursterova (Slovakia)**

Director, International Visegrad Fund

**Carlos Alonso Zaldívar (Spain)**

Former Ambassador to Brazil

**Stelios Zavvos (Greece)**

CEO, Zeus Capital Managers Ltd

**Samuel Žbogar (Slovenia)**

EU Representative to Kosovo; former Foreign Minister

## ALSO AVAILABLE FROM ECFR

**New World Order: The Balance of Soft Power and the Rise of Herbivorous Powers**  
Ivan Krastev and Mark Leonard, October 2007 (ECFR/01)

**A Power Audit of EU-Russia Relations**  
Mark Leonard and Nicu Popescu, November 2007 (ECFR/02)

**Poland's second return to Europe?**  
Paweł Swieboda, December 2007 (ECFR/03)

**Afghanistan: Europe's forgotten war**  
Daniel Korski, January 2008 (ECFR/04)

**Meeting Medvedev: The Politics of the Putin Succession**  
Andrew Wilson, February 2008 (ECFR/05)

**Re-energising Europe's Security and Defence Policy**  
Nick Witney, July 2008 (ECFR/06)

**Can the EU win the Peace in Georgia?**  
Nicu Popescu, Mark Leonard and Andrew Wilson, August 2008 (ECFR/07)

**A Global Force for Human Rights? An Audit of European Power at the UN**  
Richard Gowan and Franziska Brantner, September 2008 (ECFR/08)

**Beyond Dependence: How to deal with Russian Gas**  
Pierre Noel, November 2008 (ECFR/09)

**Re-wiring the US-EU relationship**  
Daniel Korski, Ulrike Guérot and Mark Leonard, December 2008 (ECFR/10)

**Shaping Europe's Afghan Surge**  
Daniel Korski, March 2009 (ECFR/11)

**A Power Audit of EU-China Relations**  
John Fox and François Godement, April 2009 (ECFR/12)

**Beyond the "War on Terror": Towards a New Transatlantic Framework for Counterterrorism**  
Anthony Dworkin, May 2009 (ECFR/13)

**The Limits of Enlargement-lite: European and Russian Power in the Troubled Neighbourhood**  
Nicu Popescu and Andrew Wilson, June 2009 (ECFR/14)

**The EU and human rights at the UN: 2009 annual review**  
Richard Gowan and Franziska Brantner, September 2009 (ECFR/15)

**What does Russia think?**  
edited by Ivan Krastev, Mark Leonard and Andrew Wilson, September 2009 (ECFR/16)

**Supporting Moldova's Democratic Transition**  
Nicu Popescu, October 2009 (ECFR/17)

**Can the EU rebuild failing states? A review of Europe's Civilian Capacities**  
Daniel Korski and Richard Gowan, October 2009 (ECFR/18)

**Towards a Post-American Europe: A Power Audit of EU-US Relations**  
Jeremy Shapiro and Nick Witney, October 2009 (ECFR/19)

**Dealing with Yanukovich's Ukraine**  
Andrew Wilson, March 2010 (ECFR/20)

**Beyond Wait-and-See: The Way Forward for EU Balkan Policy**  
Heather Grabbe, Gerald Knaus and Daniel Korski, May 2010 (ECFR/21)

**A Global China Policy**  
François Godement, June 2010 (ECFR/22)

**Towards an EU Human Rights Strategy for a Post-Western World**  
Susi Dennison and Anthony Dworkin, September 2010 (ECFR/23)

**The EU and Human Rights at the UN: 2010 Review**  
Richard Gowan and Franziska Brantner, September 2010 (ECFR/24)

**The Spectre of a Multipolar Europe**  
Ivan Krastev & Mark Leonard with Dimitar Bechev, Jana Kobzova & Andrew Wilson, October 2010 (ECFR/25)

**Beyond Maastricht: a New Deal for the Eurozone**  
Thomas Klau and François Godement, December 2010 (ECFR/26)

**The EU and Belarus after the Election**  
Balázs Jarábik, Jana Kobzova and Andrew Wilson, January 2011 (ECFR/27)

**After the Revolution: Europe and the Transition in Tunisia**  
Susi Dennison, Anthony Dworkin, Nicu Popescu and Nick Witney, March 2011 (ECFR/28)

**European Foreign Policy Scorecard 2010**  
March 2011 (ECFR/29)

**The New German Question: How Europe can get the Germany it needs**  
Ulrike Guérot and Mark Leonard, April 2011 (ECFR/30)

**Turning Presence into Power: Lessons from the Eastern Neighbourhood**  
Nicu Popescu and Andrew Wilson, May 2011 (ECFR/31)

**Egypt's Hybrid Revolution: a Bolder EU Approach**  
Anthony Dworkin, Daniel Korski and Nick Witney, May 2011 (ECFR/32)

**A Chance to Reform: How the EU can support Democratic Evolution in Morocco**  
Susi Dennison, Nicu Popescu and José Ignacio Torreblanca, May 2011 (ECFR/33)

**China's Janus-faced Response to the Arab Revolutions**  
Jonas Parello-Plesner and Raffaello Pantucci, June 2011 (ECFR/34)

**What does Turkey think?**  
Edited by Dimitar Bechev, June 2011 (ECFR/35)

**What does Germany think about Europe?**  
Edited by Ulrike Guérot and Jacqueline Hénard, June 2011 (ECFR/36)

**The Scramble for Europe**  
François Godement and Jonas Parello-Plesner with Alice Richard, July 2011 (ECFR/37)

**Palestinian Statehood at the UN: Why Europeans Should Vote "Yes"**  
Daniel Levy and Nick Witney, September 2011 (ECFR/38)

**The EU and Human Rights at the UN: 2011 Review**  
Richard Gowan and Franziska Brantner, September 2011 (ECFR/39)

**How to Stop the Demilitarisation of Europe**  
Nick Witney, November 2011 (ECFR/40)

**Europe and the Arab Revolutions: A New Vision for Democracy and Human Rights**  
Susi Dennison and Anthony Dworkin, November 2011 (ECFR/41)

**Spain after the Elections: the "Germany of the South"?**  
José Ignacio Torreblanca and Mark Leonard, November 2011 (ECFR/42)

**Four Scenarios for the Reinvention of Europe**  
Mark Leonard, November 2011 (ECFR/43)

**Dealing with a Post-Bric Russia**  
Ben Judah, Jana Kobzova and Nicu Popescu, November 2011 (ECFR/44)

**Rescuing the euro: what is China's price?**  
François Godement, November 2011 (ECFR/45)

**A "Reset" with Algeria: the Russia to the EU's South**  
Hakim Darbouche and Susi Dennison, December 2011 (ECFR/46)

**Ukraine after the Tymoshenko verdict**  
Andrew Wilson, December 2011 (ECFR/47)

**European Foreign Policy Scorecard 2012**  
February 2012 (ECFR/48)

**The long-shadow of Ordoliberalism: Germany's Approach to the Euro crisis**  
Sebastian Dullien and Ulrike Guérot, February 2012 (ECFR/49)

**The end of the Putin consensus**  
Ben Judah and Andrew Wilson, March 2012 (ECFR/50)

**Syria: Towards a Political Solution**  
Julien Barnes-Dacey, March 2012 (ECFR/51)

**How the EU Can Support Reform in Burma**  
Jonas Parello-Plesner, March 2012 (ECFR/52)

**China at the crossroads**  
François Godement, April 2012 (ECFR/53)

**Europe and Jordan: Reform before it's too late**  
Julien Barnes-Dacey, April 2012 (ECFR/54)

**China and Germany: Why the Emerging Special Relationship Matters for Europe**  
Hans Kundnani and Jonas Parello-Plesner, May 2012 (ECFR/55)

**After Merkozy: How France and Germany Can Make Europe Work**  
Ulrike Guérot and Thomas Klau, May 2012 (ECFR/56)

**The EU and Azerbaijan: Beyond Oil**  
Jana Kobzova and Leila Alieva, May 2012 (ECFR/57)

**A Europe of Incentives: How to Regain the Trust of Citizens and Markets**  
Mark Leonard and Jan Zielonka, June 2012 (ECFR/58)

**The Case for Co-operation in Crisis Management**  
Richard Gowan, June 2012 (ECFR/59)

**The Periphery of the Periphery: The Western Balkans and the Euro Crisis**  
Dimitar Bechev, August 2012 (ECFR/60)

**Lebanon: Containing Spillover from Syria**  
Julien Barnes-Dacey, September 2012 (ECFR/61)

**A Power Audit of EU-North Africa Relations**  
Nick Witney and Anthony Dworkin, September 2012 (ECFR/62)

**Transnistria: A Bottom-up Solution**  
Nicu Popescu and Leonid Litra, September 2012 (ECFR/63)

**Why the Euro Crisis Threatens the European Single Market**  
Sebastian Dullien, October 2012 (ECFR/64)

**The EU and Ukraine after the 2012 Elections**  
Andrew Wilson, November 2012 (ECFR/65)

**China 3.0**  
Edited by Mark Leonard, November 2012 (ECFR/66)

**Time to grow up: what Obama's re-election means for Europe**

Dimitar Bechev, Anthony Dworkin, François Godement, Richard Gowan, Hans Kundnani, Mark Leonard, Daniel Levy, Kadri Liik and Nick Witney, November 2012 (ECFR/67)

**Jordan Tremors: Elusive consensus, deepening discontent**

Julien Barnes-Dacey, November 2012 (ECFR/68)

**The EU, Algeria and the Northern Mali Question**

Susi Dennison, December 2012 (ECFR/69)

**What is Political Union?**

Sebastian Dullien and José Ignacio Torreblanca, December 2012 (ECFR/70)

**Shooting in the Dark? EU Sanctions Policies**

Konstanty Gebert, January 2013 (ECFR/71)

**The New Political Geography of Europe**

edited by Nicholas Walton and Jan Zielonka, January 2013 (ECFR/72)

**European Foreign Policy Scorecard 2013**

February 2013 (ECFR/73)

**The Struggle for Pluralism after the North African Revolutions**

Anthony Dworkin, March 2013 (ECFR/74)

## ABOUT ECFR

The **European Council on Foreign Relations** (ECFR) is the first pan-European think-tank. Launched in October 2007, its objective is to conduct research and promote informed debate across Europe on the development of coherent, effective and values-based European foreign policy.

ECFR has developed a strategy with three distinctive elements that define its activities:

- **A pan-European Council.** ECFR has brought together a distinguished Council of over two hundred Members - politicians, decision makers, thinkers and business people from the EU's member states and candidate countries - which meets once a year as a full body. Through geographical and thematic task forces, members provide ECFR staff with advice and feedback on policy ideas and help with ECFR's activities within their own countries. The Council is chaired by Martti Ahtisaari, Joschka Fischer and Mabel van Oranje.
- **A physical presence in the main EU member states.** ECFR, uniquely among European think-tanks, has offices in Berlin, London, Madrid, Paris, Rome, Sofia and Warsaw. Our offices are platforms for research, debate, advocacy and communications.
- **A distinctive research and policy development process.** ECFR has brought together a team of distinguished researchers and practitioners from all over Europe to advance its objectives through innovative projects with a pan-European focus. ECFR's activities include primary research, publication of policy reports, private meetings and public debates, 'friends of ECFR' gatherings in EU capitals and outreach to strategic media outlets.

ECFR is a registered charity funded by the Open Society Foundations and other generous foundations, individuals and corporate entities. These donors allow us to publish our ideas and advocate for a values-based EU foreign policy. ECFR works in partnership with other organisations but does not make grants to individuals or institutions.

[www.ecfr.eu](http://www.ecfr.eu)

The European Council on Foreign Relations does not take collective positions. This paper, like all publications of the European Council on Foreign Relations, represents only the views of its authors.

Copyright of this publication is held by the European Council on Foreign Relations. You may not copy, reproduce, republish or circulate in any way the content from this publication except for your own personal and non-commercial use. Any other use requires the prior written permission of the European Council on Foreign Relations

© ECFR April 2013

ISBN: 978-1-906538-75-0

Published by the European Council on Foreign Relations (ECFR),  
35 Old Queen Street, London,  
SW1H 9JA, United Kingdom

[london@ecfr.eu](mailto:london@ecfr.eu)