

The RSIS Working Paper series presents papers in a preliminary form and serves to stimulate comment and discussion. The views expressed in this publication are entirely those of the author(s), and do not represent the official position of RSIS. If you have any comments, please send them to <a href="mailto:rispublication@ntu.edu.sg">rispublication@ntu.edu.sg</a>.

Unsubscribing

If you no longer want to receive RSIS Working Papers, please click on "Unsubscribe" to be removed from the list.

No. 270

The *Diaoyu/Senkaku* Dispute in the Context of China-U.S.-Japan Trilateral Dynamics

**Zhang Yun** 

S. Rajaratnam School of International Studies Singapore

19 March 2014

#### **About RSIS**

The S. Rajaratnam School of International Studies (RSIS) was established in January 2007 as an autonomous School within the Nanyang Technological University. Known earlier as the Institute of Defence and Strategic Studies when it was established in July 1996, RSIS' mission is to be a leading research and graduate teaching institution in strategic and international affairs in the Asia Pacific. To accomplish this mission, it will:

- Provide a rigorous professional graduate education with a strong practical emphasis,
- Conduct policy-relevant research in defence, national security, international relations, strategic studies and diplomacy,
- Foster a global network of like-minded professional schools.

#### **GRADUATE EDUCATION IN INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS**

RSIS offers a challenging graduate education in international affairs, taught by an international faculty of leading thinkers and practitioners. The Master of Science (MSc) degree programmes in Strategic Studies, International Relations, Asian Studies, and International Political Economy are distinguished by their focus on the Asia Pacific, the professional practice of international affairs, and the cultivation of academic depth. Thus far, students from more than 50 countries have successfully completed one of these programmes. In 2010, a Double Masters Programme with Warwick University was also launched, with students required to spend the first year at Warwick and the second year at RSIS.

A small but select PhD programme caters to advanced students who are supervised by faculty members with matching interests.

#### **RESEARCH**

Research takes place within RSIS' six components: the Institute of Defence and Strategic Studies (IDSS, 1996), the International Centre for Political Violence and Terrorism Research (ICPVTR, 2004), the Centre of Excellence for National Security (CENS, 2006), the Centre for Non-Traditional Security Studies (Centre for NTS Studies, 2008); the Temasek Foundation Centre for Trade & Negotiations (TFCTN, 2008); and the Centre for Multilateralism Studies (CMS, 2011). The focus of research is on issues relating to the security and stability of the Asia Pacific region and their implications for Singapore and other countries in the region.

The school has four professorships that bring distinguished scholars and practitioners to teach and to conduct research at the school. They are the S. Rajaratnam Professorship in Strategic Studies, the Ngee Ann Kongsi Professorship in International Relations, the NTUC Professorship in International Economic Relations, and the Bakrie Professorship in Southeast Asia Policy.

#### INTERNATIONAL COLLABORATION

Collaboration with other professional schools of international affairs to form a global network of excellence is a RSIS priority. RSIS maintains links with other like-minded schools so as to enrich its research and teaching activities as well as adopt the best practices of successful schools.

i

#### **ABSTRACT**

Why has China been more assertive and resolute towards Japan in dealing the *Diaoyu/Senkaku* dispute since 2010? What logic has guided China's new strategy and policy? How should we assess the effectiveness of China's approach? This paper seeks to demonstrate that a trilateral perspective on U.S., Chinese, and Japanese relations is vital for answering these questions.

In the current conjuncture – one that is shaped by global economic turbulence and a rebalancing of U.S. power towards Asia – China perceives the *Diaoyu/Senkaku* dispute as a key moment for establishing a new, great power relationship with the United States. China understands the conflict as a *de facto* strategic game between itself and the United States, one that works behind a façade of Sino-Japanese confrontation. The dynamic adjustments in U.S.-China relations over the past several years is the primary variable in China's approach to dealing with this dispute, which seems to have replaced Taiwan as the test of U.S.-China strategic intents and military capabilities in the western Pacific. China does not deny or neglect the U.S.-Japanese relationship, but strongly opposes any form of anti-Chinese alliance on this matter.

Several factors have discouraged a proactive Chinese policy towards Japan since 2010. These include previous failures in Sino-Japanese diplomacy, domestic divisions within Japan on these issues, and the Chinese perception of a dramatic right-wing turn in Japan. For these reasons, China seeks only a passive engagement with Japan, focusing instead on Sino-U.S. relations as the core dynamic of this dispute.

In the immediate term, China's new assertiveness on this issue have produced several consequences, including a fortification of the U.S.-Japanese alliance, a movement towards autonomous military capacity building in Japan, and spill over concerns for other ASEAN countries. However, China seems to believe that clearer signals of its intentions would help reduce miscalculations and accelerate the long-term repositioning of Sino-U.S. relations.

On strategic grounds, it might be politically wise and cost-effective for China to concentrate its resources on repositioning U.S.-Sino relations. But, on tactical grounds, this less-balanced approach might risk damaging China's soft power. Without sophisticated public diplomacy, China's statements and actions towards Japan might alienate the Japanese public and deleteriously affect China's image. In this sense, it would be tactically astute for China to be sensitive to public opinion in Japan and to invest more resources and effort in public diplomacy in Asia.

\*\*\*\*\*\*

Dr Zhang Yun is Associate Professor of International Relations at the National Niigata University, Japan. He obtained PhD in Law from Peking University and PhD in International Relations from Waseda University. He served as a visiting scholar at the Center for International Studies, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (2010-2011). He also served as a visiting scholar at the Japan Institute for Social and Economic Affairs, Federation of Japanese Business (Keidanren). He is a visiting professor for Beijing Foreign Studies University. His research expertise includes China-Japan-U.S. trilateral relations, international relations in the Asia Pacific and security studies. Dr Zhang has published three monograph books and many papers in English, Chinese and Japanese academic journals. He is also an invited columnist for *Lianhe Zaobao* with his personal column *Zongheng Tianxia*, where he has regularly published numerous articles on international relations.

# The *Diaoyu/Senkaku* Dispute in the Context of China-U.S.-Japan Trilateral Dynamics

#### Introduction

The *Diaoyu/Senkaku* territorial dispute has been an unresolved conflict between China and Japan since the normalisation of diplomatic ties in 1972. However, both countries have, until recently, deliberately managed the conflict so as to avoid damaging other forms of positive, bilateral engagement. Since 2010, though, Sino-Japanese relations have deteriorated over precisely this dispute, which has replaced historical issues as the most poisonous element in bilateral relations. Unlike in the past, China has showed unprecedented resolve in dealing with Japan by frequently deploying coast guard vessels in surrounding waters and by claiming sovereignty through legal arguments particularly after the nationalisation of the *Diaoyu/Senkaku* Islands by Japan in 2012. Large-scale anti-Japanese demonstrations have also occurred in many Chinese cities and high-level inter-state meetings, as well as social and cultural interactions have been negatively affected. In November 2013, China also announced the creation of an Air-Defence Identification Zone in the East China Sea.

In this context, many experts have asked why China abandoned its previously more constrained policy stance. The conventional wisdom considers China's recent dealing with the *Diaoyu/Senkaku* conflict as a sign of its newly found confidence, its burgeoning nationalism, or its domestic power struggles. However, as this policy brief argues, a more careful scrutiny is required to explain China's strategy.

On the surface, the dispute mainly involves China and Japan. But, from the beginning, the United States has been a crucial factor, not only for historical reasons but also for its military alliance with Japan. In the perspective offered here, the *trilateral dynamics* between China, Japan, and the United States are most significant, with Sino-U.S. interactions functioning as the primary variable in this conflict. To develop this analysis, this policy brief analyses the logic of Chinese strategy and tactics in handling the *Diaoyu/Senkaku* dispute since 2010. In particular, it answers two questions: first, how does China view the Sino-U.S. relationship and the U.S.-Japan alliance in the context of this dispute? And second, how does China assess the impact of its strategy on Japan? After answering these questions, this policy brief will reflect on the near- and long-term implications of Chinese policy as it pertains to this dispute and to China's future rise.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Elizabeth C. Economy, "The Game Changer: Coping with China's Foreign Policy Revolution," *Foreign Affairs*, Vol.89, No.6 (November/December, 2011). Akio Takahara, "Chogoku Wa Donoyona Henkaga Okiteiruka" [What Kind of Changes are Taking Place in China?], *Sekai*, No.12, 2012, pp.100-107. Yoshikazu Shimizu, *Taigai Kyoko Shisei to Kokunaiseiji*[Externally Hawkish China and its Domestic Politics], Ryosei Kokubun (eds), Chogoku Wa Ima[China Now], Iwanami Shinsho, 2011, pp:1-18.

#### Behind the Facade: A De Facto Sino-U.S. Contest

The Chinese perspective on Asian security is that the trilateral relations among Japan, China, and the United States are in reality a contest between China on the one hand and the U.S.-Japanese alliance on the other, with Japan playing a subordinate role in the latter.<sup>2</sup> In other words, China does not regard Japan as an independent variable in its calculation of regional security. For China, the Diaoyu/Senkaku dispute is not only a territorial issue but also a maritime security problem in which the U.S. is a dominant player. The Chinese state also believes deeply that these issues were partly triggered by the United States in the context of its post-war settlement in Asia and the reversion of Okinawa to Japan.3 In this sense, China sees the dispute more as a Sino-U.S. one than a Sino-Japanese one. Indeed, the rapid adjustment of Sino-U.S. relations in recent years serves as a primary variable in China's dealing with this dispute.

From this standpoint, the incident that sparked the new confrontation over *Diagyu/Senkaku* – namely, the 2010 collision between a Chinese fishing boat and a Japanese Coast Guard vessel - occurred in the context of structural shifts in Sino-U.S. relations. In 2005, the United States identified China as a "responsible stakeholder" and encouraged China to further integrate itself into the U.S.-led international system.4 This decision was based on the pre-eminence of U.S. power at the time and on the U.S.-dominated alliance network in Asia. However, the global economic crisis of 2008 raised questions of the United States' pre-dominance or primacy. Adding to this, in 2009, Japanese Prime Minister Yukio Hatoyama embraced a dual policy of diplomatic distance from the United States and bandwagoning on China.<sup>5</sup> In this context, the Obama administration announced, in 2009, an intention of re-balancing towards Asia and, as part of this, warned Japan of pursuing foreign policy autonomy.<sup>6</sup> In 2010, the United States also increased arms sales to Taiwan at a time when cross-strait relations were improving, and intervened publicly in the South China Sea disputes, causing a verbal conflict between U.S. and Chinese foreign ministers at the 2010 ARF meeting. Needless to say, all of these elements of the U.S. 'pivot' to Asia served to deepen China's distrust of U.S. strategic intentions.8 In particular, they impelled a perception that America's ultimate goal was to maintain regional if not global hegemony, and to constrain or even upset China's rise. 9 With this in mind, the Fishing Boat Collision and the ensuing arrest of the Chinese captain was widely considered by Chinese experts as

Yukio Hatoyama, "A New Path for Japan," The New York Times, August 26, 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Niu Jun, "Zhongmeiri Anquanguanxi yu Dongya Anquan Huanjing [China-Japan-US Security Relations and East Asia Security Environment]," Wang Jisi, Yuan Ming and Chen Zhirui (eds), Beida Guoji Luncong 2006 [Beida International Studies Collection 2006] (Beijing: Shanghai Renmin Chubanshe, 2006), p.223.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Jean-Marc F. Blanchard, The U.S. Role in the Sino-Japanese Dispute over the Diaoyu (Senkaku) Islands, 1945-71, The China Quarterly, No. 161, Mar. 2000, 95-123.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Robert B. Zoellick, From Membership to Responsibility?, Remarks to National Committee on U.S.-China Relations, September 21, 2005.

<sup>6 &</sup>quot;Omoi Chumon to Mirubekida Obama Tokyo Enzestu [Obama Speech in Tokyo should be Treated as a Heavy Instruction]," Mainichi Shinbun, November 15, 2009.

Jeffrey A. Bader, Obama and China's Rise: An Insider's Account of America's Asia Strategy (Washington, D.C.:

Brookings Institution Press, 2012), p.104-105.

<sup>8</sup> Kenneth Lieberthal and Wang Jisi, *Addressing U.S.-China Strategic Distrust*, John L. Thornton China Center Monograph Series, Number 4, March 2012, p.13. <sup>9</sup> Kenneth Lieberthal and Wang Jisi, viii.

a product of, or a corollary to, the U.S. posture in Asia. 10 For this reason, China seemed to regard the incident as a signal from Washington and reacted with unprecedented resoluteness. 11 The fact that the United States played a crucial role in releasing the captain also raised Chinese suspicions. 12 In dealing with the 2010 confrontation, then, Beijing was more interested in Washington's intentions than in Tokyo's.

Ironically, the 2010 Sino-Japanese confrontation over the Diaoyu/Senkaku islands forced China to think anew on how to manage U.S., not Japanese, relations, in several respects.<sup>13</sup> First, China's policymakers and strategists agreed that the United States was the only power with capacity and intention to pose an overall threat to China and that direct confrontation with the United States must therefore be avoided. 14 State Councillor Dai Binguo's well-known article in December 2010 was the first clear signal to reassure the U.S. of China's traditional attitude towards world affairs China's attitude on these matters. 15 In January 2011, this message was strongly reiterated by President Hu Jintao as part of his state visit to Washington. 16

Second, China was reminded that, alongside a reassurance policy to Washington, it must send clear signals on matters of maritime security. This was necessary, from China's standpoint, to establish equal relations with the United States For example, when then Vice President Xi Jinping visited the United States in February 2012, he formally called for building a new type of Great Power relationship. Specifically, he argued that the Pacific Ocean was vast enough to accommodate both China and the United States.

#### Chinese Strategy: Building a New Great Power Relationship over the U.S.-Japan Alliance

For China, building a new type of Great Power relationship with the U.S. requires two core pillars: equality and avoidance of confrontation. <sup>17</sup> This agenda for repositioning Sino-U.S. relations has in fact framed China's perception of the U.S.-Japanese alliance and of the Diaovu/Senkaku island dispute.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Wu Huaizhong, "Xinfangwei Dagang yu Riben Anquanzhengce Zouxiang [Japan's New National Defense Program Outline and The Future of Japan's Security Policy]," Riben Xuekan [Japan Studies Journal], No. 1 2011,

p.39.

11 Premier Wen Jiabao made his strong opposition towards Japan on the issue in his visit to the US.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Jeffrey A. Bader, Obama and China's Rise: An Insider's Account of America's Asia Strategy (Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution Press, 2012), p.104-105.

Similarly, Japan reflected more upon its relations with the US than on those with China.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Wang Jisi, "Shijiezhengzhi Bianqian yu Zhongguoduiwai Zhanlue Sikao [The Changes of World Politics and Considerations on China's International Strategy]," *Zhongguo Guojizhanlue Pinglun* [China International Strategy Review], Shijie Zhishi Chubanshe, 2011, p.10. Cui Tiankai and Pang Hanzhao, Xinshiqi Zhongguo Waijiao Quanjuzhong de Zhongmeiguanxi [Sino-US Relations in China's New Era Overall Diplomacy]," Zhongguo Guojizhanlue Pinglun [China International Strategy Review], Shijie Zhishi Chubanshe, 2012, p.1. He Yafei, "Dui Quanqiuzhili de Yixiesikao [Some Thoughts on the Global Governance]," Zhongguo Guojizhanlue Pinglun [China International Strategy Review], Shijie Zhishi Chubanshe, 2013, p.12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Dai Bingguo, "Adhere to the Path of Peaceful Development," December 6, 2012. http://www.gov.cn/ldhd/2010-12/06/content\_1760381.htm.

16 Henry Kissinger, *On China* (New York: The Penguin Press, 2011), p.505.

17 For the evolution of the concept of the new type of great power relations, please refer to Yang Jiemian, Shao

Yuqun and Wu Chunsi, "Co-Exploring and Co-Evolving: Constructing a New Model of Major Power Relationship between China and the U.S.," *Global View*, Fall 2013, Shanghai Institute for International Studies, pp.1-22.

Strategically speaking, the Diaoyu/Senkaku dispute has replaced Taiwan as the major indicator of U.S. and Chinese strategic intentions. Until 2008, China's main interest in Asian maritime security was focused exclusively on opposing Taiwan's independence. In 2005, when the U.S.-Japanese alliance first included language on Taiwan, China reacted resolutely by passing the Anti-Secession Law and by strongly warning Japan during Hu Jintao's meeting with Junichiro Koizumi in Jakarta. There were also large-scale anti-Japanese demonstrations in China. China's strong signals seemed oriented towards Japan, but the real audience was the United States. This interaction led, in part, to the 2005 repositioning of China as a "responsible stakeholder" in U.S. grand strategy. Since 2008 though, the rapid détente and the de facto economic integration between China and Taiwan diluted this conflict as a bellwether of U.S. and Chinese objectives. The decrease of tension across the Taiwan Strait greatly enlarged China's strategic and diplomatic freedom. In the U.S., there was even argument of abandoning Taiwan in favour of securing smoother and more cooperative relations with China. 18 While China has never denied the value of the U.S.-Japan alliance, it never failed to critique the alliance as a tool of containment. For its part, the United States has expressed neutrality on the sovereignty of the *Diaoyu/Senkaku* islands, but confirmed a security commitment to Japan. For China, the role of the United States in this dispute is a guide to America's future stance on Asian strategy. For the U.S., the dispute will test China's basic intentions and capacities on the sea. This is why all of the powers involved have conducted tit-for-tat military exercises. Interestingly, Japan also has reasons to use the dispute as a test of the U.S.-Japan alliance in a period of relative U.S. decline. Therefore, the Diaovu/Senkaku dispute is primarily a strategic game between the United States and China, and secondarily a moderate game between Japan and the United States, and between Japan and China.

Tactically speaking, China sees the *Diaoyu/Senkaku* dispute as a facet of the U.S. island chain strategy, which also incorporates, as a core plank, the Japanese military alliance. <sup>19</sup> China believes that the United States has extended the Cold War strategy of using island chains to contain China's naval and maritime development. <sup>20</sup> For this reason, China holds a strong distrust over the United States' "close-in surveillance activities off China's coasts." <sup>21</sup> The 2001 Sino-U.S. military aircraft clash near Hainan Island highlighted this conflict. With the expansion of regional and global interests, China

1

Charles Glaser, "Will China's Rise Lead to War?: Why Realism Does Not Mean Pessimism," *Foreign Affairs*, No. 90, No.2 (March/April 2011). Bruce Gilley, "Not So Dire Straits," *Foreign Affairs*, No. 89, No.3 (May/June 2011). For the opposite argument, Nancy Bernkopf Tucker and Bonnie Glaser, "Should the United States Abandon Taiwan?" *The Washington Quarterly*, Fall 2011, Vol.34, No. 4, pp.23-38.
 The concept of "island chain" was originally introduced by John Foster Dulles in explaining America's post-War

The concept of "island chain" was originally introduced by John Foster Dulles in explaining America's post-War grand security design in the Asia Pacific. John Foster Dulles, "Securing in the Pacific," *Foreign Affairs*, Vol. 30 No. 2, January 1952, pp.175-187. However, it remains unclear who coined the specific terms of "the first and second islands" despite common perception of China's customary application in her security strategy. Japan's National Institute for Defense Studies (NIDS) noted that there was no official definition of island chain by the Chinese government. The NIDS used U.S. Department of Defense definition instead. Boei kenkyujyo [National Institute for Defense Studies], *Chogoku Ansenhosho Repoto 2011*[ China Security Report 2011], February 10, 2012, p.10. China has traditionally viewed it as US containment strategy towards China especially after the Cold War. Liang Fang, *Haishang Tongdao Lun* [On Maritime Strategic Access] (Beijing, Shishi Chuban She, 2011), pp. 92-94. James Holmes and Toshi Yoshihara of the U.S. Naval War College also argues that the first island chain serves as a "Great War in Reverse" to monitor and possibly block China's access to the Pacific Ocean. Cited from, Robert D. Kaplan, "The Geography of Chinese Power," *Foreign Affairs* (May/June 2010), p.33.

Qian Lihua, "Riben Xuxiguan Zhongguohaijun Yuanyang Xunlian [Japan should be used to China's naval far sea exercises]," *Renmin Ribao* (overseas version), October 21, 2012.
 Kenneth Lieberthal and Wang Jisi, *Addressing U.S.-China Strategic Distrust*, John L. Thornton China Center

Lieberthal and Wang Jisi, Addressing U.S.-China Strategic Distrust, John L. Thornton China Center Monograph Series, Number 4, March 2012, viii.

has become more impatient with America's hegemonic maritime strategy in the western Pacific, particular in the so-called 'first island chain.' For military analysts, the Diaoyu/Senkaku islands are located between the first island chain, Taiwan, and the mainland, and would offer China blue sea access.<sup>22</sup> With this in mind, the U.S. development of an Air Sea Battle concept has further deepened China's insecurity.23

On the other hand, the United States has been showing its intention to shift some of its military presence from the first to second island chain (including Guam, Oceania and the Pacific islands). The United States and Japan reached an agreement in May 2006 that 8,000 U.S. Marines and their 9,000 affiliates would be moved from Okinawa to Guam.<sup>24</sup> The marine Corps is considered to capable of responding to various crises because it combines the combat power of the army, navy and air force. The shift of almost half of all Marines in Japan to Guam gave some indication of the shifting U.S. military presence in the Asia Pacific region. The advancement of China's missile and air operations have "expanded China's ability to operate outside of its borders and reach U.S. regional allies, such as Japan", and "endangering U.S. forces bases." Former Japanese Defence Minister Morimoto Satoshi analysed that the United States would like to "enhance the deterrence" by "shifting the marines to the southern part of the Western Pacific". 26 Recent manifestation of this strategy is the rotational deployment of Marines and aircraft to Australia.<sup>27</sup> It is also considered to be "less provocative for the United States to keep bases in Oceania in the future than it has for it to keep troops in Japan. South Korea and the Philippines."28 It means to provide a cushion between China and the United States to avoid direct conflict. Meanwhile, America's new strategy in the Asia Pacific seems to emphasise more the significance of Oceania and the Indian Ocean.<sup>29</sup> Secretary Clinton clearly defined the new geographic definition of the Asia Pacific region, which "spans two oceans-the Pacific and the Indian-that are increasingly linked by shipping and strategy." Robert Kaplan analysed a new Pentagon's plan as "de-emphasising the importance of existing U.S. bases in Japan and Korea and diversifying the U.S. footprint around Oceania". 31

Certainly, the aforementioned America's new changes do not intend to sacrifice the first island chain to China. On the contrary, it would more likely expect more territorial assertiveness from its allies, particularly Japan. One Chinese expert from Naval College wrote "If Japan totally controls the

Robert D. Kaplan, "The Geography of Chinese Power," *Foreign Affairs* (May/June 2010), p.39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Feng Liang, *Zhongguo de Hepingfazhan yu Haishang Anquan Huanjing* [China's Peaceful Development and Maritime Security Environment] (Beijing: Shijie Zhishi Chubanshe, 2010), p. 184.

The Spokesman of Ministry of Defense, Geng Yansheng criticized the Air Sea Battle idea as a reflection of Cold War mentality in December 2010. Yang Yi, "Konghai Yitizhan Yu Shijie Chaoliu Beidao Erchi (Air Sea Battle

is against World Trend)," *People's Daily*, December 11, 2011.

24 http://www.mod.go.jp/j/approach/zaibeigun/saihen/iten\_guam/pdf/gyosetu.pdf, accessed on January 25, 2012. The relocation of marines should be viewed in the broader context of U.S. overseas military transformation. The 2001 Quadrennial Defense Review Report stressed the shift of the basis of defense planning from a "threatbased" model to a "capabilities-based" model for the future. Department of Defense, Quadrennial Defense Review Report, September 30, 2001, p. iv.

Report to Congress of the U.S.-China Economic and Security Review Commission, November 2010, p.73. <sup>26</sup> Satoshi Morimoto, "Beikoku no Ajia Choshi Seisaku to Nichibei Domei" [America's Pivoting to Asia Policy and Japan-U.S. Alliance], *Kokusai Mondai* [International Issues], No. 609, March 2012, p. 40.

Tom Donilon, "America is back in the Pacific and will uphold the rules," Financial Times, November 28, 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> For the analysis of the U.S. new security strategy of emphasizing the Oceania and the Indian Ocean, Zhang Yun, America's Return to Asia and US-China Relations, *East Asia Policy*, July 2012, pp:36-45.

Hillary Clinton, "America's Pacific Century", *Foreign Policy*, November 2011.

Robert D. Kaplan, "The Geography of Chinese Power," Foreign Affairs (May/June 2010), p.40.

surrounding waters near the Diaoyu Islands, Chinese navy's critical access to the East China Sea would be blockaded."32 This is the reason, perhaps, that surveillance activities by the Japanese Self Defence Force have increased in recent years. In all of this, the United States seems to be supportive of Japan's new military activities as a form of compensation for a weakened or constrained U.S. role.<sup>33</sup> In response, China's regular deployment of governmental and naval vessels conveys a strong zero-tolerance of any efforts to fortify a first island chain blockade. In doing so, China has taken historic steps towards undermining the U.S.-dominated island chain.<sup>34</sup>

#### Passive Diplomacy and Passive Stability: China's Policy towards Japan

As China has consistently regarded Japan as a dependent junior partner of U.S. power in Asia, it is understandable that China has hitherto neglected the Japanese element in its strategic calculation of trilateral relations. To some extent, it could even be argued that China has outsourced the burden of managing Japan to the United States. However, Japan has its own national interests, which are not identical with American ones. Moreover, a hostile Japan would definitely upset China's strategic interests, economically and politically. Tactically speaking, Chinese would like to remain friendly with Japan and to dilute the anti-China colouring of the U.S.-Japan alliance. Yet, in recent, there has been an obvious absence of proactive Chinese diplomacy towards Japan. For example, it is puzzling that China failed to make overtures to Japan during the recent rule of the Democratic Party of Japan (DPJ), which is centre-left politically and more oriented to Asia. This is all the more unfortunate given that China's Hu Jintao-Wen Jiabao government was widely believed in Japan to be more amenable to constructive engagement and diplomacy. With this in mind, what explains the logic of China's passivity.

First, China's proactive and accommodative diplomacy towards Japan in the latter part of the 2000s failed to result in a better Sino-Japan relationship, resulting in domestic setbacks. In the 2002-2003 period, there was an unprecedented Duiri Xinsiwei [New Thinking towards Japan] debate among China's intellectual elites, who called for a more accommodative and reconciliatory policy towards Japan.<sup>35</sup> Although this debate lost its momentum due to strong anti-Japanese sentiments, China's policy towards Japan actually followed the basic line of these debates in the years that followed. In his speech in Japan's Diet in 2007, then Premier Wen Jiabao lavishly praised "Japan's post-war peaceful development and [the] Japanese government's repeated apologies over its brutal past."36 China and Japan agreed in principle to jointly development the gas resources of the East China Sea after then-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Feng Liang, Zhongguo de Hepingfazhan yu Haishang Anquan Huanjing [China's Peaceful Development and Maritime Security Environment] (Beijing: Shijie Zhishi Chubanshe, 2010), p. 184.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Admiral Samuel J. Lockear III. Commander, U.S. Pacific Command expressed his indirect support over Japan's warplanes and warships surveillance on China's live naval drill. Asia-Pacific U.S. Security Overview, Washington Foreign Press Center, U.S. Department of State, 5 Nov. 2013.

Some even argues that it is a qualitative change of China's maritime policy. Zhang Jie, Huangyandao Duizhi vu Zhongguohaishanganguan Zhengce Zhuanxiang, [Huangyan Island Confrontation and China's Maritime Security Policy Transformation], Zhang Jie (eds), Zhongguo Zhoubian Anguan Xingshi Pinggu 2013[China's Regional Security Environment Review: 2013], Shehui Kexue Wenxian Chubanshe, 2013, pp.54-56.

Security Environment Review: 2013], Shehui Kexue Wenxian Chubanshe, 2013, pp.54-56.

Tainichi Shinshiko no Kanosei The Possibility of the New "New"

Thinking on Japan"], Gaiko, Vol 21, September 2013, pp.16-56. <sup>36</sup> Wen Jiabao, Speech at Japan's Diet, April 12, 2007, http://news.xinhuanet.com/world/2007-04/12/content\_5968135.htm, accessed on Dec. 26, 2013.

President Hu Jintao's visit to Japan in 2008. It might be difficult, then, to expect any Chinese leaders to embrace more accommodative attitudes than those of 2007-2008. However, the slow response of Japan to Chinese pro-activeness, and then the Fishing Boat incident in particular, made the aforementioned policy difficult to maintain on the Chinese side.<sup>37</sup>

Second, China harboured uncertainty towards the DPJ government's internal solidarity and its ability to engage in creative diplomacy. After the DPJ won a landslide victory in 2009, Yukio Hatoyama proposed an equal relationship with the United States and East Asia Community based on Sino-Japan cooperation. Rather than showing immediate interest, China basically took a 'wait and see' attitude.<sup>38</sup> It expressed cautious support towards Hatoyama's proposal of building America-free East Asia Community.<sup>39</sup> Predictably, both the United States and the opposition party in Japan were provoked by the DPJ's agenda. The United States was astonished and annoyed by Hatoyama's idea. 40 Japan's domestic split on the question of a royal audience for China's then Vice President Xi Jinping in late 2009 further revealed the absence of a national consensus in Japan for a new relationship with China. 41 This incident not only cast a shadow on China's future top leader's visit to Japan visit but also underscored the risk of falling victim to Japan's internal political contests. 42 Around the end of 2009, China seemed to conclude that the DPJ's new stance on the trilateral relations were more rhetorical and unsustainable. 43 This conclusion further deterred China from responding proactively to Japan.

Third, Japan's dramatic Youginghua (rightist turn) began to dominate the public discourse of China's relationship with Japan. Japan's unusual practice in dealing with the 2010 incident raised questions of changing Japanese political practices. 44 China's Japan experts and pundits largely attributed the Japanese decisions to the overall conservative and rightist turn in Japan. 45 Shinzo Abe's historical revisionist tendency seemed to provide further evidence of Youginghua.

Thus, China's failure to engage in proactive diplomacy towards Japan, Japan's domestic split on dealing with China, and China's perception of a dramatic, rightist turn in Japan, combined to discourage a new bilateral relationship. From China's standpoint, it seemed unproductive to engage in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Yang Zewei, "Gezhi Zhengyi Gongtong Kaifa Yuanze: Kunjing yu Chulu [Putting Aside the Dispute and Joint Development: Dilemma and Way Out]," South China Sea Issue Research Council, Nanhai Quyuwenti Yanjiu [South China Sea Issue Research] (Beijing: Zhongguo Jingji Chubanshe, 2012), pp.49-50.

38 Some Japanese experts blamed China's less positive response to Hatoyama's new diplomacy as undermining

his reputation. Author's talk with a senior Japanese international relations scholar, October, 2013. <sup>39</sup> Wen Jiabao, "Dongya Gongtongti Bukeneng Yicuerjiu [It Is Impossible to Realize East Asia Community

Overnight]," *Diyi Caijing Ribao*, October 26, 2009.

40 Jeffrey A. Bader, *Obama and China's Rise: An Insider's Account of America's Asia Strategy* (Washington,

D.C.: Brookings Institution Press, 2012), p.43-45.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> The arrangement of the royal audience with Xi Jinping by the Hatoyama cabinet was severely criticized by some Japanese officials, politicians and media as a special treatment of violating the normal protocol procedure and involving political use of emperor.

<sup>42 &</sup>quot;China Japan builds ties amid dispute," *China Daily*, Nov. 15, 2009.
43 Lin Xiaoguang, "Lun Riben Minzhudang de Waijiaozhengce [A Study on Japan's DPJ Diplomacy]," *Riben* Xuekan [Japan Studies Journal], No.4 2009, pp: 24-27.

44 In the past, Japan usually deported the fishermen rather than arrestment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Liu Jiangyong, Lundiaoyudao Wenti yu Riben Fangweijihuadagang de Yingxiang [The Diaoyu Issue and the Implications of Japan's National Defense Program Outline], Riben Xuekan [Japan Studies Journal], No.1 2011, p:9. Wu Jinan, "Riben Minzhudang Waijiaozhengce Tiaozheng de Dongyin yu Zhanwang [The Reasons and Prospect of the Adjustment of the DPJ's Diplomatic Policy]," Guoji Guanzha [International Review], No. 6 2010, pp: 2-4.

proactive diplomacy is Japan was not ready for such a strategic shift. Furthermore, with Japan remaining deeply dependent on the United States, Sino-Japan relations would not be out of control as long as Sino-U.S. relations are managed well. Hence, China's has sought a model of "passive structural stability" as a second best option for dealing with Japan. <sup>46</sup> China's increasing nationalism has also fostered a politics of caution and further encouraged a passive diplomacy towards Japan.

### Assessing the Effectiveness of China's Handling with the Dispute

Given the short time span and the paucity of hard evidence, it is difficult at this time to offer a comprehensive assessment of Chinese practices with respect to the *Diaoyu/Senkaku* dispute. The effectiveness of Chinese decisions also varies depending on perspectives and definitions. That is why we are currently witnessing different interpretations of the issue. This policy brief argues that any assessment of Chinese policy should be based on both immediate and longer-term implications.

In terms of the former, China's assertiveness has led to a stronger Japan-U.S. alliance, a more active and autonomous military build-up of Japan, and spill-over concerns from other ASEAN countries.<sup>47</sup> For instance, China's recent establishment of the Air-Defence Identification Zone (ADIZ) in the East China Sea has been negatively assessed by many experts outside of China.<sup>48</sup> For some, the assertive stance of China has helped to rationalise the U.S. pivot to Asia and to further deepen U.S. military alliances in the region.<sup>49</sup> As a result, China's assertiveness has harmed Chinese interests, in particular, by bringing uncertainties and uneasiness to the region. In this sense, it is possible to conclude that China's assertiveness has been ineffective and dangerous. However, this perspective mostly ignores how China defines effectiveness in the long term.

China should of course be fully aware of the immediate, regional implications of its actions. But why did China behave in an assertive way? China strongly believes that a more equal relationship with the United States cannot be obtained by passively waiting for a U.S. policy shift from primacy to equality. In the post-Cold War period, China has learned that Sino-U.S. tensions – and even temporary confrontations – have upgraded the mutual positioning of bilateral ties. The Taiwan Strait Crisis of 1995-1996 did bring about confrontation but also reminded the U.S. of China's strong resolve on the Taiwan issue and changed America's previous policy towards China. <sup>50</sup> Likewise, the Anti-Secession

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Interestingly, the Japanese strategists thought in a similar way by locking in the Japan-US alliance and "de fault stability" of Sino-Japan relations could be automatically expected. This served the intellectual logic of Junichiro Koizumi's almost ignorant China policy during his tenure. The logic of Japanese side deserves a separate careful analysis. Yoshinobu Yamamoto, "Gurobaru Shisutemu naka no Nicho" [Japan and China in Global System], Kazuko Mori and Zhang Yunlin, *Nicho Kankei o Do Kochiku Suruka* [How to Construct Sino-Japan Relations-For the Coexistence and Cooperation in Asia], Iwanami Shoten, March 25, 2004, p.142, 165. <sup>47</sup> Dylan Loh Ming Hui, China's ADIZ over East China Sea: Implications for ASEAN, RSIS Commentaries No. 232/2013, December 19, 2013. "Fears grow of accidental conflict, disputed islands China risks undermining offensive elsewhere in region," *Financial Times*, November 28, 2013.

offensive elsewhere in region," *Financial Times*, November 28, 2013.

Richard A. Bitzinger, "China's ADIZ: South China Sea Next?," No. 219/2013, RSIS Commentaries No. 219/2013, December 2, 2013. The author criticized it as a diplomatic disaster for China. "China zone could cool its ties with Seoul," *The Wall Street Journal*, November 27, 2013. "An irresponsible game in the Pacific," *Financial Times*, November 26, 2013.

Victor D. Cha, "The opportunity for the US in China's overreach," *The Washington Post*, December 7, 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*\*</sup> Victor D. Cha, "The opportunity for the US in China's overreach," *The Washington Post*, December 7, 2013.

James Mann, About Face: A History of America's Curious Relationship with China, From Nixon to Clinton (New York: Alfred A Knopf, 1998). Tao Wenzhao, Lengzhanhou Meiguo Duihua Zhengce [US Policy towards China after the Cold War] (Chongqing, Chongqing Publishing House, 2006), pp.92-103.

Law provoked U.S. criticism but also accelerated the process of internal consensus building of how to deal with a rising China. Moreover, the U.S. designation of China as a "responsible stakeholder" in 2005 paved the foundation for stable Sino-U.S. relations in the years that followed.

With this in mind, China believes that a clear stance on core issues of national security will be particularly helpful in reducing mutual miscalculations. <sup>51</sup> By way of contrast, vague signals or slow responses might exacerbate a tense situation and enhance mutual distrust in the long term. China knows very well that its space for strategic freedom in the U.S.-Sino relationship is an outcome of both assertiveness and cooperation. Therefore China should not be afraid of the United States but also refrain from unnecessarily offending the United States. <sup>52</sup> China's perspective on the implications of its decisions might therefore be largely different from that of other countries. In this sense, a more assertive China does not necessarily bring about negative results for regional security. <sup>53</sup> China's bumpy but steady development of equal ties with the United States has been a process of "strategic mutual assessment and positioning" through "periods of mutual adaptation" (*Moheqi*). <sup>54</sup>

#### **Concluding Remarks**

China's handling with the *Diaoyu/Senkaku* dispute in recent years has been part and parcel of building a new Great Power relationship with the United States. In this dispute, China's main attention has been not on Japan, but on the United States as the independent variable in trilateral relations. As China does yet not have direct influence on Japan, China seeks to pursue a passive structural stability with Japan by focusing on the Sino-U.S. relations.

Strategically speaking, it might be smart and cost-effective for China to concentrate its resources on the Sino-U.S. relationship. But tactically, this less-balanced approach runs the risk of damaging China's soft power. China's strong official statements and resolute reactions may alienate the Japanese public and affect China's image in a negative way. <sup>55</sup> China would thus succeed strategically, but might also pay the price of losing a favourable regional position in the future. In this sense, it would be of China's interest to be more sensitive to the reactions of the public opinion in Japan and other Asian nations and to invest more in public diplomacy. China's endeavour of establishing the new type of Great Power relation with the US should avoid the unconscious cost of losing a favourable regional public opinion.

<sup>52</sup> Wang Jisi, Guoji Zhengzhi de Lixing Sikao[Rational Reflections on International Politics] (Peking University Press, 2006), p.250-251.

Fuce Stokes, "Asia's view of China-mostly wary, but Japan most of all," PewResearch Global Attitudes Project, August 5, 2013.

\_

Xia Liping, Guanyu Zhongmei Weijiguanli de Bijiaoyanjiu[A Comparative Study on Sino-US Crisis Management], Zhang Tuosheng and Shi Wen (eds.), *Zhongmei Anquan Weiji Guanli Anlifenxi* [Sino-American Security Crisis Management Classic Cases] (Beijing: World Affairs Press, 2007), p:76.
 Wang Jisi, *Guoji Zhengzhi de Lixing Sikao*[Rational Reflections on International Politics] (Peking University

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Thomas J Christensen, "The Advantage of an Assertive China: Responding to Beijing's Abrasive Diplomacy," *Foreign Affairs*, Vol.90, No.2 (March/April, 2011).

Wang Fan and Ji Feifei, "Zhongmei ruhe Duguo Xinyilun Moheqi" [How China and the US Experience a New Round of Period of Mutual Adaptation], *Renmin Ribao* (overseas version), December 20, 2013.

55 Bruce Stokes, "Asia's view of China-mostly wary, but Japan most of all," *PewResearch Global Attitudes* 

## RSIS Working Paper Series

1.	Vietnam-China Relations Since The End of The Cold War Ang Cheng Guan	(1998)
2.	Multilateral Security Cooperation in the Asia-Pacific Region: Prospects and Possibilities Desmond Ball	(1999)
3.	Reordering Asia: "Cooperative Security" or Concert of Powers?  Amitav Acharya	(1999)
4.	The South China Sea Dispute re-visited  Ang Cheng Guan	(1999)
5.	Continuity and Change In Malaysian Politics: Assessing the Buildup to the 1999-2000 General Elections  Joseph Liow Chin Yong	(1999)
6.	'Humanitarian Intervention in Kosovo' as Justified, Executed and Mediated by NATO: Strategic Lessons for Singapore Kumar Ramakrishna	(2000)
7.	Taiwan's Future: Mongolia or Tibet?  Chien-peng (C.P.) Chung	(2001)
8.	Asia-Pacific Diplomacies: Reading Discontinuity in Late-Modern Diplomatic Practice Tan See Seng	(2001)
9.	Framing "South Asia": Whose Imagined Region? Sinderpal Singh	(2001)
10.	Explaining Indonesia's Relations with Singapore During the New Order Period: The Case of Regime Maintenance and Foreign Policy  Terence Lee Chek Liang	(2001)
11.	Human Security: Discourse, Statecraft, Emancipation  Tan See Seng	(2001)
12.	Globalization and its Implications for Southeast Asian Security: A Vietnamese Perspective Nguyen Phuong Binh	(2001)
13.	Framework for Autonomy in Southeast Asia's Plural Societies  Miriam Coronel Ferrer	(2001)
14.	Burma: Protracted Conflict, Governance and Non-Traditional Security Issues Ananda Rajah	(2001)
15.	Natural Resources Management and Environmental Security in Southeast Asia: Case Study of Clean Water Supplies in Singapore Kog Yue Choong	(2001)
16.	Crisis and Transformation: ASEAN in the New Era Etel Solingen	(2001)
17.	Human Security: East Versus West?  Amitav Acharya	(2001)
18.	Asian Developing Countries and the Next Round of WTO Negotiations  Barry Desker	(2001)
19.	Multilateralism, Neo-liberalism and Security in Asia: The Role of the Asia Pacific Economic Co-operation Forum lan Taylor	(2001)
20.	Humanitarian Intervention and Peacekeeping as Issues for Asia-Pacific Security Derek McDougall	(2001)

21.	Comprehensive Security: The South Asian Case S.D. Muni	(2002)
22.	The Evolution of China's Maritime Combat Doctrines and Models: 1949-2001 You Ji	(2002)
23.	The Concept of Security Before and After September 11 a. The Contested Concept of Security Steve Smith b. Security and Security Studies After September 11: Some Preliminary Reflections Amitav Acharya	(2002)
24.	Democratisation In South Korea And Taiwan: The Effect Of Social Division On Inter-Korean and Cross-Strait Relations Chien-peng (C.P.) Chung	(2002)
25.	Understanding Financial Globalisation Andrew Walter	(2002)
26.	911, American Praetorian Unilateralism and the Impact on State-Society Relations in Southeast Asia Kumar Ramakrishna	(2002)
27.	Great Power Politics in Contemporary East Asia: Negotiating Multipolarity or Hegemony? Tan See Seng	(2002)
28.	What Fear Hath Wrought: Missile Hysteria and The Writing of "America" Tan See Seng	(2002)
29.	International Responses to Terrorism: The Limits and Possibilities of Legal Control of Terrorism by Regional Arrangement with Particular Reference to ASEAN Ong Yen Nee	(2002)
30.	Reconceptualizing the PLA Navy in Post – Mao China: Functions, Warfare, Arms, and Organization $\operatorname{Nan} \operatorname{Li}$	(2002)
31.	Attempting Developmental Regionalism Through AFTA: The Domestics Politics – Domestic Capital Nexus Helen E S Nesadurai	(2002)
32.	11 September and China: Opportunities, Challenges, and Warfighting Nan Li	(2002)
33.	Islam and Society in Southeast Asia after September 11 Barry Desker	(2002)
34.	Hegemonic Constraints: The Implications of September 11 For American Power Evelyn Goh	(2002)
35.	Not Yet All AboardBut Already All At Sea Over Container Security Initiative Irvin Lim	(2002)
36.	Financial Liberalization and Prudential Regulation in East Asia: Still Perverse? Andrew Walter	(2002)
37.	Indonesia and The Washington Consensus Premjith Sadasivan	(2002)
38.	The Political Economy of FDI Location: Why Don't Political Checks and Balances and Treaty Constraints Matter?  Andrew Walter	(2002)
39.	The Securitization of Transnational Crime in ASEAN Ralf Emmers	(2002)
40.	Liquidity Support and The Financial Crisis: The Indonesian Experience J Soedradjad Djiwandono	(2002)

41.	A UK Perspective on Defence Equipment Acquisition  David Kirkpatrick	(2003)
42.	Regionalisation of Peace in Asia: Experiences and Prospects of ASEAN, ARF and UN Partnership  Mely C. Anthony	(2003)
43.	The WTO In 2003: Structural Shifts, State-Of-Play And Prospects For The Doha Round Razeen Sally	(2003)
44.	Seeking Security In The Dragon's Shadow: China and Southeast Asia In The Emerging Asian Order Amitav Acharya	(2003)
45.	Deconstructing Political Islam In Malaysia: UMNO'S Response To PAS' Religio-Political Dialectic Joseph Liow	(2003)
46.	The War On Terror And The Future of Indonesian Democracy Tatik S. Hafidz	(2003)
47.	Examining The Role of Foreign Assistance in Security Sector Reforms: The Indonesian Case Eduardo Lachica	(2003)
48.	Sovereignty and The Politics of Identity in International Relations Adrian Kuah	(2003)
49.	Deconstructing Jihad; Southeast Asia Contexts Patricia Martinez	(2003)
50.	The Correlates of Nationalism in Beijing Public Opinion  Alastair lain Johnston	(2003)
51.	In Search of Suitable Positions' in the Asia Pacific: Negotiating the US-China Relationship and Regional Security	(2003)
52.	Evelyn Goh American Unilaterism, Foreign Economic Policy and the 'Securitisation' of Globalisation Richard Higgott	(2003)
53.	Fireball on the Water: Naval Force Protection-Projection, Coast Guarding, Customs Border Security & Multilateral Cooperation in Rolling Back the Global Waves of Terror from the Sea <i>Irvin Lim</i>	(2003)
54.	Revisiting Responses To Power Preponderance: Going Beyond The Balancing-Bandwagoning Dichotomy Chong Ja lan	(2003)
55.	Pre-emption and Prevention: An Ethical and Legal Critique of the Bush Doctrine and Anticipatory Use of Force In Defence of the State Malcolm Brailey	(2003)
56.	The Indo-Chinese Enlargement of ASEAN: Implications for Regional Economic Integration Helen E S Nesadurai	(2003)
57.	The Advent of a New Way of War: Theory and Practice of Effects Based Operation Joshua Ho	(2003)
58.	Critical Mass: Weighing in on Force Transformation & Speed Kills Post-Operation Iraqi Freedom Irvin Lim	(2004)
59.	Force Modernisation Trends in Southeast Asia Andrew Tan	(2004)
60.	Testing Alternative Responses to Power Preponderance: Buffering, Binding, Bonding and Beleaguering in the Real World Chong Ja lan	(2004)

61.	Outlook on the Indonesian Parliamentary Election 2004 Irman G. Lanti	(2004)
62.	Globalization and Non-Traditional Security Issues: A Study of Human and Drug Trafficking in East Asia Ralf Emmers	(2004)
63.	Outlook for Malaysia's 11 <sup>th</sup> General Election Joseph Liow	(2004)
64.	Not <i>Many</i> Jobs Take a Whole Army: Special Operations Forces and The Revolution in Military Affairs. <i>Malcolm Brailey</i>	(2004)
65.	Technological Globalisation and Regional Security in East Asia J.D. Kenneth Boutin	(2004)
66.	UAVs/UCAVS – Missions, Challenges, and Strategic Implications for Small and Medium Powers  Manjeet Singh Pardesi	(2004)
67.	Singapore's Reaction to Rising China: Deep Engagement and Strategic Adjustment Evelyn Goh	(2004)
68.	The Shifting Of Maritime Power And The Implications For Maritime Security In East Asia Joshua Ho	(2004)
69.	China In The Mekong River Basin: The Regional Security Implications of Resource Development On The Lancang Jiang Evelyn Goh	(2004)
70.	Examining the Defence Industrialization-Economic Growth Relationship: The Case of Singapore Adrian Kuah and Bernard Loo	(2004)
71.	"Constructing" The Jemaah Islamiyah Terrorist: A Preliminary Inquiry Kumar Ramakrishna	(2004)
72.	Malaysia and The United States: Rejecting Dominance, Embracing Engagement Helen E S Nesadurai	(2004)
73.	The Indonesian Military as a Professional Organization: Criteria and Ramifications for Reform John Bradford	(2005)
74.	Martime Terrorism in Southeast Asia: A Risk Assessment Catherine Zara Raymond	(2005)
75.	Southeast Asian Maritime Security In The Age Of Terror: Threats, Opportunity, And Charting The Course Forward John Bradford	(2005)
76.	Deducing India's Grand Strategy of Regional Hegemony from Historical and Conceptual Perspectives  Manjeet Singh Pardesi	(2005)
77.	Towards Better Peace Processes: A Comparative Study of Attempts to Broker Peace with MNLF and GAM S P Harish	(2005)
78.	Multilateralism, Sovereignty and Normative Change in World Politics Amitav Acharya	(2005)
79.	The State and Religious Institutions in Muslim Societies Riaz Hassan	(2005)
80.	On Being Religious: Patterns of Religious Commitment in Muslim Societies Riaz Hassan	(2005)

81.	The Security of Regional Sea Lanes  Joshua Ho	(2005)
82.	Civil-Military Relationship and Reform in the Defence Industry Arthur S Ding	(2005)
83.	How Bargaining Alters Outcomes: Bilateral Trade Negotiations and Bargaining Strategies Deborah Elms	(2005)
84.	Great Powers and Southeast Asian Regional Security Strategies: Omni-enmeshment, Balancing and Hierarchical Order Evelyn Goh	(2005)
85.	Global Jihad, Sectarianism and The Madrassahs in Pakistan Ali Riaz	(2005)
86.	Autobiography, Politics and Ideology in Sayyid Qutb's Reading of the Qur'an Umej Bhatia	(2005)
87.	Maritime Disputes in the South China Sea: Strategic and Diplomatic Status Quo Ralf Emmers	(2005)
88.	China's Political Commissars and Commanders: Trends & Dynamics Srikanth Kondapalli	(2005)
89.	Piracy in Southeast Asia New Trends, Issues and Responses Catherine Zara Raymond	(2005)
90.	Geopolitics, Grand Strategy and the Bush Doctrine Simon Dalby	(2005)
91.	Local Elections and Democracy in Indonesia: The Case of the Riau Archipelago Nankyung Choi	(2005)
92.	The Impact of RMA on Conventional Deterrence: A Theoretical Analysis Manjeet Singh Pardesi	(2005)
93.	Africa and the Challenge of Globalisation  Jeffrey Herbst	(2005)
94.	The East Asian Experience: The Poverty of 'Picking Winners Barry Desker and Deborah Elms	(2005)
95.	Bandung And The Political Economy Of North-South Relations: Sowing The Seeds For Revisioning International Society Helen E S Nesadurai	(2005)
96.	Re-conceptualising the Military-Industrial Complex: A General Systems Theory Approach Adrian Kuah	(2005)
97.	Food Security and the Threat From Within: Rice Policy Reforms in the Philippines Bruce Tolentino	(2006)
98.	Non-Traditional Security Issues: Securitisation of Transnational Crime in Asia James Laki	(2006)
99.	Securitizing/Desecuritizing the Filipinos' 'Outward Migration Issue'in the Philippines' Relations with Other Asian Governments José N. Franco, Jr.	(2006)
100.	Securitization Of Illegal Migration of Bangladeshis To India Josy Joseph	(2006)
101.	Environmental Management and Conflict in Southeast Asia – Land Reclamation and its Political Impact Kog Yue-Choong	(2006)

102.	Securitizing border-crossing: The case of marginalized stateless minorities in the Thai-Burma Borderlands  Mika Toyota	(2006)
103.	The Incidence of Corruption in India: Is the Neglect of Governance Endangering Human Security in South Asia? Shabnam Mallick and Rajarshi Sen	(2006)
104.	The LTTE's Online Network and its Implications for Regional Security Shyam Tekwani	(2006)
105.	The Korean War June-October 1950: Inchon and Stalin In The "Trigger Vs Justification" Debate Tan Kwoh Jack	(2006)
106.	International Regime Building in Southeast Asia: ASEAN Cooperation against the Illicit Trafficking and Abuse of Drugs Ralf Emmers	(2006)
107.	Changing Conflict Identities: The case of the Southern Thailand Discord S P Harish	(2006)
108.	Myanmar and the Argument for Engagement: A Clash of Contending Moralities?  Christopher B Roberts	(2006)
109.	TEMPORAL DOMINANCE Military Transformation and the Time Dimension of Strategy Edwin Seah	(2006)
110.	Globalization and Military-Industrial Transformation in South Asia: An Historical Perspective Emrys Chew	(2006)
111.	UNCLOS and its Limitations as the Foundation for a Regional Maritime Security Regime Sam Bateman	(2006)
112.	Freedom and Control Networks in Military Environments Paul T Mitchell	(2006)
113.	Rewriting Indonesian History The Future in Indonesia's Past Kwa Chong Guan	(2006)
114.	Twelver Shi'ite Islam: Conceptual and Practical Aspects  Christoph Marcinkowski	(2006)
115.	Islam, State and Modernity : Muslim Political Discourse in Late 19 <sup>th</sup> and Early 20 <sup>th</sup> century India <i>Iqbal Singh Sevea</i>	(2006)
116.	'Voice of the Malayan Revolution': The Communist Party of Malaya's Struggle for Hearts and Minds in the 'Second Malayan Emergency' (1969-1975)  Ong Wei Chong	(2006)
117.	"From Counter-Society to Counter-State: Jemaah Islamiyah According to PUPJI" Elena Pavlova	(2006)
118.	The Terrorist Threat to Singapore's Land Transportation Infrastructure: A Preliminary Enquiry Adam Dolnik	(2006)
119.	The Many Faces of Political Islam  Mohammed Ayoob	(2006)
120.	Facets of Shi'ite Islam in Contemporary Southeast Asia (I): Thailand and Indonesia Christoph Marcinkowski	(2006)
121.	Facets of Shi'ite Islam in Contemporary Southeast Asia (II): Malaysia and Singapore Christoph Marcinkowski	(2006)

122.	Towards a History of Malaysian Ulama Mohamed Nawab	(2007)
123.	Islam and Violence in Malaysia Ahmad Fauzi Abdul Hamid	(2007)
124.	Between Greater Iran and Shi'ite Crescent: Some Thoughts on the Nature of Iran's Ambitions in the Middle East Christoph Marcinkowski	(2007)
125.	Thinking Ahead: Shi'ite Islam in Iraq and its Seminaries (hawzah 'ilmiyyah) Christoph Marcinkowski	(2007)
126.	The China Syndrome: Chinese Military Modernization and the Rearming of Southeast Asia Richard A. Bitzinger	(2007)
127.	Contested Capitalism: Financial Politics and Implications for China Richard Carney	(2007)
128.	Sentinels of Afghan Democracy: The Afghan National Army Samuel Chan	(2007)
129.	The De-escalation of the Spratly Dispute in Sino-Southeast Asian Relations Ralf Emmers	(2007)
130.	War, Peace or Neutrality:An Overview of Islamic Polity's Basis of Inter-State Relations Muhammad Haniff Hassan	(2007)
131.	Mission Not So Impossible: The AMM and the Transition from Conflict to Peace in Aceh, 2005–2006 Kirsten E. Schulze	(2007)
132.	Comprehensive Security and Resilience in Southeast Asia: ASEAN's Approach to Terrorism and Sea Piracy Ralf Emmers	(2007)
133.	The Ulama in Pakistani Politics  Mohamed Nawab	(2007)
134.	China's Proactive Engagement in Asia: Economics, Politics and Interactions Li Mingjiang	(2007)
135.	The PLA's Role in China's Regional Security Strategy Qi Dapeng	(2007)
136.	War As They Knew It: Revolutionary War and Counterinsurgency in Southeast Asia Ong Wei Chong	(2007)
137.	Indonesia's Direct Local Elections: Background and Institutional Framework Nankyung Choi	(2007)
138.	Contextualizing Political Islam for Minority Muslims  Muhammad Haniff bin Hassan	(2007)
139.	Ngruki Revisited: Modernity and Its Discontents at the Pondok Pesantren al-Mukmin of Ngruki, Surakarta Farish A. Noor	(2007)
140.	Globalization: Implications of and for the Modern / Post-modern Navies of the Asia Pacific Geoffrey Till	(2007)
141.	Comprehensive Maritime Domain Awareness: An Idea Whose Time Has Come? Irvin Lim Fang Jau	(2007)
142.	Sulawesi: Aspirations of Local Muslims Rohaiza Ahmad Asi	(2007)

143.	Islamic Militancy, Sharia, and Democratic Consolidation in Post-Suharto Indonesia Noorhaidi Hasan	(2007)
144.	Crouching Tiger, Hidden Dragon: The Indian Ocean and The Maritime Balance of Power in Historical Perspective Emrys Chew	(2007)
145.	New Security Dimensions in the Asia Pacific Barry Desker	(2007)
146.	Japan's Economic Diplomacy towards East Asia: Fragmented Realism and Naïve Liberalism Hidetaka Yoshimatsu	(2007)
147.	U.S. Primacy, Eurasia's New Strategic Landscape, and the Emerging Asian Order <i>Alexander L. Vuving</i>	(2007)
148.	The Asian Financial Crisis and ASEAN's Concept of Security Yongwook RYU	(2008)
149.	Security in the South China Sea: China's Balancing Act and New Regional Dynamics Li Mingjiang	(2008)
150.	The Defence Industry in the Post-Transformational World: Implications for the United States and Singapore Richard A Bitzinger	(2008)
151.	The Islamic Opposition in Malaysia:New Trajectories and Directions Mohamed Fauz Abdul Hamid	(2008)
152.	Thinking the Unthinkable: The Modernization and Reform of Islamic Higher Education in Indonesia Farish A. Noor	(2008)
153.	Outlook for Malaysia's 12th General Elections Mohamed Nawab Mohamed Osman, Shahirah Mahmood and Joseph Chinyong Liow	(2008)
154.	The use of SOLAS Ship Security Alert Systems Thomas Timlen	(2008)
155.	Thai-Chinese Relations:Security and Strategic Partnership Chulacheeb Chinwanno	(2008)
156.	Sovereignty In ASEAN and The Problem of Maritime Cooperation in the South China Sea <i>JN Mak</i>	(2008)
157.	Sino-U.S. Competition in Strategic Arms Arthur S. Ding	(2008)
158.	Roots of Radical Sunni Traditionalism Karim Douglas Crow	(2008)
159.	Interpreting Islam On Plural Society  Muhammad Haniff Hassan	(2008)
160.	Towards a Middle Way Islam in Southeast Asia: Contributions of the Gülen Movement Mohamed Nawab Mohamed Osman	(2008)
161.	Spoilers, Partners and Pawns: Military Organizational Behaviour and Civil-Military Relations in Indonesia Evan A. Laksmana	(2008)
162.	The Securitization of Human Trafficking in Indonesia Rizal Sukma	(2008)
163.	The Hindu Rights Action Force (HINDRAF) of Malaysia: Communitarianism Across Borders? Farish A. Noor	(2008)

164.	A Merlion at the Edge of an Afrasian Sea: Singapore's Strategic Involvement in the Indian Ocean Emrys Chew	(2008)
165.	Soft Power in Chinese Discourse: Popularity and Prospect  Li Mingjiang	(2008)
166.	Singapore's Sovereign Wealth Funds: The Political Risk of Overseas Investments Friedrich Wu	(2008)
167.	The Internet in Indonesia: Development and Impact of Radical Websites Jennifer Yang Hui	(2008)
168.	Beibu Gulf: Emerging Sub-regional Integration between China and ASEAN Gu Xiaosong and Li Mingjiang	(2009)
169.	Islamic Law In Contemporary Malaysia: Prospects and Problems Ahmad Fauzi Abdul Hamid	(2009)
170.	"Indonesia's Salafist Sufis"  Julia Day Howell	(2009)
171.	Reviving the Caliphate in the Nusantara: Hizbut Tahrir Indonesia's Mobilization Strategy and Its Impact in Indonesia  Mohamed Nawab Mohamed Osman	(2009)
172.	Islamizing Formal Education: Integrated Islamic School and a New Trend in Formal Education Institution in Indonesia Noorhaidi Hasan	(2009)
173.	The Implementation of Vietnam-China Land Border Treaty: Bilateral and Regional Implications  Do Thi Thuy	(2009)
174.	The Tablighi Jama'at Movement in the Southern Provinces of Thailand Today: Networks and Modalities Farish A. Noor	(2009)
175.	The Spread of the Tablighi Jama'at Across Western, Central and Eastern Java and the role of the Indian Muslim Diaspora Farish A. Noor	(2009)
176.	Significance of Abu Dujana and Zarkasih's Verdict Nurfarahislinda Binte Mohamed Ismail, V. Arianti and Jennifer Yang Hui	(2009)
177.	The Perils of Consensus: How ASEAN's Meta-Regime Undermines Economic and Environmental Cooperation  Vinod K. Aggarwal and Jonathan T. Chow	(2009)
178.	The Capacities of Coast Guards to deal with Maritime Challenges in Southeast Asia Prabhakaran Paleri	(2009)
179.	China and Asian Regionalism: Pragmatism Hinders Leadership Li Mingjiang	(2009)
180.	Livelihood Strategies Amongst Indigenous Peoples in the Central Cardamom Protected Forest, Cambodia Long Sarou	(2009)
181.	Human Trafficking in Cambodia: Reintegration of the Cambodian illegal migrants from Vietnam and Thailand Neth Naro	(2009)
182.	The Philippines as an Archipelagic and Maritime Nation: Interests, Challenges, and Perspectives  Mary Ann Palma	(2009)

183.	The Changing Power Distribution in the South China Sea: Implications for Conflict Management and Avoidance Ralf Emmers	(2009)
184.	Islamist Party, Electoral Politics and Da'wa Mobilization among Youth: The Prosperous Justice Party (PKS) in Indonesia <i>Noorhaidi Hasan</i>	(2009)
185.	U.S. Foreign Policy and Southeast Asia: From Manifest Destiny to Shared Destiny Emrys Chew	(2009)
186.	Different Lenses on the Future: U.S. and Singaporean Approaches to Strategic Planning Justin Zorn	(2009)
187.	Converging Peril : Climate Change and Conflict in the Southern Philippines J. Jackson Ewing	(2009)
188.	Informal Caucuses within the WTO: Singapore in the "Invisibles Group" Barry Desker	(2009)
189.	The ASEAN Regional Forum and Preventive Diplomacy: A Failure in Practice Ralf Emmers and See Seng Tan	(2009)
190.	How Geography Makes Democracy Work Richard W. Carney	(2009)
191.	The Arrival and Spread of the Tablighi Jama'at In West Papua (Irian Jaya), Indonesia Farish A. Noor	(2010)
192.	The Korean Peninsula in China's Grand Strategy: China's Role in dealing with North Korea's Nuclear Quandary Chung Chong Wook	(2010)
193.	Asian Regionalism and US Policy: The Case for Creative Adaptation Donald K. Emmerson	(2010)
194.	Jemaah Islamiyah:Of Kin and Kind Sulastri Osman	(2010)
195.	The Role of the Five Power Defence Arrangements in the Southeast Asian Security Architecture Ralf Emmers	(2010)
196.	The Domestic Political Origins of Global Financial Standards: Agrarian Influence and the Creation of U.S. Securities Regulations <i>Richard W. Carney</i>	(2010)
197.	Indian Naval Effectiveness for National Growth Ashok Sawhney	(2010)
198.	Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) regime in East Asian waters: Military and intelligence-gathering activities, Marine Scientific Research (MSR) and hydrographic surveys in an EEZ Yang Fang	(2010)
199.	Do Stated Goals Matter? Regional Institutions in East Asia and the Dynamic of Unstated Goals Deepak Nair	(2010)
200.	China's Soft Power in South Asia Parama Sinha Palit	(2010)
201.	Reform of the International Financial Architecture: How can Asia have a greater impact in the G20?  Pradumna B. Rana	(2010)
202.	"Muscular" versus "Liberal" Secularism and the Religious Fundamentalist Challenge in Singapore Kumar Ramakrishna	(2010)

203.	Future of U.S. Power: Is China Going to Eclipse the United States? Two Possible Scenarios to 2040 Tuomo Kuosa	(2010)
204.	Swords to Ploughshares: China's Defence-Conversion Policy Lee Dongmin	(2010)
205.	Asia Rising and the Maritime Decline of the West: A Review of the Issues Geoffrey Till	(2010)
206.	From Empire to the War on Terror: The 1915 Indian Sepoy Mutiny in Singapore as a case study of the impact of profiling of religious and ethnic minorities.  Farish A. Noor	(2010)
207.	Enabling Security for the 21st Century: Intelligence & Strategic Foresight and Warning Helene Lavoix	(2010)
208.	The Asian and Global Financial Crises: Consequences for East Asian Regionalism Ralf Emmers and John Ravenhill	(2010)
209.	Japan's New Security Imperative: The Function of Globalization Bhubhindar Singh and Philip Shetler-Jones	(2010)
210.	India's Emerging Land Warfare Doctrines and Capabilities Colonel Harinder Singh	(2010)
211.	A Response to Fourth Generation Warfare  Amos Khan	(2010)
212.	Japan-Korea Relations and the Tokdo/Takeshima Dispute: The Interplay of Nationalism and Natural Resources  **Ralf Emmers**	(2010)
213.	Mapping the Religious and Secular Parties in South Sulawesi and Tanah Toraja, Sulawesi, Indonesia Farish A. Noor	(2010)
214.	The Aceh-based Militant Network: A Trigger for a View into the Insightful Complex of Conceptual and Historical Links Giora Eliraz	(2010)
215.	Evolving Global Economic Architecture: Will We have a New Bretton Woods? Pradumna B. Rana	(2010)
216.	Transforming the Military: The Energy Imperative Kelvin Wong	(2010)
217.	ASEAN Institutionalisation: The Function of Political Values and State Capacity Christopher Roberts	(2010)
218.	China's Military Build-up in the Early Twenty-first Century: From Arms Procurement to Warfighting Capability Yoram Evron	(2010)
219.	Darul Uloom Deoband: Stemming the Tide of Radical Islam in India Taberez Ahmed Neyazi	(2010)
220.	Recent Developments in the South China Sea: Grounds for Cautious Optimism? Carlyle A. Thayer	(2010)
221.	Emerging Powers and Cooperative Security in Asia Joshy M. Paul	(2010)

222.	What happened to the smiling face of Indonesian Islam? Muslim intellectualism and the conservative turn in post-Suharto Indonesia Martin Van Bruinessen	(2011)
223.	Structures for Strategy: Institutional Preconditions for Long-Range Planning in Cross-Country Perspective  Justin Zorn	(2011)
224.	Winds of Change in Sarawak Politics? Faisal S Hazis	(2011)
225.	Rising from Within: China's Search for a Multilateral World and Its Implications for Sino-U.S. Relations  Li Mingjiang	(2011)
226.	Rising Power To Do What? Evaluating China's Power in Southeast Asia Evelyn Goh	(2011)
227.	Assessing 12-year Military Reform in Indonesia: Major Strategic Gaps for the Next Stage of Reform  Leonard C. Sebastian and lisgindarsah	(2011)
228.	Monetary Integration in ASEAN+3: A Perception Survey of Opinion Leaders Pradumna Bickram Rana, Wai-Mun Chia & Yothin Jinjarak	(2011)
229.	Dealing with the "North Korea Dilemma": China's Strategic Choices You Ji	(2011)
230.	Street, Shrine, Square and Soccer Pitch: Comparative Protest Spaces in Asia and the Middle East Teresita Cruz-del Rosario and James M. Dorsey	(2011)
231.	The Partai Keadilan Sejahtera (PKS) in the landscape of Indonesian Islamist Politics: Cadre-Training as Mode of Preventive Radicalisation?  Farish A Noor	(2011)
232.	The Trans-Pacific Partnership Agreement (TPP) Negotiations: Overview and Prospects Deborah Elms and C.L. Lim	(2012)
233.	How Indonesia Sees ASEAN and the World: A Cursory Survey of the Social Studies and History textbooks of Indonesia, from Primary to Secondary Level. Farish A. Noor	(2012)
234.	The Process of ASEAN's Institutional Consolidation in 1968-1976: Theoretical Implications for Changes of Third-World Security Oriented Institution Kei Koga	(2012)
235.	Getting from Here to There: Stitching Together Goods Agreements in the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) Agreement Deborah Elms	(2012)
236.	Indonesia's Democratic Politics and Foreign Policy-Making: A Case Study of Iranian Nuclear Issue, 2007-2008 Iisgindarsah	(2012)
237.	Reflections on Defence Security in East Asia Desmond Ball	(2012)
238.	The Evolving Multi-layered Global Financial Safety Net: Role of Asia Pradumna B. Rana	(2012)
239.	Chinese Debates of South China Sea Policy: Implications for Future Developments Li Mingjiang	(2012)
240.	China's Economic Restructuring : Role of Agriculture Zhang Hongzhou	(2012)

241.	The Influence of Domestic Politics on Philippine Foreign Policy: The case of Philippines-China relations since 2004 Alleen S.P. Baviera	(2012)
242.	The Forum Betawi Rempug (FBR) of Jakarta: An Ethnic-Cultural Solidarity Movement in a Globalising Indonesia Farish A. Noor	(2012)
243.	Role of Intelligence in International Crisis Management Kwa Chong Guan	(2012)
244.	Malaysia's China Policy in the Post-Mahathir Era: A Neoclassical Realist Explanation KUIK Cheng-Chwee	(2012)
245.	Dividing the Korean Peninsula: The Rhetoric of the George W. Bush Administration Sarah Teo	(2012)
246.	China's Evolving Fishing Industry: Implications for Regional and Global Maritime Security Zhang Hongzhou	(2012)
247.	By Invitation, Mostly: the International Politics of the US Security Presence, China, and the South China Sea Christopher Freise	(2012)
248.	Governing for the Future: What Governments can do Peter Ho	(2012)
249.	ASEAN's centrality in a rising Asia Benjamin Ho	(2012)
250.	Malaysia's U.S. Policy under Najib: Ambivalence no more? KUIK Cheng-Chwee	(2012)
251.	Securing the State: National Security in Contemporary Times Sir David Omand GCB	(2012)
252.	Bangladesh-India Relations: Sheikh Hasina's India-Positive Policy Approach Bhumitra Chakma	(2012)
253.	Strengthening Economic Linkages Between South and East Asia: The Case for a Second Round of "Look East" Policies Pradumna B Rana and Chia Wai-Mun	(2013)
254.	The Eurozone Crisis and Its Impact on Asia Pradumna B Rana and Michael Blomenhofer	(2013)
255.	Security Identity, Policymaking Regime and Japanese Security Policy Development Bhubhindar Singh	(2013)
256.	The Rising Chorus of Chinese Exceptionalism  Benjamin Ho Tze Ern	(2013)
257.	Iran: How Intelligence and Policy Intersect Robert Jervis	(2013)
258.	Enhancing Global and Regional Mechanisms for Conflict Management and Resolution Ibrahim A. Gambari	(2013)
259.	A New Containment-Policy – The Curbing of War and Violent Conflict in World Society Andreas Herberg-Rothe	(2013)
260.	The Strategy of Coercive Isolation in U.S. Security Policy Timothy W. Crawford	(2013)
261.	Beyond its Mineral/Natural Resources: Why Africa Matters to the World Ibrahim A. Gambari	(2013)

262.	Wahhabism vs. Wahhabism: Qatar Challenges Saudi Arabia  James M. Dorsey	(2013)
263.	Regional Cyber Security: Moving Towards a Resilient ASEAN Cyber Security Regime Caitríona H. Heinl	(2013)
264.	Safety in Numbers: Problems of a Smaller U.S. Nuclear Arsenal in Asia Christine M. Leah	(2013)
265.	South Korea's Middle-Power Engagement Initiatives: Perspectives from Southeast Asia Sarah Teo, Bhubhindar Singh and See Seng Tan	(2013)
266.	About Face - The Relational Dimension in Chinese Foreign Policy Benjamin Ho Tze Ern	(2013)
267.	Of Auxiliary Forces and Private Armies: Security Sector Governance (SSG) and Conflict Management in Maguindanao, Mindanao Maria Anna Rowena Luz G. Layador	(2014)
268.	Popular Mandate and the Coming-of-Age of Social Media's Presence in Indonesian Politics Post-Reformasi  Jonathan Chen and Adhi Priamarizki	(2014)
269.	Old Society, New Youths: An Overview of Youth and Popular Participation in Post-Reformasi Indonesia Jonathan Chen and Emirza Adi Syailendra	(2014)
270.	The <i>Diaoyu/Senkaku</i> Dispute in the Context of China-U.SJapan Trilateral Dynamics <i>Zhang Yun</i>	(2014)